



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRMPS_33584
Title of the Manuscript:	Crosstalk Between Mitochondria and Myofibrils in Adult and Aging Striated Muscle Tissue: Effect of Increased Functional Activity
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Size of letter in figures 1 and 2 are tinny and it is quite difficult to read and to understand. Bigger letters and squares related might give a better visual understanding. - The text is poorly argued and it is not neatly exposed, being quite chaotic very often. Some better clarified paragraphs are missed, such as "Introduction", "Theory about endurance exercises", "Theory about aging over muscles", "Exercises examples to increase metabolism and oxygenation of body", "Skeletal muscle fibers definition", "Molecular details of muscle work", for instance might be of great use. - The begging of the sentence "enduring exercises...." is abusively used, at the beginning of so many sentences, giving a bored structured and poor meaning: lines 50, 132, 138, 144, 152, 161, 167, 170, 172, 175, 179, 183, 192, 193, 195, 198, 213, 221, 229, 252, 253, 255, 260, (it has to exist some synonym for "endurance"). 	OK
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line 189 is written "rhis" and it should be written "this". General revision about the correct spelling and grammar structures should be made by a native speaker. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		