



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_41764
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Analysis of Patients' Medicine Prices in a Public Teaching Hospital and Private Retail Community Pharmacy in a Rural Community in the South East Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript examines a relevant theme, makes a significant contribution on a subject matter underexplored in economic literature, is written in readable form, includes an instructive material for the reader, has its origin in a meritorious research and its structure fits well to a regular article.</p> <p>Nevertheless, with respect to the sections METHODS and RESULTS, can be considered the opportunity to:</p> <p>(a) examine the classification of the study as a prospective study in line 95;</p> <p>(b) explain the criterion implicit in the statement "A total of 30 pharmaceuticals products were selected for the survey based on the area disease burden for data collection." of line 109;</p> <p>(c) explain the method used to calculate the median price collected for October 2014 of each medicine listed in Table 1 in both pharmacies surveyed, specifically clarifying whether were calculated simple averages or averages weighted by the quantities sold;</p> <p>(d) explain = 0.871 in line 146; and</p> <p>(e) confirm data for Methyldopa 250mg tab in Table 1.</p> <p>Considering the major revision suggested, the manuscript may be accepted for publication as a Regular Article.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcelino José Jorge
Department, University & Country	Evandro Chagas National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Brazil