

***In vitro* multiplication of *Oroxylum indicum* (L.) through apical and axillary buds and determination of genetic fidelity using RAPD markers**

**ABSTRACT**

Present study involves to develop a protocol for large scale multiplication of *Oroxylum indicum* L. plants through apical and axillary buds using *in vitro* techniques. The apical and axillary buds were cultured on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium supplemented with different combinations of 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) and kinetin. The maximum number of shoots were observed on MS medium supplemented with 8.88 µM of BAP. Rooting was observed in half-strength MS medium supplemented with 8.88 µM of BAP and 7.38 µM of Indole-3-butyric acid. The well-developed plants were hardened in peat and perlite (1:1) and acclimatized in green house and 98% survival rate was observed and then shifted to field for cultivation. Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers were used to evaluate the genetic fidelity of the micropropagated plants. Ten different decamer primers were used to amplify genomic DNA from *in vitro* field grown plants and the mother plant to assess the genetic stability. The RAPD profile analysis revealed that micropropagated plants has no somaclonal variations with the mother plants. These results suggest that the micropropagation protocol developed by this study for rapid *in vitro* multiplication is appropriate and applicable for clonal mass propagation of *Oroxylum indicum* which helps in silviculture developments.

**Key words:** Genetic fidelity, *In vitro*, Micropropagation, *Oroxylum indicum* L., RAPD marker

**1. INTRODUCTION**

*Oroxylum indicum* is one of the important medicinal plant, belonging to the family Bignoniaceae. It is found in India, Sri Lanka, South - East Asia, Philippines and Indonesia up to an altitude of 1200 m [1]. This plant has been used as a single drug or as a component of certain poly-herbal drug preparations in ayurvedic system of medicine. It is an active ingredient of well known ayurvedic formulations like Chyavanprash and Dashmularista [2].

*O. indicum* are germinating naturally by seeds, however seed dispersal is transpire through anemochory and many seeds may reach unfavourable habitats resulting in poor seed germination. In contrast owing the demand for its medicinal uses over exploitation and unsustainable harvesting are the important factors to threatening its survival. Hence, there is an emerging need of skill to conserve this taxon. At present, the plant tissue culture is a potentially useful technique for *ex situ* multiplication and restoration of affected taxa. Keeping this in view, an attempt has been made to multiply *O. indicum* through *in vitro* technique. Further genetic fidelity test would support our protocol for true to type clones, since some times *in vitro* raised plantlets might exhibit somaclonal variation by numerous factors such as prolonged culture period or genetic mutation [3, 4]. The morphological, biochemical and molecular analysis have been developed to assure the genetic fidelity of *in vitro* derived clones. However, most of these methods have their own limitations [5]. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based techniques like -random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) are is very -useful in establishing the genetic stability of *in vitro* regenerated plantlets in many crop species [6, 7]. This method is considered to be sensitive enough to detect the variations or genetic relationship among individuals between and within species.

Quite a number of researchers have been published on *in vitro* multiplication of *O. indicum* however, these studies have not attempted fidelity test on *in vitro* raised hardened plants [8, 9, 10]. Nevertheless RAPD marker studies on *O. indicum* were reported by differentiating the genetic diversity of this plant from different geographic location [11]. Henceforth, the present investigation emphasizes the development of plants through *in vitro* technique and analysis of the genetic fidelity through molecular markers.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Plant material and surface sterilization

Apical and axillary buds of *O. indicum* were collected from near Alsoor lake, Bangalore, India and used as a source material. The collected specimens were identified using *The Flora of the presidency of Madras* [11]. These freshly collected apical and axillary buds were washed with running tap water containing soap oil for 30 min to remove the dust particles. The explants were then washed

twice with Tween 20 for 2 to 3 min and rinsed five to six times with distilled water. For surface sterilization, the explants were immersed in 5.0% Bavistin (Carbendazim) for 1h to eliminate fungal contamination followed by rinsing with autoclaved doubled-distilled water. Further, the explants were sterilized with 70% alcohol for 2 to 3 min and 0.1% mercuric chloride for 2 to 3 min and rinsed three to four times with sterile distilled water under aseptic conditions in laminar air flow chamber.

## **2.2 Culture media and growth condition**

The Murashige and Skoog's (MS) basal medium and supplemented with different concentrations of growth regulators like 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP), kinetin (Kn) and with 3% sucrose (w/v) as a carbon source were prepared [12]. The pH of the media was adjusted to 5.6 to 5.8 by using 0.1N HCl/NaOH, before addition of 0.8% agar (w/v) and then autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min. The autoclaved medium was stored at room temperature for 24h to check microbial contaminations. The cultures were maintained in culture room at a temperature  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  under built-in white fluorescent light at a photon flux density of  $30\text{--}50 \mu\text{Em}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  under a photoperiodic regime of 16 h of light and 8 h of dark cycles.

## **2.3 Regeneration of shoots and roots**

For shoot regeneration, apical and axillary buds (Fig. 1) were cultured on MS medium fortified with different concentrations of cytokinins like BAP ( $1.11 - 11.11 \mu\text{M}$ ) and Kn ( $1.16 - 11.62 \mu\text{M}$ ) individually. Percentage of regenerated shoots and the number of shoots per explants were recorded at the end of regeneration period. The shoots were subculture on half strength MS medium fortified with BAP  $8.88 \mu\text{M}$  and different concentrations of auxins such as  $\alpha$ -naphthalene acetic acid (NAA), indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) with 2% sucrose(w/v) in all the combinations for root development. The mean number of roots and its length were examined periodically up to 4 weeks of culture.

## **2.4 Hardening and acclimatization**

Hardening and acclimatization is the most important steps in the micropropagation process, where it provides plants to tolerate and surviving in the external environmental conditions. The rooted plants were taken out from the culture bottles and washed thoroughly to remove the traces of agar medium and immersed in Bavistin (5%) solution for 5 min. to protect from fungal infection. Then plantlets were transplanted in to pot containing sterilized peat and perlite (1:1) and were kept in green

88 house to maintain high humidity and watered at 2-day intervals. Survival rate of the hardened plants  
89 were observed before transferring to the field.

## 90 **2.5 Genetic fidelity testing of *in vitro* raised plants and mother plant**

91 Fresh leaf samples of *Oc. indicum* (obtained from *In vitro*) were collected from The Himalaya  
92 Drug Company campus and mother plants from near Alsoor Lake, Bangalore. The samples were  
93 washed with running tap water in addition of soap oil for 10 min and rinsed with double distilled water  
94 to remove surface contaminants. These cleaned leaves of both samples (100 mg each) were frozen  
95 separately in liquid nitrogen and ground in a mortar and pestle to become a fine powder. Total DNA  
96 was extracted from the leaves as per the manufacturer's instruction manual of Gen Elute™ Plant  
97 Genomic Kit (Sigma Aldrich). The DNA concentration and purity were analysed by 0.8% agarose gel  
98 electrophoresis with ethidium bromide staining and spectrometric method.

99 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was carried out in 25 µL volume using 10  
100 different decamer primers. The reaction mixture consisted of 1U of Taq DNA polymerase (Bangalore  
101 Genei), 0.25 µL each of dNTP (10 mM), 5 pmole of decanucleotide primer (Sigma Aldrich),  
102 1×polymerase buffer (2.5 µL), 0.25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 50 ng of DNA sample. The amplification was  
103 performed using a thermal cycler (PTC-100TM, MJ Research. Inc., USA). The program consisted of  
104 initial denaturation at 93°C for 4 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 93°C for 1 min,  
105 annealing at 37°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 2 min, and at a final extension cycle of 8 min at  
106 72°C. The amplified products were checked in a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and  
107 documented by a gel documentation system (Pharmacia Biotech).

## 108 **2.6 Data analysis**

109 All the cultures were examined periodically. Each experiment was repeated 3 times, and  
110 subculturing was carried out at 4-week intervals. The mean number of shoots per culture and mean  
111 number of roots per shoot and their lengths were recorded. The data were analysed statistically by  
112 one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison post hoc tests. The minimum level of significance  
113 was fixed at  $p < 0.05$  with two tailed  $p$  value. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism  
114 version 4.03 for windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

115

## 116 **3. RESULTS**

### 117 **3.1 Shoot regeneration**

Apical and axillary buds did not ~~showed~~show any response for shoot initiation on MS basal medium. Different types and concentrations of growth hormones are used for shoot formation as shown in Table 1. Shoot initiation was observed in all the six BAP combinations, however highest initiation response was observed on MS medium fortified with BAP (8.88  $\mu$ M) after 4 days of culture. After 30 days shoots were ready for first subculture, the shoots attain length (3 - 4 cm) and formed clusters. The maximum number of multiple shoots ( $9.5 \pm 0.10$  shoots/explants) and shoot length ( $6.9 \pm 0.44$  cm) were observed after second subculture (60 days) on the same medium (Fig. 2). Further, increasing the concentration of BAP showed decline in multiplication rate, in addition MS media with Kn failed to induce shoot proliferation in all tested combinations (Table 1).

### 3.2 Rooting

*In vitro* rooting of multiple shoots was achieved on half strength MS medium enriched with different concentrations of auxins (IBA, NAA, IAA) with cytokinin (BAP 8.88  $\mu$ M) and 2% sucrose. The significant induction of roots ( $7.5 \pm 0.14$ ) per shoot with an average root length ( $3.9 \pm 0.20$  cm) was found in culture contain 8.88  $\mu$ M of BAP with 7.38  $\mu$ M of IBA within 20 to 25 days of subculture (Fig. 3). Whereas, number of root formation were declined with respect to its length in lower concentration of IBA. Subsequently, NAA in combination of BAP showed moderate development of roots when compare to earlier concentrations. However IAA with BAP combinations were failed to produce roots in tested media. As an inference, IBA is referred to as the sole auxin to induce rooting in *in vitro* condition (Table 2).

**Comment [T1]:** Results are very close to IBA, Table 3. Please check the letters for statistical value.

### 3.4 Hardening of plantlets

The rooted plantlets were transferred to pots containing sterilized peat and perlite (1:1) and kept in the greenhouse for hardening (Fig. 4). After four weeks of incubation 98% survival rate was noticed in the greenhouse. Later the plants were transferred to a normal environment (Fig. 5).

### 3.5 RAPD and genetic fidelity analysis

The evaluation of the genetic fidelity of tissue culture derived plants is an essential for detection of true-to-type clones. In the present study, homogeneity of genetic materials of *in vitro* derived plants was compared to mother plant with the help of RAPD technique. To our knowledge, no report was available till date on the comparative genetic stability of *in vitro* hardened plants and mother plant of *O. indicum* by using RAPD markers. Based on RAPD analysis, no somaclonal variations was observed in tested plants (Figs. 6,7,8.-8). In the present study, total number of bands observed were

73 out of which 31 bands were monomorphic in nature (16.6 % - 50%). The number of amplification products obtained by these primers are summarised in Table 3. The size of the monomorphic DNA bands produced by the tested primers ranged from 250 bp to 2000 bp.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

In the present study, standardized the protocol for multiple shoot formation from apical and axillary bud of *O. indicum*. Six different concentrations of growth regulators (BAP and Kn) were tested for shoot proliferation. The maximum number of shoots with significant shoot length was observed in MS medium fortified with 8.88  $\mu$ M of BAP after second subculture. Out of two cytokinins BAP was identified as significant growth hormone for shoot initiation and multiple shoot formation. Earlier reports have shown that MS with BAP media stimulate the regeneration of plants and gives more shoot regeneration than Kn [13, 14]. The present finding is also agreement with earlier study [15].

The significant development of roots were observed in half strength MS medium containing 8.88  $\mu$ M of BAP and 7.38  $\mu$ M of IBA with 2% sucrose. In the present investigation, IBA is used as the sole exogenous auxin to produce rooting in *in vitro* regenerated shoots. The similar kind of success of IBA in promoting efficient root induction have been reported for *Clitoria ternatea* L., *Murraya koenigii* L. Spreng, and *Ocimum basilicum* L. [16, 17, 18].

*In vitro* regenerated plants do not survive without acclimatization, because it has to adjust many abnormalities in *ex vitro* environment like light, humidity and temperature. However, *in vitro* raised plants need gradual changes in environmental conditions to avoid desiccation, water relations and photoinhibition. In the present study, micropropagated plants were acclimatized [19, 20, 21] in primary hardening chamber and transferred to green house where 98% survival rate were ~~found~~ found.

The evaluation of the genetic stability of tissue culture derived plants is an essential step to assess true-to-type clones [22]. The present study confirms the homogeneity of micropropagated plantlets compared with that of the mother plant by using RAPD technique. In general, the *in vitro* raised plants obtained from apical meristems and axillary meristems do not alter any genetic makeup, hence this mode of propagation is considered as safest method to multiply the plantlets [23, 24]. This will further strengthen our RAPD data reveals there is no evidence of somoclonal variations between *in vitro* raised hardened plants and mother plants of *O. indicum*. Hence, this is an efficient protocol for the regeneration of *O. indicum* through apical and axillary buds. However, the present protocol will

Formatted: Highlight

177 help the plant breeders and foresters able to generate disease-free, uniform parental clones with  
178 genetic fidelity to generating the population.

## 179 5. CONCLUSION

180 The present investigation is focused on establishment of mass multiplication of *O. indicum* through  
181 micropropagation tool. Researchers who have performed various studies have deduced protocols to  
182 obtain plant-lets through high concentration of growth regulators,; whereas, the present study  
183 provides a protocol to develop multiple shoots, roots and hardened plants in least concentration of  
184 growth regulators with maximum number of shoots and roots followed by well developed plants that  
185 are propagated in a normal environment successfully. The assay of genetic fidelity through RAPD  
186 markers is a very important aspect for analyzing the somaclonal variation in *in vitro* propagated  
187 plants. Plants developed in this study have not shown any variation in the genetic makeup compared  
188 with the mother plant. This kind of investigation is carried out for the first time for *O. indicum* to  
189 ascertain its stability and this protocol could be an important source for forest crop breeders, species  
190 conservation and herbal industries

## 191 REFERENCES

- 192 1. Dev L R, Mishra A, Gupta R. *Oroxylum indicum*: A review, *Phcog J*. 2010; 2 (9): 304–310.
- 193 2. Kirtikar K R, Basu B D. Indian medicinal plants, Oriental Enterprises, Dehradun. 2000; 8:  
194 2662–2663.
- 195 3. Larkin P J, Scowcroft W R. Somaclonal variation: A novel source of variability from cell cultures  
196 for plant improvement. *Theor Appl Genet*. 1981; 60: 197–214.
- 197 4. Vasil I K. Developing cell and tissue culture systems for the improvement of cereal and grass  
198 crops. *J Plant Physiol*. 1987; 128: 193–218.
- 199 5. Patel K R, Berlyn G P. Genetic instability of multiple buds of *Pinus coulteri* regenerated from  
200 tissue culture. *Can J For Res*. 1982; 12: 93–101.
- 201 6. Lakshmanan P, Lee C L, Goh C J. An efficient *in vitro* method for mass propagation of woody  
202 ornamental *Ixora coccinea* L. *Plant Cell Rep*. 1997; 16: 572–577.
- 203 7. Joshi P, Dhawan V. Assessment of genetic fidelity of micropropagated *Swertia chirayita*  
204 plantlets by ISSR marker assay. *Biol Plant*. 2007; 51: 22–26.

- 207 8. Naomita V, Dalal V, Ravishankar Rai. *In vitro* propagation of *Oroxylum indicum* vent. A  
208 medicinally important forest tree. J for Res. 2004; 9: 61–65.
- 209 9. Jayaram K, Prasad M N V, Genetic diversity in *Oroxylum indicum* (L.) vent. (Bignoniaceae), a  
210 vulnerable medicinal plant by random amplified polymorphic DNA marker. Afr J Biotechnol.  
211 2008; 7: 254-262.
- 212 10. Sharad Tiwari, Kanchan Singh, Pankaj Shan. *In vitro* propagation of *Oroxylum indicum* – an  
213 endangered medicinal tree. Biotechnol. 2007; 6: 299-301.
- 214 11. Gamble J S. *Flora of the Presidency of Madras*, vol II (published under the Authority of the  
215 secretary of state for India in council, India) 1993, 993-994.
- 216 12. Murashige T, Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue  
217 culture. Physiol Plant. 1962; 15: 473–497.
- 218 13. Agrawal M, Kamal R. *In vitro* propagation of *Momordica charantia*. Indian J Biot. 2004; 3: 426–  
219 430.
- 220 14. Narender Singh, Amrita Garg, Kuldeep Yadav, Suman Kumari. Influence of growth regulators  
221 on the explants of *Commiphora mukul* (Kook. Ex Stocks) Engl. under *in vitro* conditions.  
222 Researcher. 2010; 2: 41–48.
- 223 15. George E F, Hall M A, Klerk G J D. Plant propagation by tissue culture, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., vol I (Springer  
224 Publisher, Dordrecht, London) 2008.
- 225 16. Barik D P, Naik S K, Mudgal A, Chand P K. Rapid plant regeneration through *in vitro* axillary  
226 shoot proliferation of butterfly pea (*Clitoria ternatia* L.) a twinning legume. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol  
227 Plant. 2007; 43: 144–148.
- 228 17. Bhuyan A K, Pattnaik S, Chand P K. Micropropagation of curry leaf tree [*Murraya koenigii* (L.)  
229 Spreng.] by axillary proliferation using intact seedlings. Plant Cell Rep. 1997; 16: 779–782.
- 230 18. Sahoo Y, Pattnaik S K, Chand P K. *In vitro* clonal propagation of an aromatic medicinal herb  
231 *Ocimum basilicum* L. by axillary shoot proliferation. In vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant. 1997; 33: 293–  
232 296.
- 233 19. Fila G, Ghashghaie J, Cornic G. Photosynthesis, leaf conductance and water relations of *in*  
234 *vitro* cultured grapevine rootstock in relation to acclimatization. Physiol Plant. 1998; 102: 411–  
235 418.



- 236 20. Hiren A P, Saurabh R M, Subramanian R B. *In vitro* regeneration in *Curculigo orchoides*  
237 Gaertn. An endangered medicinal herb. Phytomorphol. 2004; 54: 85–95.
- 238 21. Lavanya M, Venkateshwarlu B, Devi B P. Acclimatization of neem microshoots adaptable to  
239 semi-sterile conditions. Indian J Biot. 2009; 8: 218–222.
- 240 22. Eshraghi P, Zarghami R, Ofoghi H. Genetic stability of micropropagated plantlets in date palm.  
241 J Sci. 2005; 16: 311–315.
- 242 23. Carvalho L C, Goulao L, Oliveira C, Goncalves J C, Amancio S. RAPD assessment for  
243 identification of clonal identity and genetic stability of *in vitro* propagated chestnut hybrids. Plant  
244 Cell Tissue Organ Cult. 2004; 77: 23–27.
- 245 24. Martins M, Sarmento D, Oliveira M M. Genetic stability of micropropagated almond plantlets as  
246 assessed by RAPD and ISSR markers. Plant Cell Rep. 2004; 23: 492–496.
- 247
- 248
- 249

## 250 Tables

Table 1. Effect of different concentrations and combinations of cytokinin on development of multiple shoots in *Oroxylum indicum*

| Growth regulators concentration<br>in MS media (μM) |      | Mean number of<br>shoots/culture ± SE | Mean length of<br>shoots (cm) ± SE |
|---|------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BAP   | Kn   |                                       |                                    |
| 1.11  | -    | 8.5 ± 0.08                            | 3.4 ± 0.15                         |
| 2.22  | -    | 9.0 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>               | 4.1 ± 0.22                         |
| 4.44  | -    | 9.2 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>               | 5.1 ± 0.24 <sup>a</sup>            |
| 6.66  | -    | 9.3 ± 0.11 <sup>ab</sup>              | 5.8 ± 0.30 <sup>a</sup>            |
| 8.88  | -    | 9.5 ± 0.10 <sup>abcd</sup>            | 6.9 ± 0.44 <sup>abcd</sup>         |
| 11.11   | -    | 8.6 ± 0.09 <sup>abc</sup>             | 5.3 ± 0.33 <sup>ac</sup>           |
| -   | 1.16 | -                                     | -                                  |
| -   | 2.32 | -                                     | -                                  |

Comment [T2]: Please could you check again the letters

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

|   |       |   |
|---|-------|---|
| - | 4.65  | - |
| - | 6.97  | - |
| - | 9.30  | - |
| - | 11.62 | - |

*p* value

*p*<0.001

*p*<0.001

---

Means within a column having the same letter did not reach a statistically significant (*p*<0.001) level according to one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison post hoc test.

251

252

253

Table 2 - Effect of plant growth regulators for development of roots

| Growth regulators concentration in MS media ( $\mu\text{M}$ ) |       | Mean number of roots | Mean length of roots |
|---|-------|----------------------|----------------------|
| BAP   | NAA   |                      |                      |
| 8.88  | 1.35  | $6.2 \pm 0.13$       | $3.0 \pm 0.21$       |
| 8.88  | 2.70  | $6.4 \pm 0.14$       | $3.2 \pm 0.19$       |
| 8.88  | 5.40  | $6.8 \pm 0.10^a$     | $3.3 \pm 0.17$       |
| 8.88  | 8.10  | $5.8 \pm 0.14^{cb}$  | $2.7 \pm 0.13$       |
| 8.88  | 10.80 | $5.3 \pm 0.11^{abc}$ | $2.2 \pm 0.20^{abc}$ |
|   | IAA   |                      |                      |
| 8.88  | 1.42  | -                    | -                    |
| 8.88  | 2.85  | -                    | -                    |
| 8.88  | 5.71  | -                    | -                    |
| 8.88  | 8.21  | -                    | -                    |
| 8.88  | 11.42 | -                    | -                    |
|   | IBA   |                      |                      |
| 8.88  | 1.23  | $5.0 \pm 0.12$       | $2.0 \pm 0.26$       |
| 8.88  | 2.46  | $5.6 \pm 0.15^a$     | $2.6 \pm 0.12$       |
| 8.88  | 4.92  | $6.5 \pm 0.14^{ab}$  | $3.0 \pm 0.18^a$     |
| 8.88  | 7.38  | $7.5 \pm 0.14^{abc}$ | $3.9 \pm 0.20^{abc}$ |
| 8.88  | 9.84  | $6.8 \pm 0.11^{abc}$ | $2.0 \pm 0.26^{ad}$  |
| <i>p</i> value  |       | <i>p</i> <0.001      | <i>p</i> <0.05       |

Means within a column having the same letter did not reach a statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) level according to one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Multiple Comparison post hoc test.

Comment [T3]: No letter???

Comment [T4]: No letter????

254

255

Table 3 - The number of amplification products generated of RAPD primers in the analysis of *Oroxylum indicum* regenerants.

| Sl. No. | Sequence (5'-3') | Oligo primer<br>s name | No. of bands<br>obtained | Size of the<br>amplified<br>bands (bp) | No. of Mono-<br>morphic<br>bands | Mono-<br>morphic<br>(%) |
|---------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1.      | 5'AGAGGCTCAC 3'  | HD-1                   | 7                        | 390-2000                               | 3                                | 42.85                   |
| 2.      | 5'GCGTTGACTG 3'  | HD-2                   | 4                        | 400-600                                | 2                                | 50                      |
| 3.      | 5'AGGGCAAACC3'   | HD-3                   | 8                        | 250-1300                               | 4                                | 50                      |
| 4.      | 5' CCCGTTACAC3'  | HD-4                   | 6                        | 600-1300                               | 2                                | 33.3                    |
| 5.      | 5'CATACGCCTC 3'  | HD-5                   | 12                       | 300-1800                               | 6                                | 50                      |
| 6.      | 5'CCGAAGACAC3'   | HD-6                   | 6                        | 290-500                                | 2                                | 33.3                    |
| 7.      | 5'GCAGTACGAG 3'  | HD-7                   | 7                        | 350-1000                               | 3                                | 42.85                   |
| 8.      | 5'GCAGTACGTG 3'  | HD-8                   | 10                       | 300-1900                               | 5                                | 50                      |
| 9.      | 5'CGAGGAGACC3'   | HD-9                   | 6                        | 300-1900                               | 1                                | 16.6                    |
| 10.     | 5'GTTCCCAGAC3'   | HD-10                  | 7                        | 550-1200                               | 3                                | 42.85                   |

256

257



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8

259

260

261

262 **Figures legends**

263 Fig 1 - Apical meristems inoculated in medium

264 Fig 2 - Regeneration of multiple shoots observed in MS medium contain BAP 8.88  $\mu$ M

265 Fig 3 - Development of roots in half MS medium with 2% sucrose and IBA (4.92 $\mu$ M)

266 Fig 4 - Hardened plantlets of *Oroxylum indicum* in green house

267 Fig 5 - In vitro raised *Oroxylum indicum* growing in a normal environment

268 Fig 6 - A RAPD profile generated by Oligo primer HD 3. Lane 1: Marker (100 bp); Lane 2: Mother  
269 plant; Lane 3: *In vitro*-raised hardened plant; Lane 4: Marker (1kb)

270 Fig 7 - RAPD profile generated by Oligo primer HD 5. Lane 1: Marker (100 bp); Lane 2: Mother  
271 plant; Lane 3: *In vitro*-raised hardened plant; Lane 4: Marker (1kb)

272 Fig 8 - RAPD profile generated by Oligo primer HD 7. Lane 1: Marker (100 bp); Lane 2: Mother  
273 plant; Lane 3: *In vitro*-raised hardened plant; Lane 4: Marker (1kb)