



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_40661
Title of the Manuscript:	INFRARED SPECTROSCOPY AND MICROORGANISMS ASSOCIATED WITH AFRICAN NUTMEG MONODORA MYRISTICA SEEDS SOLD IN A MUNICIPAL MARKET IN IMO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors have indeed done justice to the research questions. Only few errors were identified and there are described as follows:</p> <p>1. THE ABSTRACT:</p> <p>a. It is more scientific to start a sentence with a non-numerical element. 15g in line 4 should be spelt out accurately. Or better still, introduce an adverb "Exactly" to it.</p> <p>b. In line 9, change it to its.....</p> <p>2. INTRODUCTION is quite ok. The only problem I think stands to be corrected is the objectives. Please combine the two objectives highlighted in this section. I suggest: This research was targeted to ascertain the IR spectral of possible functional groups resident in the seeds of <i>Monodora myristica</i> and their possible antimicrobial effect.</p> <p>3. MATERIALS AND METHODS: Is ok</p> <p>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: Am not very comfortable with the way yours results were presented. Hence work on the result section by:</p> <p>a. Ensuring that each result is well interpreted then followed by discussion if you decide to combine both.</p> <p>b. Table 1 should be struck out please. This is because wavenumber and % transmittance were incorporated also in Table 2.</p> <p>c. Remove the heading bearing 3.1. <i>Infrared spectroscopy</i>.</p> <p>d. Format subheadings as follows:</p> <p>1. Introduction</p> <p>2. Materials and Methods</p> <p>3. Results and Discussion</p> <p>4. Conclusion</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Figure 1 of IR-spectroscopy should be presented in colour if possible. This will help us to clearly detect the spectral lines with ease.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arunsi Uche Okuu
Department, University & Country	Department of Biochemistry, Abia State University, Nigeria