



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in medical and pharmaceutical sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms 36533</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Who has heard or used female condom ? Factors associated with inconstant use sexual active young person</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title:</b> Too long need to revised</p> <p><b>Materials and methods</b> -the author/s have left the reader with a lot of question i. why chose the Ogun and Etick states- what was special in the chosen study areas ii. why use multistage and semi-structured - need an explanation</p> <p><b>Results</b> -table of results need to revised - line 137-140 are part of the results - associated factors with inconstant use of the sexual active young person did not come out vivid</p> <p><b>Discussion</b> To be re-written. There is no flow of ideas in the discussion</p>	<p><b>TITLE</b> Title now revised to "Factors associated with inconsistent female condom use among sexually active young persons in Western Nigeria"</p> <p><b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> Ogun &amp; Ekiti States were chosen due easy access of sampling. Nothing special.</p> <p>Multistage was used in order to select an unbiased set of respondents/participants while semi-structured was used to get indepth information required.</p> <p><b>RESULTS</b> Table of results revised.</p> <p>Line 137 – 140 now added to results.</p> <p>The factor checked which educational status has been shown not to have effect on the sue of female condom.</p> <p>Discussion issue sorted with more information added.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction There is problem of English</p>	<p>All of the issues sorted now.</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	Eg Line 17- will should was Line 23. We do not start a sentence with number Line 37 the argument should be backed with reference so is line 38 and 41 line 44 and 45 are not well linked	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Researcher/s are addressing an important aspect of protection against HIV and STI. However it is not well written. There is some problems in English language.	All of the issues sorted now.