



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_41405
Title of the Manuscript:	To assess the clinical efficacy and safety of oral Terbinafine, Fluconazole and Itraconazole in the treatment of tinea corporis in a tertiary care hospital
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Comments 1 - It would be better for the title: Clinical efficacy and safety of oral terbinafine, fluconazole and itraconazole in the treatment of tinea corporis 2 - Study Design: This is a R randomised comparative study with a sample size of 60 patients. 3 - Methods and Material: In lines 13 and 14, write the antifungal drugs with lowercase letters (T, F, I / t, f, i) 4 - Correction in conclusion: replace was by is, the by this, should also be removed 25 and period. Conclusions: Terbinafine was is better than itraconazole and fluconazole in relieving signs 25 and symptoms during the study period. 5 - Key Message: lowercase letters (T, I, F / t, I, f) 6 – Introduction: In lines 35: In line 35 must be removed, infection In lines 38 and 39: lowercase letters (F, I, T / f, I, t) and Tinea Corporis /tinea corporis In lines 41,42 and 44: The authors repeat the term "topical agents" several times, so they should improve this. 5 - Subjects and Methods: In lines 49 and 50: please quote in the first sentence: August 2015 to March 2016, after approval by the local Ethics Committee. Then exclude: Ethical approval for the study obtained from the local Ethics Committee. 6- Study Design: In lines 49: A detailed history ... What do the authors mean? In lines 62: ... <u>systemic examination</u> and In lines 63: <u>vital examination</u>. In lines 67: Replace: ... collected by placed The authors should describe, in detail, how the direct examination, culture and identification of fungi were performed. In lines 82/83, 85/86 and 88/89: T, F, I respectively/t, f, i Results: 1 - In lines 97 – 101: It is not a result, it is Patients and Methods 2- In lines 102: Replace T.corporis by tinea corporis 3 - Only one table should be presented with gender and age Discussion: 1 - Authors should correct how references are presented In lines 190, 192, 199 and 234 2 - Replace: lowercase letters (T, I, F / t, I, f)	1. Corrected 2. Corrected 3. Corrected 4. Corrected KEY MESSAGE: Corrected INTRODUCTION: Corrected SUBJECTS AND METHODS: Corrected lines 49 and 50 STUDY DESIGN: Relevant systemic and vital examination of the subjects was done to consider various treatment options (like itraconazole should be avoided in certain conditions like cardiovascular abnormalities and kidney failure, terbinafine should be avoided in liver failure) as well as to rule out any associated immunodeficiency status which makes the patients more prone to infections. Included about KOH and culture Corrected 82 83 85 86 88 89 lines RESULTS: 1. Removed patients and methods 2. Corrected 3. We want to specifically show that males are commonly affected then females



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

	due to their outdoor activities. If I try to combine the gender and age group, this specific thing is not being highlighted. DISCUSSION: 1. Corrected 2. Corrected
--	---