



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_36168
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of nosocomial infections in two major hospitals in Calabar Metropolis, Cross River State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<div>1. Title and propose is not comparative evaluation of nosocomial infections, really your research is description of microorganisms isolated in various areas of two hospitals and then their comparison</div> <div>2. The numbers of microorganism and percentage are not correct, please review deeply</div> <div>3. The references are not wrote in the same style</div> <div>4. A lot of tables, the table 1 and 2 can be showed together and to eliminate others or take in count only the most important information</div> <div>5. It is not clear the importance of determine the MBC</div>	Ok.
Minor REVISION comments	<div>1. Various mistake of spelling, use of capital letters, spaces between words and English style, i.e. sometimes the name of hospital is used Diseases others Disease</div> <div>2. A lot of mistakes of abbreviation of international system of measures, i.e. h, g, UFC, mL</div> <div>3. Be carefully with names of bacteria include specie spp o sp</div>	
Optional/General comments		