



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIMPS_41737</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENTS AND RENOPROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF METHANOL EXTRACT OF Parquetina nigrescens IN DIABETIC WISTAR RATS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>-Results in abstract section should be re-edited and more clarified</b> <b>-How and why were 100 &amp; 200 mg of MEPN selected</b> <b>-The total dose should be mentioned</b> <b>-Result phrases should be re-written and increase and decrease shown with respect to control untreated or diabetic groups. Generally, we do not mention the increase in control as in case of section 3.5 for creatinine</b>	<p>I have re-edited the whole abstract</p> <p>Lowest doses (100 and 200mg/kg ) of MEPN with biological activities were choosen. I avoided loading the rats with too much of extract since 100 and 200mg/kg give the desired effects</p> <p>I have re-edited the result section following the comments from the first reviewer.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		