



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_40206
Title of the Manuscript:	Oral versus Parenteral Iron Supplements: Which is better?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>1) First of all, this article is not an original idea. This result is an expected result. It is an expected result that intravenous iron therapy can be more effective than oral iron treatment and can respond more quickly.</p> <p>2) But it can be accepted when considering the number of patients taken into the study and the study design.</p> <p>3) As a supplementary recommendation, a table comparing the cost of oral iron therapy and intravenous iron therapy can be added to the end of the study.</p>	Thanks a lot for reviewing my paper. I have included what you asked for although not in the form of table. I hope that this paper can be of a value for the reader.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The marking rules can be reviewed again.	I have highlighted all the updated parts of manuscript.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	This article can be accepted to publish after the minor revision.	I want to thank again for your valuable remarks which helped me make my manuscript worthy of a publishing.