



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_AJRDES_42160</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>The Treatment of chronic recurrent urticaria with Fexofenadine and Hydroxyzine Hcl in Libyan patients.</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original research article</b>  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)   |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <b>The authors write about the chronic recurrent urticarial treatment. A combined administration with fexofenadine and hydroxyzine Hcl was analysed and the results was effective against urticarial symptoms for all patients.</b>   | <b>Not for all patients : in six patients (12,5%) unsatisfactory (Fig:4).</b>  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | There are some typos.<br>In the text CIU acronym is use for chronic idiopathic urticaria in the first time, then he should use the acronym.<br>The phrase "The aim of this study was to investigate the efficacy and side-effects of the combination fexofenadine and hydroxazine in patients with chronic idiopathic urticaria" should be at the end of the introduction.<br>Review the introduction because it have some repeated information. Some moments there is no reference for information.<br>What was presented in the methodology should be the beginning of the results.<br>The method should contain information on which patients were selected to participate in the studing, citing items that include and exclude participants.<br>Change the position of the figures, present in the order that figure was quoted in the text. Place caption on all ligatures, as well as captions on the graphics axes.<br>Remove the legend item from figure 1 which is over. I suggest remove the total item, leaving only information on the number of female and male patients.<br>In figure 2 I suggest to make a column graph and in each column put an old band.<br>If you keep this graph put legend on the y-axis.<br>In Figure 3 put the time of disease duration and the number of patients at this time, not only the mean, were set.<br>Remove Figure 4A, because 4B has the same information. | <b>Yes, it`s wrong written in manuscript our study on chronic idiopathic urticaria</b><br><b>The aim it`s corrected and now in the end of the introduction. Please see the yellow highlights in the revised manuscript.</b><br><b>The repeated informationsare deleted from the manuscript.</b><br><b>According to ourpresentworkwe are selectedthose patients who have had normal standard routine investigations according to chronicidiopathicurticaria. Pregnantwomen, lactatingmothers and abnormallylaboratoryfindingswereexcluded.</b><br><b>All our patients wereincluded in thispresentstudyhadonlychronicidiopathicurticariawithoutanyotherillnessnorabnormallaboratoryresults.</b><br><b>Regardinf figures and captions werecorrected the legend item removedfrom fig.1</b><br><b>We arecorrected the figures: 2 and 3.</b><br><b>Fig: 4Bitremoved.</b><br><br><b>Please see the yellow highlights in the revised manuscript.</b> |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |  |