



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_35748
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH PATIENTS WITH VESICOVAGINAL FISTULA IN MACHAKOS COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. The authors stated that" the patients with VVF were purposively selected". Please explain: 1) how these patients have been selected? Which criteria has been used to select these patients? 2) if the patient selection was not random, will the selection procedure introduce bias.</p> <p>2. S18, S79 and S135: With mean and median years of 22.3 years . Please note most the time: mean \neq median. Please clarify</p> <p>3.S23- 24: out of the 14 with husbands, all had .. with (71,4%, n=10), please clarify the statement and define the relationship of these numbers, it is very hard to understand the logicIn both the abstract and main body of the manuscript, author stated that " VVF condition mainly from obstructed labour due to Malpresentation of the baby at delivery, Cephalopelvic disproportion (CPD), maternal distress or Cervical dystocia". However, these are all descriptive and no any data to support these results.</p> <p>4. in the introduction, please add a few sentences to clearly the aim/purpose of the study.</p> <p>5. S76 author stated " regression analysis has been used. However, there had no any data/results reported. Please clarify which statistics methods</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>have been used in the study.</p> <p>6. What 's the difference between Table 1 onset of VVF and Table 4: occurrence of VVF. For my best knowledge, it described the same issue. However, the data represented are totally different.</p> <p>7. Table 7 sounds non-senesce, as it did not support any of your main conclusion, please delete it from the manuscript.</p> <p>8. The title of Table 2, 3, 5, an d6, please delete " shows". They are titles, not the legends of Table</p> <p>9. In the discussion, the authors cited a few references to support the results and conclusion. However, what's the difference between your study and others? (e.g study design, population, findings, conclusions..) If whatever you described, whatever you found and conclude herein were the same as others, the scientific value of the manuscript will be reduced.</p>	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>S53: FGM: Please define and give the full name of the abbreviation.</p> <p>Author should consider to add some graphs or use some graphs to replace the table, for example , for these correction studies, a graph will show your data more clear and make the manuscript readable</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Please do English polishing/correction all through the manuscript, some example:</p> <p>S10: the patients has... and their inner wear: her innerwear.</p> <p>S45: self esteem: self-esteem</p> <p>S44-45, the condition... neglect from family: has been neglected</p> <p>S46: She (??), if it results ;it (??): Patient with VVF.. , if the condition...</p> <p>S47: Most communities considering such women: most communities consider such women</p> <p>S50: in developed world: in the developed world</p> <p>S73-73 interview schedules with the respondents were conducted and key informant interviews and focus group discussions we carried out. (???)</p> <p>.....</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Yong Du</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Biomedical Engineering, University of Houston, USA</i>