



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRMPS_43112
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Oxidative Stress Status in Testosterone and Estradiol Induced Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia in Wistar Rats
New Title:	Effect of <i>Vernonia amygdalina</i> (VA) on Oxidative Stress Status of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Induced-Wistar Rats
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>some typographical mistakes can be seen which need to be corrected before publication.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Page 5 Line 1. 'powered' sample.... It should be 'powdered'. There is no mention of the acute toxicity studies conducted for the determination of doses of the extracts. Were such studies done? If yes, please provide the details as a separate section about "Acute Toxicity Studies" or if the authors have a reference of such a study on the same plant, they can cite that as a supportive reference. Tables. The mention of superscripted letters indicating $P > 0.05$ is confusing. Single letter can represent either $P > 0.5$ or 0.01 or 0.001 etc. but how can the different letters signify the same value of $P > 0.5$ in a different manner. Each letter must have a different P value. Authors please check this again as this is not the correct way to show the results as far as P values are concerned. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Corrected in page 5 lines 100 and 102 Literature review and references on acute toxicity studies has added at page 4 lines 83-91. Corrected. Post hoc multiple comparison test was used to analyse the data. Page 12 line 228-230. Page 12 lines 236-238 Page 13 lines 244-246