



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRMPS_40236</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Cytological Features of Fine Needle Aspiration of Breast lesions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>General Revision Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title might be slightly misleading; perhaps the title could be revised to mention that the article involves descriptive statistics on fine needle aspiration cases of breast lesions at the Kasr El-Aini Hospital. Perhaps "Descriptive Cytological Statistics of Fine needle Aspiration Cases of Breast Lesions, the Unique Kasr El-Aini Hospital Experience"</li> <li>2. References are out of order (for instance the first reference in the paper on line 20 is reference 10). Consider arranging references in order referenced in paper.</li> <li>3. Some references appear to be unused or uncited in the paper. For instance, I did not see reference 1 referred to in the paper...except in the references section.</li> <li>4. Consider comment regarding relationship between Kasr El-Aini Hospital and Cairo University Hospital.</li> </ol> <p>Potential Minor Grammar Revision Comments:</p> <p>There appears to be some possible potential grammatical issues.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract background: consider be "breast lesion cases" instead of "breast lesion cases"</li> <li>2. Abstract background: capitalize kasr el-aini hospital (Kasr El-Aini Hospital)</li> <li>3. Abstract Aims: Review instead of Revision</li> <li>4. Abstract Aims: "Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology" does not need capitalization. Could also replace with acronym "FNAC".</li> <li>5. Abstract Place and Duration of Study: Revision should be review, and "Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology" without capitalization or replaced with acronym.</li> <li>6. Abstract "Data required" could be "Data acquired". Also "revised" could be "reviewed".</li> <li>7. Abstract Results: "The age range was from 12 to 86 years, and the mean age of the sample".</li> <li>8. Abstract Conclusion: "different governorates, and to compare between"</li> <li>9. Introduction line 20, 22, and 31: Need space after period in "[10].Recognizing", "[10].Only", and "[14].According".</li> <li>10. Introduction line 32 and 33: excess space between "varies from" and "value from"</li> <li>11. Material and Methods lines 37, 38, and 39: excess space between "needle aspiration", "through collection", and "of medicine".</li> <li>12. Material and Methods line 44: revised should be reviewed.</li> <li>13. Statistical analysis will be conducted line 52: should not be a space between gender and following comma.</li> <li>14. 3.1.3 Mass size range for all cases line 84: "The least mass sizes" sentence is a little confusing. Maybe consider rewording beginning of sentence as "The mass sizes with the smallest number of patients were"</li> <li>15. 3.1.3 Mass size range for all cases line 86: possible rewording..."of the individuals (approximately 76%) have a mass size between 2 cm and 5 cm."</li> <li>16. 3.1.4 Categorization of cases in accord to diagnosis line 99: space needed between graph and 3.</li> <li>17. 3.2.2 Evaluation of clinic-pathological parameters with C2 line 123 and 124: possible rewording "2 cm and 3 cm comprising approximately 21% of the cases." Approximately should be before the stated %. Also, after "From the graph" there should be a comma.</li> <li>18. 3.2.2 Evaluation of clinic-pathological parameters with C2 line 125: rewording "skewed; there were a few cases with higher values of mass size. On the other hand, there were many cases with..."</li> <li>19.3.2.3 Evaluation of clinic-pathological parameters with C3 line 133: rewording "The age distribution was skewed to the right; there were many young individuals."</li> <li>20. 3.2.3 Evaluation of clinic-pathological parameters with C3 line 139: "All of the 31 cases"</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I was changed the title ,like you told me "Descriptive Cytological Statistics of Fine needle Aspiration Cases of Breast Lesions, the Unique Kasr El-Aini Hospital Experience" .</li> <li>2. I do check for all the references and do it as per APA style.</li> <li>3. You are right, already I do check for the references.</li> <li>4. I'm pointing it out after your feedback ,it is becomes ' Kasr El-Aini Hospital (Cairo University Hospital) '</li> <li>5. I do correction for all your Grammar comments that you told me.</li> </ol>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>are female (100%).”</p> <p>21. 3.2.4 Evaluation of clinico-pathological parameters with C4 line 144: category should be categories, and no period should be present after “(33.3%)”.</p> <p>22. 3.2.4 Evaluation of clinic-pathological parameters with C4 line 146: “The age distribution was skewed to the left; there were many older individuals.”</p> <p>23. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 201 and 202: “most of the individuals (approximately 76%) had a mass size between 2 cm and 5 cm.” Space between 5 and cm.</p> <p>24. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 204: “3.5 cm. Our...” Space after period, and beginning O in our of new sentence should be capitalized.</p> <p>25. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 205: “1 cm to 12 cm (the mean of” should start parenthesis before the.</p> <p>26. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 206-207: “primary the tumour” the should not be capitalized and “1.5 cm to 11 cm with an average of 4.1 cm” replace the mean with an.</p> <p>27. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 208: should be space after ,</p> <p>28. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 213: “In C5 diagnosis cases” in should be capitalized.</p> <p>29. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 214: reword as “Our findings are nearly in agreement with [3].”</p> <p>30. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 216: excess space between “the highest”</p> <p>31. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 218: “80% of all diagnoses were in those over 50”</p> <p>32. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 219: “2008 and 2010)”</p> <p>33 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 225: no period after [17] and “in benign conditions (C2)”.</p> <p>34. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 226: “with mean of 34.8. Our”</p> <p>35. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 230: thate should be that</p> <p>36. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 233: comma after [14]</p> <p>37. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 235: space between 86 and years</p> <p>38. 3.3.3 Correlation between mass size &amp; diagnosis line 237-238: space between a and slightly, recored should be recorded, and comma after [33].</p> <p>39. Conclusion line 253: no space should be before commas.</p> <p>40. Conclusion line 258-259: instead of semicolons, consider putting parenthesis around diagnosis categories C1, C2, C3, C4 and C5.</p> <p>41. Conclusion line 261: “breast masses, a triple test”</p> <p>42. Conclusion line 263: “governorates, and to compare”</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		