



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_41405
Title of the Manuscript:	“To assess the clinical efficacy and safety of oral Terbinafine, Fluconazole and Itraconazole in the treatment of tinea corporis in a tertiary care hospital”.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. You should remove the term “safety” form the title of manuscript, as you did not evaluate the safety, there is not any information about it in the methodology or study design. 2. In the end of introduction section include a paragraph with the general objective of the study. 3. The first paragraph of the results sections is part of the methodology and is not results. 4. The figure and tables titles should be self-explanatory, Give more details. 5. Line 264 – There are no parameters cited in the study to confirm the antifungal safety. Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the KLE University's Ethics Committee, but include the number register of the ethical approval	1. Removed safety sir/mam 2. Included 3. Corrected sir/ mam4. 4. Added sir/mam 5. Removed safety sir/mam Ethical approval :(Ref No: KLEUCOP/337/2015-16)
Minor REVISION comments	1. Include the register number of ethical approval 2. Line 102 - as it is the first time you mention the specie name did not abbreviate the genus (<i>Tricophyton rubrum</i>) 3. Which others dermatophytes species were isolated? This is important information to be included. 4. Could be interesting include images of lesions before and after the treatment.	1. Ethical approval :(Ref No: KLEUCOP/337/2015-16) 2. Corrected sir/mam 3. Included 4. Included
Optional/General comments	The results obtained in this study are relevant for the management of Tinea corporis. However major revision must be taken under consideration before publication.	Done my best sir/mam