



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_38442
Title of the Manuscript:	Do Students Value Feedback? - A Study On Differing Perceptions By Students In The Feedback Process In Medical And Health Sciences University
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Dear authors, Feedback is a very important issue we should to make research about as you mentioned. What statistical methods used was not mentioned. After material and methods section results should be shown as tables to understand easily. The answers as percentages the used statistical analysis must be in the article. The discussion also is not discussing the results with the literature. It seems to be a part of introduction. So there is a need to make major revisions.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazan Karaoglu
Department, University & Country	Family Medicine, Necmettin Erbakan University, Meram Medical School, Turkey