



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_45459
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro multiplication of Oroxylum indicum (L.) through apical and axillary buds and determination of genetic fidelity using RAPD markers
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	There are some grammatical mistakes Please check the statistical letters again	Comment [T1] – I agree with your comment NAA in combination with BAP showed almost same results but NAA combination will take more than 45 to 50 days for roots induction Comment [T2,] : rectified and corrected Comment [T3] : the lower concentration were compare to rest of the other concentration, hence significant level is not applicable Comment [T4] : Due to the typographical error it was not printed now it is rectified . we regraded for inconvenience
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues applicable in this manuscript