SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRIMPS_41893**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PREVALENCE AND SUSCEPTIBILITY ANALYSIS OF GRAM NEGATIVE PATHOGENS IN TERTIARY CARE TRANSPLANT HOSPITAL, MUMBAI**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract The abstract is 305 words. It is more than the required 300 words What do you mean by ''anti-bacteria susceptibility patterns'' recast the entire introduction Line 12: ''whole 362;; recast The method is not adequate. How was the susceptibility test carried out? Line 17: ''which tested positive for…'' or from which Gram negative bacteria were isolated?. ..The majority''? Replace with specific number Line 21: you can begin a sentence with abbreviation, what is CSE? Line 27: susceptibility behavior? Your languages are not in line with the discipline The abstract did not give a clear picture of what was done Line 74-81: separate sample collection from isolation of bacteria Line 105-107: these are methods, delete. Line 108-114: recast. Instead of using were positive, it should read were isolated Line 115: did you identify the samples or the isolates? Recast Line 145: ''in the face of ….''. Please recast Lines 145-151: this is not a discussion. It contains a mixture of introduction, aim and methods. Delete. Line 155: Kumar et al. [12] and not Kumar *et al*., [12] Recast the sentence '' Antimicrobial resistance is an important concern for the public health authorities at global leve'' Line 157-161: you wrote on recent data but you cited no reference. Include the references also reading it further showed that you dwelt so much on another person's work instead for you to discuss your work in relation to previous studies . Line 161-172; conclusion/recommendation at the middle of discussion is not acceptable Line 176: how do microbes make contributions? Please go through the discussion and re write and mind the use of your languages.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Falodun, Olutayo Israel***
Department, University & Country	***Department of Microbiology, University of Ibadan, Nigeria***