



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_40236
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytological Features of Fine Needle Aspiration of Breast lesions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Retrospective demographic study.Very common interpretation in this advance era. Author can separate discussion from results.In discussion they have not mentioned study done by other authors,they only mentioned reference no which is not conventional. Reference should be as per Vancouver style. Picture of article can be improved.</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, you are right , know I do separate discussion from results.</li> <li>2. That is right thanks for your comment , I correct all the mastic.</li> <li>3. I do check for all the references and do it as per APA style.</li> <li>4. I improved the Picture like you told .</li> </ol>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Table no and pictorial depiction of bar statistics can be minimised.</b>	I do it already , After your advice.So, I remove paragraphs and table not important.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<b>Average quality article.</b>	