



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_42617
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Three Male Sudanese Cadavers for Unusual Observations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: your title is so ambiguous, you could perhaps say; Anatomical variations in the brachial artery, extensor carpi radialis longus and musculocutaneous nerve in adult male Sudanese cadavers</p> <p>Abstract: In your method its better you include study period instead of academic year.</p> <p>Introduction: The first statements is so long break it up and correct your grammar. Gives not give and also coracobrachialis should be corrected. Second statement....it continues... Correct this statement; The musculocutaneous nerves passes under the coracobrachialis muscle and then continues until its first branch to the biceps brachii muscle</p> <p>... biceps tendon, it continues as the lateral cutaneous nerve of the forearm.</p> <p>...flexor compartment (one word) of the arm.</p> <p>From this statement and so on 'The MCN as a whole did not pierce coracobrachialis but instead gave a branch to it'....is this not part of results! It's confusing when I see it in the introduction.</p> <p>Your introduction should be kind of literature review to support your findings. Rewrite your introduction please.</p> <p>Methods and materials:academic year is not a study period state the exact period of study. I see pictures in your results, what did you use to take them? Isn't it worthy mentioning in your materials? I think it's better you mention the areas of your study e.g. the Musculocutaneous nerve.. other than saying anatomical variations in structures. Could you please label the cadavers from from this chapter! Eg cadaver 1 was male 60 years old.</p> <p>Observational results: checkout your grammar eg "During dissection of cadaver (2) figures (2) (3) the left upper limb was showed" "The ulnar artery pass deep to the median then on" change this to present continuous tense</p> <p>Figures; remove headings above</p> <p>Can you describe the variations below the images instead of writing abbreviations in full! All cadavers have the muscles and nerves you are telling us so what is variation you are trying to point out? Put emphasis here please.</p> <p>What is the use of Mohammed A.A.A in all images....remove it</p> <p>Discussion: That opening statement is no appropriate</p> <p>References: Your references are not consistent according to the journal standard, go to the journal website and download some papers, try to see how they did reference.</p>	<p>Thanks a lot for yr help and advice.</p> <p>I did all the corrections.</p> <p>The figures should be in results, only i have to mentioned the cadaver that i used.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		