



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_38681
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of traditional medicinal vegetables (ulam) against pathogenic microorganisms –A review
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - My first comment concerning the privation in the first ling of introduction I prefer write the complete name not privation like World Health Organization (W.H.O.) - The researcher should refer to all the plants used (ulam) in the introduction so that the reader can take a general idea before detailing the effect of each plant on the pathogenic microorganisms . - The report of W.H.O. in which year you are published should indicate the year in which the report was published . <p>The study proven that methanolic extract of <i>B.racemosa</i> leaf has the strongest inhibitory effect against <i>Fusarium sp.</i> <i>Ganoderma lucidum</i> , <i>Aspergillus sp.</i> and <i>Tricoderma</i> . All this fungal is plant pathogenic what the relation with the human health is??</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - WHO reported in that 80% of the world population. - You have to have a homogeneous description of all the plants species, for example, the family of each plant and where there is in Malaysia because the researcher was satisfied with a general description (the family of <i>Pluchea indica</i>?????)) - In the references, the researcher should consider them with regard to the correct writing of scientific names. 	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salhi Nasrine
Department, University & Country	Biological sciences department, Ouargla University, Algeria