



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_35747
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES OF POLAR AND NON-POLAR SOLVENT LEAF EXTRACTS OF <i>Gongronema latifolium</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarify on what basis and how you have selected Tween 20 (line no.79) as a solvent base to dissolve the extract. 2. In Aim (line no.8) you have mentioned that the study is on leaves as same in the materials and methods (line no.66) as contrast in line no. 89 you have mentioned that different parts of plants has collected – clarify it. 3. Why you have used DMSO as negative control? (line no. 134) 4. Observation for the negative control was not given. 4. Issue and volume number was not provided for Reference no. 3. 5. Reference format should be rechecked with respect towards journal format. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tween-20 is one of the common solvents used in biological laboratory since it is similar to cellular fluid physiologically. 2. It was a mistake, this paper is a part of the reports on the biological activities of the whole plant. 3. It was a typographical error, Tween-20 was used since it was the solvent for dissolution of extracts. 4. Observations for negative control is not given because it was zero all through. 5. Volume number is given, there is no issue number on the paper. 6. References have been adjusted to the journal format
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Grammatical errors should be corrected.	All grammatical errors have been corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		