



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Microbiology Research Journal International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_MRJI_36168 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative evaluation of nosocomial infections in two major hospitals in Calabar Metropolis, Cross River State |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|--|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Conclusion included in manuscript and abstract must be more specific, and about the comparison and drug profile, enlisted the microorganisms and this profile in both hospitals 2. Included more information of the dates of MIC and MBC in microorganisms. 3. Various mistake of spelling, use of capital letters, spaces between words and English style CFU, Saboraud 4. Many mistakes of abbreviation of international system of measures, i.e. h, g, UFC, mL, min, h. 5. Is not defined the abbreviation of names of hospitals as GH and IDG 6. Please review the use of word species and abbreviation sp and spp in text and tables | <p>This is taken care of in the manuscript.</p> <p>We have never reported dates MIC and MBC were done before. Anyways, we have also added our findings on MBC and MIC in the abstract.</p> <p>We have effected all these in the manuscript. All the same, where it was wrongly spelled , we have corrected them.</p> <p>These are all taken care of in the manuscript.</p> <p>This is captured early enough in the abstract and in the last paragraph of the introduction.</p> <p>We have harmonized the use of the use in the manuscript.</p> <p>We have also reduced the number of tables by removing tables 1 and 2 because they had the same information as Table 3 and figures 1 and 2. Thus, in the arrangement, Table 3 is now Table 1.</p> |