



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_40950
Title of the Manuscript:	An unusual development of a Madura Foot: A case report
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1- Where is the case report occur ? 2- What are result of Fine needle aspirations cytology ? 3- This is case report and rare case is Molecular based identification techniques were done? 4- the differentiation between actinomycetoma and eumycetoma infection is not possible by MRI ,the author depend on it 5- Although The current recommended management of actinomycetoma is a combination therapy in 5-week cycles He received an antibiotic therapy consisting in 24 Mui of Penicillin 48 G three times a week followed by six g of Amoxicillin every day during 12 months. No improvement was observed 6- The prevention is of great interest , what are prevention method , should be published ,educated to all farmers.	Thank you for your valuable comments. The corrections have been made and highlighted. NB: - No fine needle aspiration was done; a surgical biopsy was directly performed with histological study. The diagnosis was based on histology. - Unfortunately, no Molecular based identification was done.
Optional/General comments		