



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_44946
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Mobile phone Reminders and Recalls on Pentavalent Vaccines Drop-out among Infants in a Developing City, Southeast Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I am suggesting rephrasing the topic to read “Effect of Mobile phone Reminders and Recall System on Pentavalent Vaccines Drop-out rate among Mothers/Caregivers Accessing childhood Immunisation Services in a Developing City, Southeast Nigeria. More so, since you are measuring “ drop-out” in percentages, I am of the opinion you add the word “rate” to make it a quantitative term. Key words: You may use MeSH to find the keywords and it Should be arranged alphabetically.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 27-36: you may restructure these sentences using punctuation where necessary to unambiguously state the results. Line 33: you may restructure the sentence to make meaning. Line 48: you may provide citation to this fact. Line 57: you may correct the word “il” Line 65-69: you may provide citation to this information. Line 69-71: This is a repetition of the statement made in 57-58 Line 71-76: since this is an academic writing, you need to support every statement with a citation. Line 93-96: You may rephrase the sentences for easy comprehension Line: 144- You may wish to define AOR Do follow the journal guideline for paragraphing and reference arrangement. Some journals in the reference sections were italicized while others were not. There are also discrepancies in punctuations.	
Optional/General comments	Technically, the researcher has done a good job.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chidi Ugwuoke
Department, University & Country	Bayero university kano, Nigeria.