6

## **Original Research Article**

# ASSESSMENT OF THE MICROBIOLOGICAL SAFTEY OF POTABLE WATER FROM RURAL SETTLEMENTS IN OWO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF ONDO STATE, NIGERIA

#### ABSTRACT

Access to quality drinking water is a major problem in rural settlements in Owo Local 7 Government Area (L.G.A.) of Ondo State, Nigeria where surface and ground water sources 8 (streams and wells) used for drinking are located near dump sites with faecal deposits. In spite of 9 this, few data exists on the microbiological safety of water sources in these settlements. 10 Therefore, this study investigated the microbiological safety of drinking water from 10 rural 11 settlements in Owo (L.G.A), Ondo State Nigeria. Bacteriological analysis were carried out on 12 13 water samples (wells and streams) that served as sources of potable water in these settlements to ascertain the quality of drinking water in these communities. Water samples were examined for 14 total bacteria, total fecal coliform and total enterococci counts respectively. The detection of 15 16 Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp, Klebsiella aerogenes, Enterococci faecum, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus were assessed by various biochemical tests. Fecal 17 coliform contamination was detected in 35% of water samples (streams and wells) across the 18 rural settlements while faecal enterococcal growth was also detected in 35% of the water samples 19 20 from sample sources analyzed. The bacteriological loads of 65% of the sampled water from the different settlements were also found to be higher than the minimum value set for drinking water 21 by W.H.O. Hence, the results from this study established the need for improved community 22 access to potable water across these rural settlements by encouraging construction of toilet 23 24 facilities and provision of proper waste disposal system by Local Government Authorities. 25 Proper health education and strict monitoring of sanitary practices in these settlements by local health officials is also recommended across environmental biosaftey and containment of likely 26 27 outbreaks in the nearest future.

28 Keywords: Drinking water, faecal coliforms, Owo L.G.A, Nigeria, Faecal enterococci, rural

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Access to safe drinking water is a basic human right as it is crucial to maintenance of 31 32 community health status [1,2]. Nations maintain optimal health and rural development of their communities by a continual, steady supply of safe drinking water to their population [1-3]. 33 However, drinking water is also the most important source of gastro-enteric diseases worldwide, 34 mainly due to the fecal contamination of raw water or recontamination of drinking water at 35 36 source and point of use [2-4]. About two thirds of drinking water consumed worldwide is derived 37 from various surface water sources like lakes, rivers and open wells and it can easily be contaminated microbiologically by sewage or fecal discharges by animals or human [1-4]. As a 38 39 result, water related diseases continue to be one of the major global health problems [2,3]. It is estimated globally that 80% of all illnesses are linked to use of unsafe and microbiologically 40 poor water quality [5-7]. 41

In developing countries however, about 1.8 million deaths per year are attributed to 42 unsafe water, sanitation and hygiene, mainly through infectious diarrhea and gastro-enteric 43 44 infections [1,3]. Gastro-enteric diseases remain a major killer in children as it is estimated that 17% of all child deaths under the age of 5 years in developing countries result from diarrheal 45 diseases [7-8]. In developing countries such as Nigeria, most of the rural settlements are poor 46 with lack of access to potable water supplies and hence they rely mainly on rivers, streams, wells 47 48 and pond water sources for their daily needs [1,3,6-8]. Water from these sources is used directly by the inhabitants and the water sources are faecally contaminated and devoid of treatment 49 before drinking [5-7]. Consequently, a significant proportion of residents in rural settlements of 50 51 Nigeria are exposed to water-borne diseases and their complications [4,9]. Pathogenic contaminants in these water sources are derived from animal and anthropogenic sources 52

including humans in these settlements and this is mostly encouraged in areas with poor standards
of hygiene and sanitation [1,5]. The sanitation crisis heightens when it is accompanied by poor
health protection system associated with poor life standards of living common to many rural
settlements in Nigeria [6].

57 The microbiological quality and safety of potable water in rural settlements of Owo L.G.A. of Ondo State Nigeria has been brought into question as most sources of potable water in 58 known rural settlements are located around faecal and refuse dump sites, and there are no 59 functional water storage facilities provided by local government authorities to these settlements 60 for their health and safety. Hence, this study assessed the microbiological safety of drinking 61 water in 10 rural settlements in Owo L.G.A. of Ondo State via bacteriological analysis of 62 drinking water from surface and ground water sources in the study area and to highlight the 63 associated possible public health risk factors. 64

#### 65 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 66 Study area description

Owo Local Government Area (L.G.A) is found in Ondo State, Nigeria with coordinates
7°11N 5°35E/ 7.183°N 5.583°E [10]. It is located at 150 km north of Akure, Ondo State capital
with an estimated population of 425,700 [10]. The 10 rural settlements under study focus for this
research are: Alupe (A), Ago- Ebira (B), Ijebu (C), Ipele (D), Ipenme (E), Ode Oriya (F,) Utelu
(G), Ohore (H), Ilale (I) and Isu Ada (J) settlements respectively.

72

#### 74 Study and sampling design

A descriptive analytic study was used to examine the bacteriological quality of drinking water from ground and surface water sources in the 10 settlements listed above. Water samples from wells and streams that served as major potable water source in these settlements were collected via simple random sampling methods.

#### 79 Sample collection

A total of 20 water samples were collected from both ground water and surface water 80 sources across 10 rural settlements of Owo L.G.A. in December, 2016. Out of these, a total of 10 81 well water samples and 10 stream water samples were collected from different locations across 82 the rural settlements using a simple random sampling technique. Ethical approval was obtained 83 84 from the local health management authorities before samples were obtained. The samples were collected aseptically into labeled sterile universal bottles (250ml) and stored in ice packs before 85 bacteriological analysis. All the samples collected were analyzed in the laboratory within 6hr of 86 87 sample collection.

#### 88 Sample preparation and Standardization of Inoculum

[1, 11] was adopted for water sample preparation and Inoculum standardization in which sterile distilled water was used as diluents and a 1ml of each stock was taken using a sterile syringe into 9ml of sterile distilled water for serial dilution procedure in sterile test tubes under aseptic conditions until four different dilutions were obtained. Thereafter, a 1 ml of each dilution factor was used for inoculating already prepared Nutrient Agar (for total bacterial counts), MacConkey Agar (for total faecal coliforms) and Bile Esculin Agar (for total faecal enterococci counts), incubated for bacterial isolation at 37°C for 24 hours [1,12-16]. After the incubation

time, the culture plates were observed for determination of colony forming units and thereafter,
the fourth dilution factor was established as the standard for the isolation of the microbes due to
easy numerical estimation of the colony forming units on the agar plate of the last dilution factor
[1,17].

#### 100 Biochemical characterization and identification of isolates

The methods described by [1, 17-19] were adopted by subjecting the various obtained sub 101 cultured distinct colonies to wide arrays of biochemical tests for characterization and 102 identification. Gram staining technique, Catalase test, Motility test, Sugar fermentation (glucose, 103 sucrose, lactose, mannitol and triple salt iron) tests, Methyl Red/Voges Proskauer test, Oxidase 104 test, Coagulase test and Catalase tests were carried out on the distinct isolates obtained after sub 105 culturing [17,19]. The distinct biochemically characterized colonies were then further sub 106 cultured on MacConkey Agar and Bile Esculin Agar respectively; incubated at 37°C for 24 h [12, 107 17,19]. Thereafter which the identity of the bacteria isolates was determined after their growth 108 109 on these selective media.

#### 110 **Preservation of Isolates**

111 The identified pure isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Enterococcus* 112 *faecium, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella aerogenes* and *Salmonella spp* were preserved on 113 Nutrient Agar Slants and stored at 4°C as described by [13, 17,19].

114

115

#### 117 Data analysis

118 Analyzed sample treatments were replicated thrice; data means obtained were subjected 119 to a 2-way analysis of variance and treatment means were separated using Duncan's New 120 Multiple Range test at  $P \le 0.05$  level of significance [1,5].

#### 121 **RESULTS**

The means of the total bacterial count, total faecal coliforms count and total faecal 122 123 enterococci counts of the samples analyzed from different colony forming units after incubation 124 were subjected to statistical analysis using Duncan's New Multiple Range test at  $P \le 0.05$  level of significance as represented in Tables 1, Tables 2 and Tables 3. Bacterial isolates from the sample 125 sources analyzed were verified by various biochemical tests as represented in Table 4 while a 126 total of 90 isolates of Staphylococcus aureus (31), Escherichia coli (16), Enterococcus faecium 127 128 (10), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (12), Klebsiella aerogenes (8), and Salmonella spp (13) were screened out from the water samples collected (Table 5). Generally, it was observed that the 129 130 total bacterial, faecal coliforms and enterococci loads of the samples from the surface water (stream) were higher than those of the ground water (well) across the rural settlements. 131

Furthermore, the total bacterial count (TBC) of 80% (8 out 0f 10) of surface water samples (streams) across the rural settlements were above the specified standard of 5 cfu/ml (colony forming unit per ml) [14-16]; while the TBC of 50% (5 of 10) of ground water samples (well) were higher than the WHO specified standard (Table 1). The faecal coliforms load of 40% (4 out of 10) of surface water samples (streams) from the rural settlements were above the specified WHO standard ( $\leq$  3 cfu/ml) [14-16] while in ground water samples (wells) only 30% (3 out of 10) samples from the settlements were higher than the specified standard (Table 2).

- However, 50% (5 of 10) stream water samples had a total faecal enterococci load higher than the
- specified standard ( $\leq 0$  cfu/ml) [14-16] while 20% (2 of 10) ground water samples had faecal
- 141 enterococci growth higher than the specified standard (Table 3).

T			OTEDIAL (									
I		IOIAL BA	IOTAL BACTERIAL COUNT OF WATER SAMPLES ACROSS RURAL SETTLEMENTS (Cfu/ml)									
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J		
S	21.30±	12.10±	5.70±	3.60±	22.11±	18.10±	3.10±	8.20±	21.90±	11.90±		
	1.00 <sup>c</sup>	1.43 <sup>b</sup>	1.30 <sup>a</sup>	1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.48 <sup>c</sup>	2.00 <sup>c</sup>	1.33 <sup>a</sup>	2.10 <sup>b</sup>	1.22 <sup>c</sup>	1.20 <sup>b</sup>		
W	9.80±	9.80±	3.50±	2.00±	11.80±	1.90±	2.60±	2.10±	9.80±	6.50±		
	1.30 <sup>c</sup>	2.00 <sup>c</sup>	1.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.21 <sup>d</sup>	1.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.20 <sup>b</sup>	$1.50^{\rm c}$	1.00 <sup>b</sup>		

 142
 Table 1: Total Bacterial counts of Water samples from Streams and Wells across 10 rural settlements

143

144 Keys: T- sample types, W- well, S- stream, A- Alupe, B- Ago-Ebira, C- Ijebu, D- Ipele, E- Ipenme, F- Ode Oriya, G- Utelu, H- Ohore,

145 I- Ilale and J- Isu- Ada values with the same letter as superscript have no significant difference at  $p \le 0.05$  level of significance.

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

154	Table 2: Total	faecal coliforms	counts from wa	ter streams and	wells across	10 settlements
-----	----------------	------------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------

Т		TOTAL FA	ECAL COL	IFORM COU	NT OF SAM	PLES ACROS	SS RURAL SI	ETTLEMEN	NTS (Cfu/ml)	
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	Ι	J
S	10.90±	1.50±	2.60±	1.60±	11.20±	1.10±	0.60±	2.80±	0.80±	6.10±
	2.00 <sup>c</sup>	$1.00^{a}$	$1.00^{a}$	1.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.28 <sup>d</sup>	$1.00^{a}$	$0.28^{a}$	1.10 <sup>b</sup>	$0.20^{a}$	1.31 <sup>b</sup>
W	3.40±	0.60±	0.00±	1.00±	5.80±	0.90±	0.00±	1.30±	0.00±	3.10±
	1.21 <sup>c</sup>	0.20 <sup>b</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	$0.40^{b}$	1.51 <sup>c</sup>	0.10 <sup>b</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	1.00 <sup>b</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	1.00 <sup>c</sup>

156 Keys: T- sample types, W- well, S- stream, A- Alupe, B- Ago-Ebira, C- Ijebu, D- Ipele, E- Ipenme, F- Ode Oriya, G- Utelu, H- Ohore,

157 I- Ilale and J- Isu- Ada values with the same letter as superscript have no significant difference at  $p \le 0.05$  level of significance.

165	Table 3: Total faeca	l enterococci cou	unts from water	streams and	wells across	10	settlements
-----	----------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-------------	--------------	----	-------------

Т		TOTAL FA	ECAL ENTE	ROCOCCIO	COUNT OF S	AMPLES AC	ROSS RURA	L SETTLEM	IENTS (Cfu/	ml)
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J
S	9.50±	0.00±	1.60±	0.00±	0.00±	3.80±	0.00±	1.80±	0.00±	5.40±
	2.00 <sup>d</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	1.00 <sup>b</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	$0.00^{a}$	1.00 <sup>c</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	1.10 <sup>b</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	1.71 <sup>c</sup>
W	1.40±	$0.00\pm$	0.00±	0.00±	0.00±	0.00±	0.00±	0.00±	0.00±	1.33±
	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	$0.00^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.00^{a}$	$0.00^{a}$	$0.00^{a}$	$0.00^{a}$	$0.00^{a}$	$0.00^{a}$	$0.00^{a}$	1.00 <sup>b</sup>

167 Keys: T- sample types, W- well, S- stream, A- Alupe, B- Ago-Ebira, C- Ijebu, D- Ipele, E- Ipenme, F- Ode Oriya, G- Utelu, H- Ohore,

168 I- Ilale and J- Isu- Ada values with the same letter as superscript have no significant difference at  $p \le 0.05$  level of significance.

I.	Gram Stain	Sugar Fermentation				O/C	COT	MR/VP	Gr	rowth on M	edia	N. I.	
		Lac.	Glu.	Suc.	Mann.	TSI				NA	Mac. A	BEA	
S.A.	+ve (cluster cocci)	-ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	+ve/ +ve	+ve	-ve/-ve	Cream/ raised	-ve	-ve	31
E.C.	-ve (bacilli rods)	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	A/G	+ve/ +ve	-ve	+ve/-ve	Cream/ raised	+ve (pink)	-ve	16
E.F.	+ve (cocci chains)	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve/ -ve	-ve	-ve/-ve	Milky/ lobate	-ve	+ve (pink)	10
P.A.	-ve (bacilli rods)	-ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	K/NF	+ve/ +ve	-ve	-ve/-ve	Cream/ raised	+ve (pink)	-ve	12
K.A.	-ve (bacilli rods)	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve	A/G	+ve/ +ve	-ve	+ve/+ve	Cream/ raised	+ve (pink)	-ve	8
S.S.	-ve (bacilli rods)	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	K/H <sub>2</sub> S	-ve/ +ve	-ve	-ve/-ve	Cream/ rasied	+ve (pale)	-ve	13

177 Table 4: Biochemical characteristics of isolates from the water samples across the rural settlements

179 Keys: I.- Isolates, S.A.- Staphylococcus aureus, E.C.- Escherichia coli, E.F.- Enterococcus faecium, P.A.- Pseudomonas aeruginosa,

180 K.A.- Klebsiella aerogenes, S.S.- Salmonella spp, Lac.- Lactose, Glu.- Glucose, Suc.- Sucrose, Mann.- Mannitol, TSI- Triple Salt

181 Iron, O/C- Oxidase/ Catalase test, COT- Coagulase test, MR/VP- Methyl red/ Voges Proskauer, NA- Nutrient Agar, Mac. A.-

182 MacConkey Agar, BEA- Bile Esculin Agar, N.I.- Number of isolates, -ve- negative, +ve- positive, A/G- Acid/ Gas, K/NF- Alkaline

183 slant/ No fermentation, K/H<sub>2</sub>S- Alkaline slant/ Hydrogen Sulphide produced.

I.	RURAL SETTLEMENTS									
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J
S.A.	6	1	1	2	1	5	5	4	3	3
E.C.	4	1	1	1	1	3	2	1	1	1
E.F.	3	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	1
P.A.	3	1	1	2	-	-	1	2	2	-
K.A.	2	1	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	-
S.S.	4	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	2	1

184 Table 5: Distribution of identified isolates across the 10 rural settlements

186 Keys: I.- Isolates, S.A.- Staphylococcus aureus, E.C.- Escherichia coli, E.F.- Enterococcus faecium, P.A.- Pseudomonas aeruginosa,

187 K.A.- Klebsiella aerogenes, S.S.- Salmonella spp, A- Alupe, B- Ago-Ebira, C- Ijebu, D- Ipele, E- Ipenme, F- Ode Oriya, G- Utelu, H-

188 Ohore, I- Ilale and J- Isu- Ada.

189

190

#### 192 **DISCUSSION**

193 It was observed from this study that, the drinking water samples across all the 10 194 settlements are faecally contaminated by either faecal coliforms or faecal enterococci or even both. This is mainly due to sanitary practices across these settlements as sources of potable water 195 serves other purposes such as bathing, waste disposal, faecal dumps and so-on asides drinking, 196 this agreeing with other recent finding done by [5,11-13]. The total bacteria counts of all the 197 198 samples were generally higher than the specified WHO standards as reflected in the results [14-199 16] but more importantly, the total bacteria counts of samples from surface water (streams) were generally higher than the total bacteria counts of ground water sample (wells) and this was also 200 201 noticed in the case of total faecal coliforms counts and total faecal enterococci counts respectively as also indicated in the findings of [9,11-13]. 202

Subsequently, the oral interviews conducted by authors with the inhabitants of these 203 settlements revealed that the settlements lacked access to potable water or water storage facilities 204 205 and are unwilling to see any potential harm in using stream water for their drinking and other domestic purposes; although bioethical concerns exist in their cultural belief that flowing water 206 sources (streams) cannot be contaminated; the authors however, didn't press further to 207 investigate this belief as it was beyond the scope of this research aim, similar bioethical concerns 208 were also encountered in the reports of [1, 5, 12-14]. Local health demography of these rural 209 210 settlements obtained from local health authorities suggests frequent relapse of gastro-intestinal infections and this research study accurately justifies why it is so, this agrees also with the 211 findings of [1,9,11]. 212

213 Since the standard of living in these settlements are generally low with high poverty 214 rates, it was obvious that adequate health care facilities and basic social amenities were not in

place, hence, the use of water bodies as vehicles for waste disposal had become a norm and suchis the case of many rural settlements across developing African countries [6-7, 14-16, 18].

#### 217 CONCLUSION

Urgent and adequate government aid and intervention is highly recommended for these 218 219 settlements as the results of this research have proved potential danger of outbreak of gastro-220 enteric infection across these rural settlements. Moreso, improved access to potable water across these rural settlements, construction of toilet facilities and provision of proper waste disposal 221 222 facilities by Local Government Authorities is strongly recommended. Proper health education 223 and strict monitoring of sanitary practices in these settlements by local health officials is also 224 recommended for environmental biosaftey and containment of likely outbreaks of infection in 225 the nearest future.

226

#### REFERENCES

- Negera, E., Geritu, N., and Mulugeta, K. Microbiological assessment of drinking water
   with reference to diarrheagenic bacterial pathogens in Shashemane Rural District,
   Ethiopia. African Journal of Microbiological Research, 2017;11(6)254-263. DOI:
   10.5897/AJMR2016.8362.
- JMP (Joint Monitoring Programme) (2008). Joint Monitoring Programme by WHO and
   UNICEF for Water Supply report, Geneva Switzerland.
- 233 3. Roy, S., Scallan, E., and Beach, M. The rate of acute gastrointestinal illness in developed
  234 countries. J. Water Health, 2006; 4(2):31-70.
- 4. Wright, J., Gundry, S., and Conroy, R. Household drinking water in developing
  countries: a systematic review of microbiological contamination between source and
  point-of-use. Trop. Med. Int. Health, 2004; 9(1):106-117.

238	5.	Johnson, R., Boni, G., Amoukpo, H., Barogui, Y., Diez, G., and Agossadou, D.
239		Microbiological Quality Assessment of Drinking Water in Lalo Commune, Benin (West
240		Africa). J. Water Resour. Protect. 2016; 8:816-822.
241	6.	MoH (Ministry of Health) (2007). Need Assessment to Achieve Universal Access to
242		Improved Sanitation and Hygiene By 2012. Working Document, Abuja, Nigeria.
243	7.	MoWR (Ministry of Water Resources) (2007). Ethiopian Water Resources Management
244		Policy, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
245	8.	Pironcheva, V. Water Management Practices in Rural and Urban Homes: A Case of
246		Bangladesh on Ingestion of Polluted Water. J. Public Health, 2004; 112:317-321.
247	9.	Zvidzai, C., Mukutirwa, T., Mundembe, R., and Sithole-Niang, I. Microbial community
248		analysis of drinking water sources from rural areas of Zimbabwe. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.,
249		2007; 1(6):100-103.
250	10	National Bureau of Statistics (N.B.S.). Projected population of prominent Nigerian
251		towns. <u>www.wikipedia.com/</u> . 2011; 337-341.
252	11.	. Mpenyana-Monyatsi, L., Onyango, M., Momba, M. Groundwater quality in South
253		African Rural Community: a Possible Threat to Public Health. Pol. J. Environ. Stud.
254		2012; 21(5):1349-1358.
255	12	. Miner, C., Dakhin, A., Zoakah, A., Zaman, M., and Bimba, J. Physical and
256		Microbiological Quality of Drinking Water Sources in Gwafan Community, Plateau
257		State, Nigeria. Pyrex J. Res. Environ. Stud. 2016;3(1):001-006.
258	13	. Niemi, R., Mentu, J., Siitonen, A., Niemela, S. Confirmation of Escherichia Coli and its
259		destination from Klebsiella spp. by gas and indole formation at 44 and 44.50C. J. Appl.
260		Microbiol. 2007;95:1242-1249.

261	14. WHO (World Health Organization). Guidelines for drinking water quality: Health
262	criteria and other are supporting information, Geneva Switzerland, 1st edition, 1996; 133-
263	142.

- 264 15. WHO (World Health Organization). Reducing risks, promoting Healthy life, Geneva,
  265 Switzerland. 2nd edition, 2002; 21-24.
- 266 16. WHO (World Health Organization). Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Geneva,
  267 Switzerland, 3rd edition, 2003;81-87.
- 268 17. Cheesebrough, M. District laboratory practice in tropical countries, Cambridge
   269 University Press, New York, 2ed, 2010;157-164.
- 18. Hamdan, R. H., Musa, N., Musa, N., Seong Wei, L., and Sarman, A. Isolation and
  enumeration of coliform bacteria and *Salmonella* spp. from short necked clam *Orbicularia orbiculataat* East Coast, Malaysia. *Internet Journal of Food Safety*, 2008;
  10: 58-64.
- 274 19. Olutiola, A., Musa, B., Udoma H. Conventional and alternative methods for isolation and
  275 identification of bacteria from different sample sources an overview. Handbook of
  276 Microbiological laboratory practice, 2001; 2: 11-12.