



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_43112
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Oxidative Stress Status in Testosterone and Estradiol Induced Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia in Wistar Rats
New Title:	Effect of <i>Veronica amygdalia</i> (VA) on Oxidative Stress Status of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Induced-Wistar Rats
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Most of the corrections have been done by the authors and the manuscript looks good too but still some typographical mistakes can be seen which need to be corrected before publication.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Page 5 Line 1. 'powered' sample.... It should be 'powdered'. 2. There is no mention of the acute toxicity studies conducted for the determination of doses of the extracts. Were such studies done? If yes, please provide the details as a separate section about "Acute Toxicity Studies" or if the authors have a reference of such a study on the same plant, they can cite that as a supportive reference. 3. Tables. The mention of superscripted letters indicating $P > 0.5$ is confusing. Single letter can represent either $P > 0.5$ or 0.01 or 0.001 etc. but how can the different letters signify the same value of $P > 0.5$ in a different manner. Each letter must have a different P value. Authors please check this again as this is not the correct way to show the results as far as P values are concerned. <p>I would suggest a minor revision for this paper before publication.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alok Nahata
Department, University & Country	Ying Zhi Agricultural and Industries Sdn Bhd, Malaysia