SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRIMPS_43512**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Hepatoprotective and antioxidant activity of Enicostemma littorale against CCl4 induced liver damage in rats**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

<mark>PART 1:</mark> Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line (L) 16, 145, 155: total bilirubin Line 17: Peroxidation (please change in whole manuscript) Line 19: Incorrect spacing with punctuation between AST, ALT, ALP Line 21: biochemical markers L23: Please check the sentence Line 35: The or A hepatic system Line 54: Used as organ Line 62: anti-inflammatory Line 69: plant of *E. littorale* was Line 86: obtained from Line 91: This research was carried out by(following) the rules governing Line 108: was adopted Line117: injection Line 120: Heparinized blood sample was taken L145: and Total bilurubin (and total bilirubin) Line 153: However, Line159: AST-Aspartate transaminase Line 169: Similarly, 185 picture heading: Antioxidant activity Line 205: with reactive oxygen species L213: Please check thiacetamide L218: oxidative inactivation L225: nontoxic L231: injury occurs L239: active principle(s) Reference No: 8 I have not found any relevant material regarding Enicostemma littorale(E. littorale) Blume is having antimalarial activities. (possible change the reference)	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 8, 11: mentioned the abbreviation repeatedly L22: EEEL means what? L43 : Please check ($CCl_3 \cdot$, $CCl_3O_2 \cdot$). L59: caused by various toxicants [4-5]. L 89: <mark>Western</mark> albino rats or Wistar albino rats please check L 136: Please mention SPSS or any other data base used L 207: please write MDA full form L215, 216: if you mention numerous studies then coat 2 or more references (only 19 authors have coated)	
Optional/General comments	L:80 The presence or absence of the primary and secondary phytoconstituents was detected by usual prescribed method but not mentioned what are the phytoconstituents detected in results or discussion. Please look into this. Please check the references format all not following the same format	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*T. Nagamma*
Department, University & Country	*Department of Biochemistry, Melaka Manipal Medical College, India*