



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_43028
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness about Hepatitis B and/or C Viruses among Residents of Adama and Asella Cities
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- First of all the authors must read the journal instruction for authors and follow it. 2- Carefully review the English and grammar, marking all changes clearly. 3- Title: line 2: the name of this city was written in different way in this manuscript some times Asella or Assela please consider revising 4- Abstract: Line 5-6: This sentence should be revised and considered Why the authors did focused only on the bacterial infection and ignored the viral and other pathogens infection? - Line 16: Association with what? Please specify! - Line 17-22: this is recommendation! Please write short conclusion followed by this recommendation 5- Introduction: line30: ref. No [1] is related only to HCV add another ref. describe HBV spreading - Line 33-34: Please remove this sentence as it was repeated. Add ref.2,3 to the first sentence - Line 37: remove an - Line 44-80: In this section (literature review) the authors focused on the HBV and ignored to mention information about HCV - According to journal instruction for authors there are no need to add literature review, so the authors should adjust, minimize and add it to the introduction section and try to emphasis his point of view regarding the importance of performing such kind of studies (Awareness about infection importance and impact). - Line 47-49: is there any refs.? Add one - Line 59-61: is there any refs.? - Line 70: Ref.no. [6] is related only to HCV add another ref. describe HBV 6- Methods: If the authors use SPSS software to perform the analysis why did they write very long method section containing many formulas. This section should be shortened as possible - If the authors use the software {SPSS} what is the need for refs [10-12] 7- Results: Line 254 and 261: in this tables you should mention the total examined number 589 And 533 or 648 and 586 - In table 4 the authors focused only on some items, What about the other points of your survey? - Is there any description for table 5 ! , the author's should describe or remove - Line 286-288: this sentence is confusing, What did you mean? - Line 299-302 should be removed. No need to re-mention 8- Discussion: this section is badly written and only supported with one ref. I think this is not acceptable. - Line 332: it is only one study not studies, this ref(Misra et al., 2012) is not listed in the ref section, please follow the instruction for authors - Lines 334, 336 which study where the refs are????? - Line 340,341: where is the ref of this information? 9- Conclusion: this section is very long it is longer than the discussion section it should be reduced - Lines 344-351: Remove as it was repeated in the result and discussion sections - Lines 354-357: Move to the discussion section and discuss well - Lines 358-359: remove - Lines 370-378: Once more it is repeated it should be removed or rephrased 10- References should be arranged according to the Journal guideline. Make sure ALL	I agreed with the reviewers comment and highlighted corrected part. Some sentences are commented to be removed and I removed them. But some comment says, for example, table 5 "is there any description", yes there is the description, it says "vaccination and testing experience of Adama and Assela cities respondents" .



SDI Review Form 1.6

	references within text are captured in the reference page	
Minor REVISION comments	In The results section: - Many Spaces and capital letters should be considered, please check the highlighted attached PDF - Line 254 and 261: the word Hepatitis Repeated should be removed - Line 264: you should add (, respectively.) - Table 8 title should be above the table - In table 8 and 9 many words should written in the full name or corrected for example: Montlyincome - Line 312: use demonstrate instead of see - Line 312-313: the whole sentence should be rewritten in a good English In the References sections: - Many highlighted items should be considered, please check the highlighted attached PDF	
Optional/General comments	References should be arranged according to the Journal guideline. Make sure ALL references within text are captured in the reference page.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>