



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_40465
Title of the Manuscript:	Modulatory effects of Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and Melatonin or their mixture on Alzheimer's rats induced by aluminum chloride
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: ..melondyaldehyde COMMENT: ...malondialdehyde</p> <p>It would be better if the abstract is structured.</p> <p>There are no references for the first and second paragraph of the introduction!</p> <p>The introduction is lengthy and the literature presented on the metals and aluminium is extended.</p> <p>All the reference cited in the introduction are very old (> 10 years old). They should be updated. The study objectives should be presented at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>Studies that could be further cited:</p> <p>Abdel-Salam OME, Youness E, Morsy FA, Mahfouz M, Kenawy SA. Study of the effect of antidepressant drugs and donepezil on aluminum-induced memory impairment and biochemical alterations in rats. Comparative Clinical Pathology 2015;24(4):847-860. DOI 10.1007/s00580-014-1994-7</p> <p>Saleh RA, Abdelkader NF, Attia AS, Kenawy SA. Neuroprotective effects of saxagliptin against scopolamine-induced Alzheimer's-like pathology in rats. Posters-Accepted Abstracts: Clin Pharmacol Biopharm. DOI: 10.4172/2167-065X.C1.014</p> <p>The methods should be better wrapped up. The statistical analysis paragraph is too short and should be expanded to better reflect the study.</p> <p>The discussion could be more focused.</p> <p>The references in the list are too much for an original article. They are about 70 references and up to 40 references is acceptable. Many of the references are identical and repeatedly uploaded.</p>	<p>Done.</p> <p>Done.</p> <p>Done.</p> <p>Done.</p> <p>Done.</p> <p>The statistical analysis is appropriate in this study.</p> <p>Done.</p> <p>Done.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		