



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIMPS_35747</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES OF POLAR AND NON-POLAR SOLVENT LEAF EXTRACTS OF Gongronema latifolium</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Kindly follow the format of journal.</p> <p>Paste images of antimicrobial activity that you had performed.</p> <p>Check the grammar and spacing error.</p> <p>Change the wordings where u mentioned about phytochemical study. It is actually preliminary analysis so kindly mentioned this.</p> <p>Conclusion is too small and inappropriate.</p> <p>The presentation of your major work is about anti-bacterial activity so try to focus on these results.</p> <p>Incomplete discussions as the results were not compared with previous work.</p> <p>Kindly rewrite and more focus on biological activity.</p>	<p>The journal format for referencing have been used.</p> <p>All grammatical and spacing errors have been corrected</p> <p>Preliminary has been included in the result section.</p> <p>The work is about both antibacterial and antifungal activities of the plant extracts. However, the plant extracts showed more antibacterial activities than antifungal.</p> <p>The results were compared with eight (8) previously published reports of other investigators as shown in the references cited in the results and discussions segment.</p> <p>Thanks</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		