



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_38024
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of homeopathic drug, Chelidonium majus, on metabolic abnormalities induced by HAART in mice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The concentration of <i>C. majus</i> was expressed as 6, 12, and 30CH. What is the full name of CH. This is not common abbreviation. 2. If not the journal format, result and discussion should be separated. 3. Numbers shown in chart bars are mean, are not they? How are their SD. values? 4. The results did not show statistical comparison. If any results showed statistically significant difference with $p < 0.05$ as described in Material and Methods? 5. The results of clinical parameters are unclear. What parameters were found only in HAART group and not found in control and HAART + Chel groups. 6. How can you explain the results of HAART + Chel 12CH that were different from HAART + Chel 6CH and HAART + Chel 30CH. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veeravan Lekskulchai
Department, University & Country	Department of Pathology, Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand