



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_43028
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness about Hepatitis B and/or C Viruses among Residents of Adama and Asella Cities
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 5: Define hepatitis being inflammation of the liver central to Remove every other attachment. Recast to properly to bring forth the totality of the background. Lines 8-10: Recast: Assess the level of awareness of Lines 11-14: Write in clear terms. Recast Lines 15-16: Recast to appropriately reflect the results Lines 25-26: Recast.. put sentence right and avoid the use of unscientific terms Lines 27-28: What is the role of HEV and how is HAV spread? Lines 33-34: Look up current literature. Recast line 34 Lines 35: Explain persistent infection Line 40: Recast sentence Lines 44-80: Should be removed. Literature review is not necessary here. Line 81: Put on a separate headings Line 84: Recast: Two- stage stratified sampling technique was used..... Line 90: Recast. Summarise into a line or two Lines 344-359: Should be included in the discussion. Conclusion should begin from: Awareness campaigns should be enhanced.....	We think, line 11-14 is written in a clear way. In Line 27 we mention Hepatitis A as it is the usual cause for Hepatitis and I think we don't have to discuss how it spread since it is not part of the study. We agreed with the other comments, and we highlighted them and we removed all the unnecessary parts that are told by the reviewers.
Minor REVISION comments	Lines 140-158: Reduce to a line or two Lines 161-182: Unnecessary Lines 183-186: Unnecessary Lines 188-192: Unnecessary Lines 194-199: Unnecessary Lines 201-214: Unnecessary Line 215: A line or two will be enough Lines 220-246: Unnecessary Lines 248-249: repetition Line 268: Briefly explaining the table will be high yield.	
Optional/General comments		