



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRMPS_35748
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH PATIENTS WITH VESICOVAGINAL FISTULA IN MACHAKOS COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Both Table 1 and Table 4 are similar. Both show age at onset/ occurrence of VVF. Please explain why these tables have been separated, how these two age groups are different with respect to each other.	Table 1 corrected to capture "age of VVF patients" Table4 retains " age at the occurrence of the condition "
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discussion is well written. 2. Sample size of the study is very small. In an epidemiological study, sample size should be large enough to detect statistically significant differences. 3. Psychological aspects could have been discussed in much more detail in the discussion section. For ex. a table showing number of divorces/ how life changed after repair would have given more insight. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Thanks for comment 2. VVF is a rare case to find but significant when it occurs. The major limitation was to observe large number of cases. In a period of 6months, 34 cases were observed 3. Out of the 14 married women, 10 of the husbands expressed that they tolerated their wives, only 4failed to respond . no woman reported divorce