



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIB_45459</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>In vitro multiplication of Oroxylum indicum (L.) through apical and axillary buds and determination of genetic fidelity using RAPD markers</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> The paper provides important information to improve the multiplication of Oroxylum indicum	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The work objectives should be more clearly defined The materials and methods are very complete and clearly explained The results are very complete and are very well presented both in their explanation and in the tables and photographs included in the figures. So also the discussion of them The conclusions refer to the results obtained in the investigation and are correctly presented	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In the revised manuscript we highlighted the objective of research work to uplift the scientific quality of the paper</li> <li>We grateful to reviewer for its positive comments mentioned in his points.</li> </ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The paper is very complete and adequately describes the problem, the experiment developed, the results obtained, the explanation of the same and the conclusions. Therefore, the observations presented are not necessarily corrections but contributions to improve the scientific quality of the paper. For this it would be important to raise a clear work hypothesis and the objectives to evaluate it	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As per this Column we rectify the objective of the paper and same may be submitted</li> </ul>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues applicable in this manuscript