

Efficacy of ceftriaxone+sulbactam+EDTA combination for complicated urinary tract infection patients: a retrospective case series.

Reema Kashiva, Dileep Mane, Danish Memon

Nobel hospital

Corresponding author

Dr. Reema Kashiva

Head Medicine department

153, Magarpatta City Road,

Hadapsar, Pune,

Maharashtra 411013

Email: reemakashiva@gmail.com

Keywords: Complicated UTI, CSE-1034, Multi-drug resistance

Abstract

Background

In India, antimicrobial resistance (AMR) remains a major challenge for treatment of infectious diseases mainly due to inappropriate and high consumption of antibiotics. Judicious choice of antibiotics and its optimistic utilization can be one of the potent ways to control the epidemic rise in AMR. The objective of this case series was to determine the clinical utility of antibiotic adjuvant entity (CSE-1034) (Ceftriaxone+Sulbactam+EDTA) in complicated urinary tract infection (cUTI) cases.

METHODS:

Patients suffering from multi-drug resistant (MDR) cUTIs and treated with CSE-1034 as monotherapy or combination therapy were screened and further analyzed. CSE-1034 therapy was started empirically in all these subjects and continued or discontinued based on culture sensitivity profile and clinical outcome. All the statistical analysis was performed using Chi-square test.

RESULTS:

20 culture-positive patients with mean age of 51 ± 7.3 years were included in this case series. The most common pathogen isolated was *E. coli* (60%) followed by *K. pneumonia* (25%) and *A. baumannii* (15%). Culture sensitivity profile has shown that pathogens isolated from all subjects showed no sensitivity to Cefazolin, Ceftriaxone, Cefipime, 25% to Piperacillin-Tazobactam (pip-taz), 20% to Cefaperozone-Sulbactam, 5% to fluoroquinolones and 90% to Meropenem. Susceptibility pattern to CSE-1034 and Colistin was 100%. 90% (18/20) patients treated empirically with CSE-1034 were cured with CSE-1034 monotherapy and 10% (2/20) with CSE-1034+Levofloxacin combination therapy.

Conclusion

From this case series, it can be suggested that CSE-134 alone or in combination with Levofloxacin appears to be an effective drug for treatment of MDR cUTI and can serve as effective replacement to Pip-Taz and β -lactam/ β -lactam inhibitor combinations.

Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most common infections worldwide accounting for nearly 25% of all infections and affecting 150 million cases every year [1]. While cUTI compared with uncomplicated infection is caused by a wide range of pathogens including *Klebsiella* species (*spp.*), *Enterococcus spp.* and *P. aeruginosa*; *E. coli* is the most common [2]. Based on the common causative agents, geographical location and other risk factors, the empirical antibiotic therapy for bacterial infections are started. In earlier days, simple antibiotics including penicillin and 3rd generation cephalosporins were reported to be effective against most of the bacterial isolates. However, studies have shown that multiple antibiotic resistance in bacterial population has become growing clinical concern particularly in developing countries like India, and is currently recognized as a threat to public health [3][4]. Though multi-drug resistance was mainly the concern of hospital settings, however, past few years have witnessed a rising anti-microbial resistance (AMR) among community pathogens also.

cUTIs are frequently associated with high rate of recurrence and reinfection which increases the risk of multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacterial selection and propagation. UTIs complicated by MDR pathogens lead to uncertain treatment outcomes prolonging hospitalization and hospital-associated costs. Moreover, prolonged duration of UTIs could also lead to secondary infections including sepsis, severe sepsis or septic shock. UTI can be a focus of septic shock in 20-30% of the patients and the rate varies with the associated co-morbid diseases [5] and thus complicating the treatment further. The various lines of treatment for cUTIs include intravenous (IV) or oral antibiotics, including fluoroquinolones, cephalosporins and other β -lactams with or without β -lactamase inhibitors, Pip-Taz, aminoglycosides and the last resort drug, carbapenems [6]. However, treatment decisions for UTIs have become more difficult in the face of increased AMR to the commonly used antibiotics over the past few years.

The continuous rise in bacterial resistance to the available antimicrobial agents has inspired the development of new agents to treat these resistant infections. CSE-1034, a novel combination of Ceftriaxone, Sulbactam and EDTA has been recently developed and proposed as alternate to curb the AMR menace to some extent. The synergistic action of Ceftriaxone and beta-lactamase inhibitor component with the non-antibiotic adjuvant EDTA acting as a catalyst, this drug has been reported to be effective against multiple types of MDR pathogens [7][8]. In this study, we discussed a series of 20 patients suffering from cUTI or urosepsis and treated successfully with CSE-1034.

Material and Methods

Adult patients (age ≥ 18 years) who were admitted to the hospital for the treatment of cUTI or urosepsis and received treatment for ≥ 3 days were evaluated in this case series study.

The main criteria for patient inclusion were 1) The primary diagnosis of cUTI and urosepsis based on various lab parameters and relevant signs and symptoms 2) Isolation of pathogen at the baseline 3) Received CSE-1034 as an empirical therapy based on the risk of MDR pathogen isolation at the baseline 4) Received CSE-1034 at least for a period of ≥ 3 days.

The cUTI included had at least three of the following signs and symptoms: fever ($>38^{\circ}\text{C}$) and chills, increased frequency and urgency of urination, dysuria, costo-vertebral angle tenderness or abdominal tenderness, flank pain, or the presence of pyuria and colony count of $\geq 10^5\text{CFU/ml}$.

Urosepsis diagnosis was made based on the presence of symptoms mentioned above for cUTI. And additionally accompanied by hyperventilation, tachycardia, hypotension or impairment of consciousness or confusion.

Exclusion criteria included patients who 1) Received treatment for $<72\text{h}$ 2) Died within 72h due to multiple complications other than antibiotic failure.

Information regarding demographic and baseline characters like gender, age, type and source of infection, causative pathogen, co-morbidities, antibiotic therapy, dose and duration for all the patients was retrieved from case history sheets.

Patients had undergone various hematological and biochemical investigations including Hb test, total leukocyte count (TLC), urine analysis, urine culture and blood culture. Specimens including urine and blood were used for the isolation of baseline pathogens.

In vitro microbial susceptibility testing of the isolated pathogen was done using Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method. Using breakpoints provided by the manufacturer, anti-microbial susceptibility for CSE-1034 was performed. Criteria were $<21\text{mm}$ - S, 14-20- I, ≤ 13 - R.

The CSE-1034 dosage used was 3.0g every 12h in all patients.

The clinical response of the therapy was evaluated in terms of improvement in clinical parameters on daily basis and microbiological response on the basis of pathogen eradication. Patients were considered as clinically cured when a) afebrile b) No dysuria c) Normal total blood count.

Statistical analysis

All the statistical analysis was performed using Chi-square test. P values were two-tailed and a value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

A total of 95 patients admitted for cUTI and urosepsis were screened, out of which 20 patients were meeting our inclusion criteria were evaluated in this case series study. Male gender was observed in 45% (9) of the patients whereas female gender represented 55% (11) of the patients. The age ranged from 38 to 60 years, with a mean age of 51. Demographic analysis data for other parameters like weight, height, respiration rate, pulse rate, SBP, DBP and temperature is mentioned in detail in Table 1. Based on the type of infection, the patient disposition was UTI-15 and urosepsis-5. All the analyzed patients were meeting inclusion and exclusion criteria. The most common co-morbidities associated with patients at the time of hospitalization were hypertension and diabetes mellitus. *E. coli* was the predominant pathogen isolated from 12 patients followed by *K. pneumoniae* in 5 and *A. baumannii* in 3 cases.

In vitro microbial testing has shown that pathogens isolated at baseline from all the patients were multi-drug resistant and showed resistance to various classes of drugs including Cefipime, Cefazolin, Ceftriaxone, Amikacin. 25% (5/20) patients were reported to be sensitive to Pip-Taz, 20% (4/20) to Cefaperozone-Sulbactam, 15% (3/20) to Fluoroquinolones and 90% (18/20) patients were sensitive to Meropenem. Microbial susceptibility test has shown that all the patients were sensitive to CSE-1034 and colistin. The susceptibility of different pathogens to the various antibiotics tested are tabulated in Table 2.

Antibiotic outcome

All the 20 subjects included in this case series study were started CSE-1034 empirically. The decision of starting CSE-1034 empirically was based on the previous hospital exposure and prescription of beta-lactam or beta-lactam/beta-lactam inhibitor (BL/BLI) combination in last 90 days.

90% (18/20) of the patients showed signs of clinical improvement on the 3rd day of CSE-1034 therapy and were continued with same treatment regime. The successful clinical response was observed in all these patients at the end of therapy. The mean treatment duration among these 18 patients was 5.0 days±2.69 (SD). 2 (10%) patients who were sensitive to CSE-1034 but showed poor clinical response

on 3rd day of CSE-1034 treatment, were switched to CSE-1034 and levofloxacin combination therapy. After 48h of the combination treatment, it was observed that the patient started responding to the treatment based on the laboratory investigations and their clinical condition started improving (Table 3). The mean treatment duration in patients cured with CSE-1034 and levofloxacin combination therapy was 7.0 days \pm 2.88 (SD).

Overall assessment of the clinical response has shown that CSE-1034 monotherapy cured 90% patients alone and 10% patients in combination with levofloxacin. The assessment of microbiological response has shown the complete eradication of the pathogen isolated at the baseline was observed in all 20 patients (Table 4).

Discussion

The trend of AMR among pathogens causing cUTI has risen in epidemic proportions and continues to increase posing serious challenge to clinicians [9][10]. Of main concern are Gram-negative pathogens, as these are one of the main causes of both community-acquired and hospital acquired UTIs. These organisms can acquire genes that encode for multiple antibiotic resistance mechanisms, including extended-spectrum-lactamases (ESBLs), AmpC- β -lactamase, and carbapenemases [11]. The MDR previously limited mostly to hospital-acquired strains have recently witnessed a rising trend in community-based infections also [12]. Though the exact figures of ESBL producing organisms are not known globally, the prevalence in the Indian subcontinent is estimated to be around 50% by various studies [13][14]. In view of these rising resistant pathogenic bacteria, a great effort is needed to develop new antibacterial approaches especially in the setting of multi- antibiotic resistant pathogens. We here in this case series report on promising results of the use of CSE-1034 therapy for treating MDR cUTI cases.

Normally, the recommended first line empiric treatment for community acquired UTI of moderate to severe grade is Fluoroquinolones or Ceftriaxone. In the present study, all the pathogens isolated at the baseline from the patients were observed to be resistant to different classes of antibiotics including Cefazolin, Cefipime, Ceftriaxone. 15% isolates were observed susceptible to Fluoroquinolones. Next, to these drugs, Pip-Taz or Cefaperozone-Sulbactam are the most commonly used drugs and the second line of empirical treatment. The susceptibility rate to Pip-Taz and Cefaperozone-Sulbactam were observed to be 25% and 20% making it an inappropriate choice for empirical therapy or 2nd line of empirical treatment for cUTI cases in our hospital. Similar to these findings, various studies in the past have documented that Gram-negative bacterial infections are gaining resistance to various anti-microbial drugs including the drug of last resort carbapenems. The

AMR data in India has shown resistance against Pip-Taz has risen to 65-70% and about 55-60% against Cefoperazone-Sulbactam [15]. The indiscriminate consumptions of Pip-Taz or BL/BLI combinations could be one of the vital reasons for the high AMR reported among the normally recommended second line treatment for UTIs. The random use of antibiotics often provides the patient with only a transient amelioration of the UTI symptoms and increases the risk of recurrence with multi-resistant drug bacterial strains. AMR data at a tertiary trauma care center of India has reported that the resistance against the five classes of antimicrobials were carbapenems (50%), aminoglycosides (66%), fluoroquinolones (76%), third generation cephalosporins (88%), BL/BLI combinations (63%) and extra-drug resistance was reported in 27% isolated pathogens [16]. Depending on the pathogen type, the highest susceptibility to carbapenems was reported in *E. coli* (92%) and lowest equivalent to 26% in *Acinetobacter*. Susceptibility rate to Meropenem observed in this case series was high equal to 90% which is comparatively high than reported in the above study [16]. The difference in the microbiological profile with *E.coli* being the commonly isolated pathogen in this case series can partly explain the high sensitivity rate to Meropenem. In support of our observations, a retrospective study conducted over a 7-year period from 2008 to 2014 has shown that carbapenem resistance increased in *E. coli* from 7.8% to 11.5% and *K. pneumoniae* increased from 41.5% to 56.6% [17]. Moreover, the susceptibility profile of the pathogens identified depends on the flora of the hospital and the common antimicrobials prescribed there.

Interestingly, all the patients were reported sensitive to a new combination of drug, CSE-1034. The higher susceptibility to CSE-1034 could likely be the synergistic effect of the three components. Disodium edetate, a non-antibiotic adjuvant, present in CSE-1034 chelates the divalent metal ions leading to membrane destabilization and enhanced penetration of drugs inside bacterial cells. The Sulbactam component of CSE-1034 is known to have inherent activity against various bacterial infections. In line with our results, various studies in the past have also demonstrated higher efficacy of CSE-1034 against various bacterial infections including UTI [18]. Since our novel drug was shown to effectively cure all the patients treated with CSE-1034 alone or in combination with levofloxacin, it can be an effective treatment choice for cUTI cases. While 90% sensitivity was also reported towards Meropenem, the rising trend of MBL-producing bacterial strains can turn out epidemic if carbapenem use is not restricted. The indiscriminate prescription of carbapenems lately led to epidemic rise to carbapenem resistance which if left unchecked will leave us with no standard treatment regimens for MDR infections. One of the best ways to prevent this MBL spread is by judiciously prescribing carbapenems and replacing them with the alternate effective therapies available like CSE-1034. 100%

sensitivity was also observed against Colistin; however, Colistin is never preferred as an empirical treatment because of its nephrotoxic side-effects. Additionally, Colistin has been preserved as the last resort drug for the Extra-drug resistant pathogens. The high sensitivity to Colistin could more likely be the outcome of its very restricted use and preserving it as the last line of treatment.

Conclusion

In total, all these results support that CSE-1034 is a valuable replacement of various BL/BLI combinations for the treatment of cUTI cases because of several associated advantages. First, CSE-1034 was observed to have excellent susceptibility profile qualifying it for empiric therapy. Secondly, all the patients treated with CSE-1034 empirically alone or in combination with Levofloxacin therapy were completely cured. And most importantly, CSE-1034 is a combination of beta-lactam and beta-lactamase along with EDTA, and thus can help to spare the carbapenems as the last line of treatment by reducing the use of carbapenems.

Conflict of interest

All the authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Consent Disclaimer:

As per international standard or university standard written patient consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

Ethical Disclaimer: NA

Bibliography

- [1] Flores-Mireles AL, Walker JN, Caparon M, Hultgren SJ. Urinary tract infections: epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2015;13:269–84. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3432.
- [2] Giancola SE, Mahoney MV, Bias TE, Hirsch EB. Critical evaluation of ceftolozane–tazobactam for complicated urinary tract and intra-abdominal infections. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 2016;12:787–97. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S83844.
- [3] Ganguly NK, Arora NK, Chandy SJ, Fairuze MN, Gill JPS, Gupta U, et al. Rationalizing antibiotic use to limit antibiotic resistance in India. *Indian J Med Res* 2011;134:281–94.

- [4] Kumar A, Ellis P, Arabi Y, Roberts D, Light B, Parrillo JE, et al. Initiation of inappropriate antimicrobial therapy results in a fivefold reduction of survival in human septic shock. *Chest* 2009;136:1237–48. doi:10.1378/chest.09-0087.
- [5] Shigemura K, Tanaka K, Osawa K, Arakawa S, Miyake H, Fujisawa M. Clinical factors associated with shock in bacteremic UTI. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2013;45:653–7. doi:10.1007/s11255-013-0449-4.
- [6] Gupta K, Hooton TM, Naber KG, Wullt B, Colgan R, Miller LG, et al. International Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Acute Uncomplicated Cystitis and Pyelonephritis in Women: A 2010 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011;52:e103–20. doi:10.1093/cid/ciq257.
- [7] Chaudhary M, Mir MA, Ayub SG, Protocol 06 Group. Safety and efficacy of a novel drug elores (ceftriaxone+sulbactam+disodium edetate) in the management of multi-drug resistant bacterial infections in tertiary care centers: a post-marketing surveillance study. *Braz J Infect Dis Off Publ Braz Soc Infect Dis* 2017. doi:10.1016/j.bjid.2017.02.007.
- [8] Bhatia P. Alternative empiric therapy to carbapenems in management of drug resistant gram negative pathogens: a new way to spare carbapenems. *Res J Infect Dis* 2015;3:2. doi:10.7243/2052-5958-3-2.
- [9] Dash M, Padhi S, Mohanty I, Panda P, Parida B. Antimicrobial resistance in pathogens causing urinary tract infections in a rural community of Odisha, India. *J Fam Community Med* 2013;20:20–6. doi:10.4103/2230-8229.108180.
- [10] Abduzaimovic A, Aljicevic M, Rebic V, Vranic SM, Abduzaimovic K, Sestic S. Antibiotic Resistance in Urinary Isolates of *Escherichia coli*. *Mater Socio-Medica* 2016;28:416–9. doi:10.5455/msm.2016.28.416-419.
- [11] Curcio D. Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections: are you ready for the challenge? *Curr Clin Pharmacol* 2014;9:27–38.
- [12] Ansari S, Nepal HP, Gautam R, Shrestha S, Neopane P, Gurung G, et al. Community acquired multi-drug resistant clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* in a tertiary care center of Nepal. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2015;4:15. doi:10.1186/s13756-015-0059-2.
- [13] Fernando MMPSC, Luke WANV, Miththinda JKND, Wickramasinghe RDSS, Sebastiampillai BS, Gunathilake MPML, et al. Extended spectrum beta lactamase producing organisms causing urinary tract infections in Sri Lanka and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern –A hospital based cross sectional study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2017;17. doi:10.1186/s12879-017-2250-y.
- [14] Sharma M, Pathak S, Srivastava P. Prevalence and antibiogram of Extended Spectrum β -Lactamase (ESBL) producing Gram negative bacilli and further molecular characterization of ESBL producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. *J Clin Diagn Res JCDR* 2013;7:2173–7. doi:10.7860/JCDR/2013/6460.3462.
- [15] treatment guidelines for antimicrobial.pdf n.d.

- [16] Behera B, Mathur P. High levels of antimicrobial resistance at a tertiary trauma care centre of India. *Indian J Med Res* 2011;133:343–5.
- [17] Gandra S, Mojica N, Klein EY, Ashok A, Nerurkar V, Kumari M, et al. Trends in antibiotic resistance among major bacterial pathogens isolated from blood cultures tested at a large private laboratory network in India, 2008–2014. *Int J Infect Dis* 2016;50:75–82. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2016.08.002.
- [18] Chaudhary M, Ayub SG, Mir MA. Comparative efficacy and safety analysis of CSE-1034: An open labeled phase III study in community acquired pneumonia. *J Infect Public Health* 2018;0. doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2018.04.006.

Table 1: Demographic and baseline characteristics of all study subjects (n=20).

Characteristics		(n=20)
Gender	Male, n (%)	9 (45)

	Female, n (%)	11 (55)
Age (year)	Mean±SD	51±7.3
Height (cm)	Mean±SD	167±9.14
Weight (kg)	Mean±SD	72±11.2
BP (mm of Hg)	Systolic (Mean±SD)	130±21.83
	Diastolic (Mean±SD)	80±13.18
Pulse (beats/min)	Mean±SD	100±10.68
Respiratory rate (/min)	Mean±SD	21.5±7.09
Diagnosis n (%)	UTI	15 (75)
	Urosepsis	5 (25)
Co-morbidities n (%)		
	DM	12 (60)
	Hypertension	08 (40)
	Hypothyroidism	04 (20)
	COPD	02 (10)
	Others	03 (15)
Pathogen n (%)		
<i>E. coli</i>	N (%)	12 (60)
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	N (%)	05 (25)
<i>A. baumannii</i>	N (%)	03 (15)

*Others include CAD, gastritis, osteoporosis.

Table 2. In-vitro antibiotic susceptibility testing of the pathogen isolated to various antibiotics.

Antibiotic	<i>E. coli</i>		<i>K. pneumoniae</i>		<i>A. baumannii</i>	
	Resistant N (%)	Sensitive N (%)	Resistant N (%)	Sensitive N (%)	Resistant N (%)	Sensitive N (%)
Cefipime	12 (100)	0	5 (100)	0	3 (100)	0
Cefazolin	12 (100)	0	5 (100)	0	3 (100)	0
Ceftriaxone	12 (100)	0	5 (100)	0	3 (100)	0
Pip-Taz	9 (75)	3 (25)	4 (80)	1 (20)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)
Cefaperazone- Sulbactam	10 (83.3)	2 (16.7)	5 (100)	0	3 (100)	0
Fluoroquinolones	11 (91.7)	1 (8.3)	4 (80)	1 (20)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)
Meropenem	0	12 (100)	4 (80)	1 (20)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)
CSE-1034	0	12 (100)	0	5 (100)	0	3 (100)
Colistin	0	12 (100)	0	5 (100)	0	3 (100)

Table 3: Hematology parameters (mean) of all the treatment groups before and after treatment.

Laboratory parameters	Screening	Completion	p-value
Hb (g %)	11.02±1.96	11.19±1.77	0.775
E.S.R (mm/h)	40.7±19.36	32.03±11.73	0.0949
T.L.C (/mm3)	10636.2±4647.05	9589.41±2956.01	0.4007
Lymphocytes (%)	12.23±5.03	20.17±8.52	0.0009
Blood Urea nitrogen (%)	19.5±10.07	13±8.52	0.025
S. Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.36±0.56	0.73±0.49	0.0005
S.G.P.T (U/L)	31.01±9.84	20.63±8.71	0.0011
S.G.O.T (U/L)	39.03±13.08	22.81±8.11	0.0001
A.L.P (U/L)	141.85±36.27	101.74±23.19	0.0002
International normalized ratio (INR)	0.91±0.12	0.95±0.10	0.259
Prothrombin time	11.4±1.17	11.7±1.24	0.44

Table 4. Display of outcomes based on the type of infection and pathogen.

	CSE-1034	CSE-1034+Levofloxacin
Total		
Clinical cure	18/20 (90)	2/20 (10)
Clinical failure	2/20 (10)	0
Based on infection		
UTI	15/15 (100)	0
Urosepsis	3/5 (60)	2/5 (40)
Based on pathogen		
<i>E. coli</i>	12/12 (100)	0
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	4/5 (80)	1/5 (20)
<i>A. baumannii</i>	2/3 (66.6)	1/3 (33.3)