



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRMPS_34665
Title of the Manuscript:	Using 7.1 chlorhexidine gel for umbilical cord care: implication for WHO's recommendation for a standard cord care practice
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>My evaluation</p> <p>The article is aimed to review the use of 7.1 chlorhexidine gel for umbilical cord care. The title is “Using 7.1 chlorhexidine gel for umbilical cord care: implication for WHO's recommendation for a standard cord care practice”.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Several factors influence the efficacy of 7.1 chlorhexidine gel for umbilical cord care. Some limitations might be occurred. Please discuss these factors.2. Please add more details of the advantages and the disadvantages of 7.1 chlorhexidine gel.3. What are the new knowledges from this report?4. Finally, please recommend the readers “How to apply	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	this knowledge for routine clinical practice?”.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Somchai Amornyotin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Anesthesiology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand</i>