

Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) as Affected by Rates of Nitrogen Fertilizer Application on Different Soil Types in Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The experiments were carried out to determine the influence of rates of nitrogen fertilizer application on different soil types that will ensure highest nitrogen use efficiency in the maize plant in Yola. Field experiments were conducted during the 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons at the Teaching and Research Farm, Modibbo Adama University of Technology Yola (Sandy-loam soil) and a private farm in Karewa area of Yola (Clay-loam soil). Treatments consists of five levels of nitrogen fertilizer (0, 40, 80, 120 and 160 kg N/ha) applied as urea while phosphorus and potassium were maintained at 60 kg/ha each applied as Single superphosphate and Muriate of potash on the sandy-loam and clay-loam soils. The experiments were laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) replicated three times. Parameters measured include; nitrogen accumulation/plant, nitrogen uptake efficiency, nitrogen utilization efficiency and nitrogen use efficiency. Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) appropriate to RCBD and Least Significant Difference (LSD) method was used to compare the difference between means. Nitrogen uptake efficiency, nitrogen utilization efficiency and nitrogen use efficiency were significantly increased by rates of nitrogen fertilizer and soil types. The highest Nitrogen use efficiency of 72.1% was recorded on sandy-loam soil with the application of 120 kg N/ha. Sandy-loam soil has a good air and moisture retention capacity that encourages optimal and healthy maize growth when compared to clay-loam soil. Based on the findings of the study, applying the rate of 120 kg N/ha on sandy-loam soil appeared to be promising for increased nitrogen use efficiency in the maize plant and improved yield of maize in Yola and is therefore recommended to farmers in Yola.

Key words: *nitrogen use efficiency, nitrogen fertilizer, soil types*

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in sub-Saharan Africa [1] and it is one of the most important staple foods in Africa accounting for up to 70% of the total human caloric intake [2]. Based on area of production, maize is the third most important cereal crop after wheat and rice in the world [3]. Maize is high yielding, affordable and easily digestible. Grains, ears, stalks and tassel are used for both food and non-food products.

IITA [1] reported that throughout the tropics and subtropics, small-scale farmers grow maize, mostly for subsistence as part of agricultural systems that feature several crops and sometimes livestock production. Unlike the developed countries where hybrid varieties are commonly grown with high inputs using mechanized operations, the production systems in sub-Saharan Africa often lack inputs such as fertilizer, improved seed, irrigation and labour. In the past two decades, maize has spread rapidly into the savannas, replacing traditional cereal crops such as sorghum and millet; particularly in areas with good access to fertilizer inputs and markets.

In spite of the increase in land area under maize production, yield is still low. Onasanya *et al.* [4] reported that the major causes of low maize yield are declining soil fertility and insufficient use of fertilizers resulting in severe nutrient depletion of soil. Current production of cereal grains particularly

44 in sub-Saharan Africa is inadequate for supplying the nutritional demand of the rapidly growing
45 African population. Sanchez *et al.* [5] linked the origin of declining per capita food production in sub-
46 Saharan Africa to soil nutrient management and further noted that production will undoubtedly fail to
47 meet the nutritional needs of African people unless issues within soil fertility are addressed. The
48 failure to improve soil fertility and nutrient use efficiency has fuelled environmental degradation, food
49 insecurity, and the need for outside aid. Worku *et al.* [6] reported that in most cases Nigerian farmers
50 use less than 20 kg N/ha for maize crop because farmers lack access to fertilizer or do not have the
51 cash to buy the input. It means that farmers must make good use of the small amount of fertilizer they
52 get to boost productivity. There is the need to improve maize productivity in areas with low nitrogen
53 fertility especially in the savanna agro-ecology.

54 One strategy for improving the productivity of maize under suboptimal nitrogen fertility is to enhance
55 efficiency in nitrogen use. USDA [7] suggested that an application schedule that applies a small
56 amount of nitrogen early in the season (pre-planting) followed by later in-season application of higher
57 amounts of nitrogen is ideal. This schedule takes care of the small, but important early season
58 nitrogen needs and maximizes uptake by applying nitrogen during the rapid growth and nitrogen
59 requirement period.

60 Limited supplies of nitrogen, the continual rise in prices and elevated economic risk of nitrogen
61 fertilization, combined with the existing low yield levels of cereal production systems reiterates the
62 importance of nitrogen use efficiency (NUE). Kamara *et al.* [8] defined Nitrogen Use Efficiency as
63 grain production per unit of nitrogen available in the soil. Efficient use of nitrogen in plant production is
64 an essential goal in crop management. Despite the widespread cultivation of maize by smallholder
65 farmers in Adamawa State, yields from smallholder farms are very low owing to low soil fertility
66 especially low nitrogen, lack of access to fertilizer or farmers do not have the financial resource to buy
67 the input due to their low incomes hence the need to adopt a new management technique based on
68 nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) which will enhance the ability of small-scale farmers to efficiently
69 produce food and fibre for the growing population in Nigeria and in Adamawa State particularly.

70 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

71 **2.1 Experimental Sites**

72 Field experiments were conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Crop
73 Production and Horticulture, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola and a private farm in
74 Karewa area of Jimeta-Yola which is 15 km from the University Teaching and Research Farm during
75 the 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons. Yola is located between latitude 9°10' to 9°20'N and
76 longitude 12°20' to 12°35'E. The experimental plots were located on latitude 9°21.276' to 9°21.281'N
77 and longitude 12°30.189' to 12°30.200'E and latitude 9°14.733' to 9°14.738'N and longitude
78 12°26.250' to 12°26.261'E respectively. In this environment, rainfall ranges between 556.1 mm –
79 786.90 mm commencing in early May with moisture peaking in August/September and terminating in
80 late October. The soils in the experimental sites were clay loam and sandy loam classified as Typic

81 Haplustalf. The site at the Modibbo Adama University Teaching and Research Farm had previously
82 been subjected to sorghum and maize cultivation while the Karewa site had maize and cowpea grown
83 on it for five years.

84 **2.2 Experimental Design and Treatments**

85 Treatments consisted of five levels of Nitrogen fertilizer (Urea - 46% N) applied at 0, 40, 80, 120 and
86 160 kg N/ha while phosphorus and potassium were maintained at 60 kg/ha each. The two
87 experimental sites received the same nitrogen fertilizer treatments which were laid out in a
88 Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) and replicated three times. Raised seedbeds were
89 prepared. The raised seedbeds were then marked out into plots; the size of each plot was 5m x 4m
90 with a distance of 100 cm between the plots. The land area was 18 m x 30 m (540m²). Sowing was
91 done manually in the first week of July each year using pre-marked rope. Maize seed was sown at 3 –
92 4 seed/hole which was later thinned to one seedling/stand at 14 days after sowing.

93 **2.3 Planting Material**

94 Maize seed (Oba-98), which is a hybrid variety produced by Premier Seeds Ltd. Zaria was obtained
95 from a commercial seed seller in Yola and used for the experiments. The hybrid variety is early
96 maturing, medium in height and grows between 0.90 – 1 m.

97 **2.4 Cultural Practices**

98 Maize seed (Oba-98) was treated with apron plus against soil-borne diseases. The land was
99 ploughed and leveling was done manually, after which raised seedbeds were prepared. Weeds were
100 controlled by application of pre-emergence herbicides. Split fertilizer applications were done at 14
101 days after sowing and tasselling stage.

102 **2.5 Collection of Plant and Soil Samples**

103 Soil samples were collected from the experimental sites at the depth 0 – 30, 30 – 60 and 60 – 90 cm
104 before sowing. The soil samples were taken at three, six and nine weeks after sowing. The soil
105 samples were air-dried and passed through 2 mm sieve to remove large particles, debris and stones.
106 The samples were then transferred to the laboratory for analysis to determine the nitrogen content of
107 the soil. Destructive samplings of plant were carried out at 21 day intervals coinciding with the soil
108 sampling periods to determine nitrogen content of above ground dry matter. Therefore, destructive
109 samplings were carried out at three, six and nine weeks after sowing. The samples were then taken to
110 the laboratory to determine the nitrogen content of the above ground dry matter.

111 **2.6 Extraction of Nitrogen from Soil Samples**

112 Nitrogen was extracted from dried soil samples in the laboratory. The soil samples were digested with
113 15ml nitric acid (HNO₃), 2ml of perchloric acid, 15 ml hydrofluoric acid and 0.5g CuSO₄.5H₂O as
114 catalyst was added and heated at 85⁰C for three hours. It was then filtered, 100 ml of distilled water

was added to the digest and 100ml of 40% NaOH was also added to the digest and anti-bumping granules of zinc was added in a round bottom flask for distillation. 25 ml of boric acid cum indicator in a flat bottom flask (500 ml) was placed below the condenser of distillation assembly so that the lower open end of the condenser was dipped in solution. The distillation was carried out and 150 ml of distillate in the flask was titrated against 0.1N HCl. From blue colour to light brown pink indicated the end point. Similarly, blanks were treated in the same manner.

% Nitrogen was calculated using the formula below:

$$\%N = \frac{T_1 T_2 \times N \times 1.4}{W}$$

Where T_1 = volume of titrate used against sample

T_2 = volume of titrate used against blank

N = normality of titrate (0.1 N) HCl

W = weight of soil sample used (g)

Plant samples from the plots were collected to determine above ground dry matter accumulation. Plants were cut at ground level and oven dried, weighed and milled to pass through a 1mm mesh. Total nitrogen accumulated in each fraction was calculated as the product of nitrogen concentration (dry weight basis).

2.7 Parameters Measured

Data collection started at one week after sowing (WAS). Data collected for growth and yield parameters were then recorded at three, six and nine weeks respectively after sowing (WAS) and at harvest. Five plants were selected consecutively and marked from each of the plots, measurements were taken and then the means were recorded.

2.7.1 Nitrogen uptake efficiency

This was calculated using the formula described by Moll *et al.* [9] as follows:

$$N\text{-uptake efficiency} = \frac{N(g N_t) \text{ at } N \text{ rate applied} - N(g N_t) \text{ at } 0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}}{N \text{ applied } (g N_f)}$$

Where (g N_t) = Total N in above ground biomass

(g N_f) = Amount of N applied

2.7.2 Nitrogen utilization efficiency

This was calculated using the formula described by Moll *et al.* [9] as follows:

$$N\text{-utilization efficiency} = \frac{\text{Grain yield (g/plant) at } N \text{ rate applied} - \text{grain yield at } 0 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}}{N(g N_t) \text{ at rate applied} - N(g N_t) \text{ at } 0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}}$$

Where (g N_t) = Total N in above ground biomass

(g N_f) = Amount of N applied

2.7.3 Nitrogen use efficiency

This was calculated according to Moll *et al.* [9] as follows:

$$\text{NUE} = \frac{\text{Grain yield (g/plant) at N rate applied} - \text{Grain yield at 0 kg N ha}^{-1}}{\text{N applied (g N}_f\text{)}}$$

Where ($g N_f$)= Amount of N applied

2.8 Statistical Analysis

The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using a statistical package SAS for Windows Release 9.2 (SAS Institute) [10]. Least Significant Difference (LSD) method was used to assess the differences among means.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soil in the Study Sites

The physical and chemical characteristics of the soil at the study sites are presented in Table 1. Plots in the clay-loam site contained some sand sizes in the 0 - 40 cm depth but high clay content in the 60 – 80 cm depth. The sandy-loam plots contained low clay content in the 0 – 40 cm depth and very high clay content (727 g/kg) at the 80 cm depth. Textural fractions were intermediate in the 40 – 80 cm depth range for both clay-loam and sandy-loam soils. On the clay-loam soil, the initial nitrogen content at 20 cm depth was 3.5 mg/kg and at the 40 cm depth, the initial nitrogen content was 3.8 mg/kg. There was an increase in the initial nitrogen content at the 60 and 80 cm depth with the values of 11.5 and 30.6 mg/kg respectively. On the sandy-loam soil, the initial nitrogen content at the 20 cm depth was 2.0 mg/kg and at the 40 cm depth, it was 2.8 mg/kg. The situation changed at the 60 and 80 cm depth with the values of 14.0 and 37.0 mg/kg respectively.

Water retention and hydraulic conductivity for clay-loam soil showed higher value of $0.45 \text{ m}^3\text{m}^{-3}$ while sandy-loam soil showed lower values of $0.35 \text{ m}^3\text{m}^{-3}$. Initial soil nitrogen content showed a very low residual nitrogen level especially in the 0 – 40 cm depth but the residual nitrogen level increased from 14.0 to 37.0 mg/kg at the 60 – 80 cm depth in both the clay-loam and sandy-loam soils.

172 **Table1. Soil Physical and Chemical properties of Clay-loam and Sandy-loam Plots**

Depth (cm)	Bulk density (mg m ⁻³)	Particle density			Water content at different pressure levels (kpa)						Initial N-content mg kg ⁻¹
		Sand	Silt (g kg ⁻¹)	Clay	1	10	40 m ³ m ⁻³	100	100	1500	
Clay-loam soil											
20	1.53	293	168	539	0.43	0.39	0.38	0.35	0.31	0.27	3.5
40	1.51	48	275	677	0.42	0.40	0.38	0.36	0.34	0.31	3.8
60	1.52	66	241	693	0.45	0.45	0.41	0.40	0.38	0.34	11.5
80	1.57	32	164	804	0.45	0.43	0.41	0.39	0.37	0.34	30.6
Sandy-loam soil											
20	1.55	869	58	73	0.35	0.23	0.21	0.09	0.07	0.06	2.0
40	1.51	738	120	142	0.32	0.24	0.22	0.17	0.14	0.12	2.8
60	1.54	503	209	288	0.41	0.30	0.29	0.25	0.21	0.16	14.0
80	1.44	67	206	727	0.44	0.42	0.41	0.38	0.35	0.31	37.0

173

174 **3.2 Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer and soil Type on Nitrogen Accumulation per Plant**

175 Results on the effect of Nitrogen fertilizer rates and soil types on nitrogen accumulation per plant in
 176 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons are presented in Table 2. Results showed that there was a
 177 significant effect ($P \leq 0.01$) in the three cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, nitrogen
 178 accumulation per plant was higher on sandy-loam soil (4.53%) while 3.78% was recorded on clay-
 179 loam soil. In 2011 cropping season, higher nitrogen accumulation was also recorded on sandy-loam
 180 soil with 4.32% while 4.01% was recorded on clay-loam soil. In 2012 cropping season, nitrogen
 181 accumulation in plants found on sandy-loam soil was 4.23% while 3.96 % was in plants on the clay-
 182 loam soil. In all the three seasons, higher values were consistently obtained in plants on sandy-loam
 183 soil.

184 Effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates on nitrogen accumulation per plant in the three cropping seasons
 185 showed highly significant effects ($P \leq 0.01$). In 2010 cropping seasons, higher nitrogen accumulation
 186 per plant was recorded with the application of 80kg N/ha which gave 4.60%, followed by 40kg N/ha
 187 which gave 3.75%. The least value of 3.30% was obtained with 120kg N/ha. In 2011 cropping season,
 188 the situation was different where the application of 160 and 120kg N/ha produced plants with higher
 189 nitrogen accumulation with a value of 3.98% each. This was followed by 80kg N/ha which gave
 190 3.88%. Lower nitrogen contents were found on plants with 40 and 0 kg N/ha which had 3.83 and
 191 3.05% respectively. In 2012 cropping season, the highest nitrogen accumulation per plant (4.01%)
 192 was found on plants that were applied 80kg N/ha, followed by 40kg N/ha, which gave nitrogen
 193 accumulation value of 3.98%. The application of 120kg N/ha gave a value of 3.95%. A lower nitrogen

194 content of plant was recorded with the application of 160 and 0kg N/ha which gave a value of 3.66
195 and 3.63% respectively.

196 **Table 2: Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Type on Nitrogen**
197 **Accumulation/plant for 2010, 2011 and 2012 Cropping Seasons (%)**

Factors	Nitrogen accumulation/plant		
	2010	2011	2012
Soil type			
Clay-loam	3.78	4.01	3.96
Sandy-loam	4.53	4.32	4.23
Mean	4.15	4.16	4.10
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01
LSD	0.10	0.95	1.00
Fertilizer rates (Kg N/ha)			
0	3.43	3.05	3.63
40	3.75	3.83	3.98
80	4.60	3.88	4.01
120	3.30	3.98	3.95
160	3.53	3.98	3.66
Mean	3.72	3.74	3.84
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01
LSD	0.10	1.05	1.01

198 *LSD = Least significant difference*

199 **3.3 Effects of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Uptake Efficiency**

200 The effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates and soil types on nitrogen uptake efficiency in 2010, 2011 and
201 2012 cropping seasons are presented in Table 3. Results showed that there was a significant effect
202 ($P \leq 0.05$) in 2010 and 2011 cropping seasons and highly significant effect ($P \leq 0.01$) in 2012 cropping
203 season.

204 In 2010 cropping season, the effects of soil types on nitrogen uptake efficiency revealed that higher
205 nitrogen uptake efficiency was recorded on sandy-loam soil (2.19%) while the value of 1.78% was
206 recorded on clay-loam soil. In 2011 cropping season, nitrogen uptake efficiency was higher in plants
207 on the sandy-loam soil (1.89%) while clay-loam soil recorded 1.78%. In 2012 cropping season, a
208 situation similar to that of 2010 cropping season was obtained where nitrogen uptake efficiency was
209 higher in sandy-loam soil with a value of 2.01% while clay-loam soil produced 1.78%. Results
210 showed that nitrogen uptake efficiency was consistently higher in plants on the sandy-loam soil in
211 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons.

The effects of rates of nitrogen fertilizer on uptake efficiency showed that there was a highly significant effect ($P \leq 0.01$) in 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, the highest nitrogen uptake efficiency was recorded with the application of 160 kg N/ha, followed by 40 kg N/ha which produced 2.19% and 1.78% respectively. The lowest nitrogen uptake efficiency of 1.51% was recorded with 0 kg N/ha application. In 2011 cropping season, the highest nitrogen uptake efficiency of 2.15% was recorded with 160 kg N/ha. However, the application of 120 and 40 kg N/ha produced plants with nitrogen uptake efficiency of 1.78% each. The least nitrogen uptake efficiency of 1.44% was recorded with 0 kg N/ha. In 2012 cropping season, nitrogen uptake efficiency was higher (2.01%) with the application of 160 kg N/ha, followed by 40 kg N/ha application with 1.85%. The least nitrogen uptake efficiency of 1.32% was recorded with 0 kg N/ha. The results showed that the application of 160 kg N/ha consistently produced the highest nitrogen uptake efficiency in 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons.

Table 3: Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Uptake Efficiency for 2010, 2011 and 2012 Cropping Seasons (%)

Factors	Nitrogen uptake efficiency		
	2010	2011	2012
Soil type			
Clay-loam	1.79	1.78	1.78
Sandy-loam	2.19	1.89	2.01
Mean	1.99	1.83	1.89
Prob. of F	0.03	0.03	0.01
LSD	1.11	1.01	1.01
Fertilizer rates (Kg N/ha)			
0	1.51	1.44	1.32
40	1.78	1.78	1.85
80	1.72	1.72	1.81
120	1.72	1.78	1.70
160	2.19	2.15	2.01
Mean	1.78	1.77	1.73
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01
LSD	1.11	1.13	1.01

LSD = Least significant difference

3.4 Effects of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Utilization Efficiency

The effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates and soil types on nitrogen utilization efficiency for the 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons are presented in Table 4. Results of the effect of soil types on nitrogen utilization efficiency showed that there was a highly significant effect ($P \leq 0.01$) in the three

cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, nitrogen utilization efficiency was 39.7% on sandy-loam soil while 33.5% was recorded on clay-loam soil. Similar trend was maintained in 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons with slightly different values. However, results showed that sandy-loam soil produced plants with higher nitrogen utilization efficiency in 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons.

Results of the effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates on nitrogen utilization efficiency showed that there was a highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) effect in the three cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, the application of 160, 120 and 80 kg N/ha demonstrated higher nitrogen utilization efficiency in plants with values of 39.6, 39.5 and 39.1% respectively. The application of 40 and 0 kg N/ha to plants resulted in lower nitrogen utilization efficiency where the value of 29.8 and 20.1% were recorded respectively.

In 2011 cropping season, the highest nitrogen utilization efficiency was recorded with 80 kg N/ha, which gave a value of 39.5%, which was followed by 160 kg N/ha with 39.1%. The plants with low nitrogen utilization efficiency (19.9%) were recorded with 0 kg N/ha. In 2012 cropping season, plants with the highest nitrogen utilization efficiency (39.9%) were recorded with 80 kg N/ha. The least value of 18.5% was recorded on plants treated with 0kg N/ha.

Table 4: Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Utilization Efficiency for 2010, 2011 and 2012 Cropping Seasons (%)

Factors	Nitrogen utilization efficiency		
	2010	2011	2012
Soil type			
Clay-loam	33.5	38.9	37.2
Sandy-loam	39.7	39.1	39.3
Mean	36.6	39.0	38.2
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01
LSD	10.1	9.3	11.1
Fertilizer rates (Kg N/ha)			
0	20.1	19.9	18.5
40	29.8	37.5	39.0
80	39.1	39.5	39.9
120	39.5	36.7	38.9
160	39.6	39.1	39.3
Mean	33.6	34.5	35.1
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01
LSD	11.1	10.3	10.3

LSD = Least significant difference

3.5 Effects of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Use Efficiency

The effects of rates of nitrogen fertilizer rates and soil types on nitrogen use efficiency in the 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons are presented in Table 5. Results of the effects of soil types on nitrogen use efficiency showed that there were highly significant effects ($P \leq 0.01$) in the three cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, nitrogen use efficiency was higher on sandy-loam soil with a value of 72.1% while it was 67.3% on clay-loam soil. A similar trend was maintained in 2011 cropping season where the nitrogen use efficiency on sandy-loam soil was 69.1% and clay-loam soil was 68.3%. In 2012 cropping season, nitrogen use efficiency on sandy-loam soil was 70.0% while on clay-loam soil was 68.0%. Results showed that nitrogen use efficiency was consistently higher on sandy-loam soil in all the three cropping seasons.

Results on the effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates on nitrogen use efficiency showed that there was a significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) in 2010 cropping season and highly significant effect ($P \leq 0.01$) in 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, the highest nitrogen use efficiency was recorded with 40 kg N/ha (72.1%) followed by 80 kg N/ha with 67.3%. The application of 120 and 160 kg N/ha produced nitrogen use efficiency of 59.1 and 57.0% respectively. In 2011 cropping season, the highest nitrogen use efficiency of 65.8% was recorded with only 40 kg N/ha, followed by 80 kg N/ha with 51.3% nitrogen use efficiency. With the application of 120 kg N/ha, the nitrogen use efficiency was 50.2%. In 2012 cropping season, the highest nitrogen use efficiency was recorded with 120 kg N/ha with a value of 72.1% which was followed by 80 kg N/ha with a value of 59.5%.

Table 5: Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Type on Nitrogen Use Efficiency for 2010, 2011 and 2012 Cropping Seasons (%)

Factors	Nitrogen use efficiency		
	2010	2011	2012
Soil type			
Clay-loam	67.3	68.3	68.0
Sandy-loam	72.1	69.1	70.0
Mean	69.7	68.7	69.0
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01
LSD	15.7	18.1	15.7
Fertilizer rates (Kg N/ha)			
0	31.3	29.8	32.1
40	72.1	65.8	59.3
80	67.3	51.3	59.5
120	59.1	50.2	72.1
160	57.0	49.5	53.3
Mean	57.3	49.3	52.2
Prob. of F	0.03	0.01	0.01
LSD	16.7	15.1	15.2

LSD = Least significant difference

4. DISCUSSION

The results of the current study showed that nitrogen uptake efficiency, nitrogen utilization efficiency and nitrogen use efficiency were affected by rates of nitrogen fertilizer and soil type. The results showed that nitrogen uptake efficiency was affected by rates of nitrogen fertilizer. This is in agreement with the report of Quaye *et al.* [11] of a significant interaction between applied nitrogen and soil water content of the maize plant. Generally, the total nitrogen in the plant (g N/plant) increased with an increase of nitrogen in the plant. Nitrogen application alone, however, cannot be attributed to nitrogen uptake ability of the maize plant. Other factors that influence the availability and uptake of nitrogen may be operating during the growth stages of the plant. Nitrogen uptake efficiency also depends upon the availability of nitrogen in the soil. Similar findings were reported by Rahimizadeh [12] that nitrogen uptake efficiency reflects the efficiency of the crop in obtaining nitrogen from the soil. Therefore, aboveground biomass increased as nitrogen level increased in the soil in line with the report of Worku *et al.* [6] that the above ground biomass increased with an increase in the rate of nitrogen fertilizer applied.

Furthermore, the results of the current study indicated that nitrogen use efficiency decreased with increasing nitrogen rate above 120 kg N/ha. Excess nitrogen applied may have lost to the environment through leaching and denitrification. When higher rates of nitrogen fertilizer were used in maize production, the nitrogen content not utilized by the crop is lost to the atmosphere through denitrification or goes beyond the root zone of crop through leaching. This agrees with the report of Sowers *et al.* [13] who reported that the application of high rates of nitrogen fertilizer would result in poor nitrogen uptake and low nitrogen use efficiency due to excess nitrogen losses. It is therefore imperative to apply nitrogen fertilizer when needed most by the crop plant. Use of optimum amount of nitrogen fertilizer through suitable application **rates** is imperative for higher nitrogen use efficiency. Nitrogen use efficiency can therefore be improved through matching application rate with crop demand as reported by Nemati and Sharifi [14]. **Results on nitrogen use efficiency** agree with the finding of Raun and Johnson [15] and Pierce and Rice [16] who reported that higher rates of nitrogen decrease nitrogen use efficiency in cereal. Lopez-Bellido and Lopez-Bellido [17] indicated that a decrease in nitrogen use efficiency with increasing fertilizer rates is because yield rises less than the nitrogen supply in the soil and fertilizer. Nemati *et al.* [14] also reported that nitrogen use efficiency decreased with increasing nitrogen rates but Kanampiu *et al.* [18] attributed the general decrease in nitrogen use efficiency with increasing nitrogen rates to increase in grain protein and nitrogen loss in the soil.

Loss of nitrogen from available pool, however, is dependent on the strength of competing nitrogen pathways including leaching, volatilization and immobilization from the time of application to uptake. Consequently, synchronization of nitrogen application with crop nitrogen demand may not lead to greater nitrogen use efficiency; rather it is the synchronization of nitrogen availability with plant nitrogen demand and uptake, coupled with the lack of synchronization of available nitrogen with competing nitrogen pathways that promotes greater nitrogen use efficiency.

5. CONCLUSION

310
 311 The study revealed that the application of high nitrogen rates **would** result in poor nitrogen uptake and
 312 low nitrogen use efficiency due to excessive nitrogen losses. Therefore, the most logical approach to
 313 increasing nitrogen use efficiency is to supply nitrogen when it is needed by the crop. The study also
 314 revealed that nitrogen use efficiency is more optimal in sandy loam than clay loam soils. Based on the
 315 findings of this study, 120 kg N/ha (highest **average** nitrogen use efficiency achieved) is recommended
 316 for farmers in Yola.

317
 318 **REFERENCES**

- 319 1. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture. Selected methods for soil and plant Analysis. IITA,
 320 Ibadan, Nigeria. 2009.
- 321 2. Sheldrick WF, Lingard J. The use of nutrient audits to determine Nutrient balance in Africa. Science
 322 Direct, Food policy. 2004; 29:61–98.
- 323 3. Tollenaar M, Dwyer LM. Physiology of maize. In D.L. Smith and C. Hamel (ed.) Crop yield,
 324 physiology and processes. Springer Verlag, Berlin. 1999; 169-204.
- 325 4. Onasanya RO, Aiyelari OP, Onasanya A, Oikeh S, Nwilene FE, Oyelakin O. Growth and yield
 326 response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to different rates of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer in southern
 327 Nigeria. World Journal of Agricultural Science. 2009;5(4):400-407.
- 328 5. Sanchez PA. Linking climate change research with food security and poverty reduction in the
 329 tropics. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 2000;82:371-833.
- 330 6. Worku M, Banzigar M, Schulte G, Friesen D, Diallo AO, Horst WJ. Nitrogen uptake and utilization in
 331 contrasting nitrogen efficient tropical Maize hybrids. Crop Science Society of America, Madison,
 332 U.S.A. 2007.
- 333 7. United States Department of Agriculture. Food spending as a proportional Income.
 334 <http://www.ers.usda.gov/publication/aer780/aer780f.pdf>. 2009.
- 335 8. Kamara AY, Menkir A, Sanginga, N. Nitrogen use efficiency of maize genotype for tolerance to low
 336 Nitrogen and drought stress. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan Nigeria.. 2002.
- 337 9. Moll RH, Kamprath EJ, Jackson WA. Analysis and interpretation of factors which contribute to
 338 efficiency to nitrogen utilization. Agron. J. 1982; 74:562-564.
- 339 10. Statistical Analysis System Institute SAS / STAT software. Changes and enhancement through
 340 release 9.2. SAS institute, Cary NC. USA. 2009.
- 341 11. Quaye AK, Laryea KB, Abeney-Mickson S. Soil water and Nitrogen Interaction effect on maize
 342 (*zea mays* L.) grown on vertisols. Journal of Forestry, Horticulture, and Soil Science. 2009; 3(1).
- 343 12. Rahimizadeh M. Kashani A. Zare-Feizabadi A. Koochehi AR. Nassiri-Mahallati M. Nitrogen use
 344 efficiency of wheat as affected by proceeding crop application rate of nitrogen and crop residues,
 345 Australian Journal of Crop Science. 2010; 3:89–93.
- 346 13. Sowers KE, Pan WL, Miller WC, Smith JL. Nitrogen use efficiency of split nitrogen application in
 347 soft white winter. Wheat. Agronomy Journal. 1994; 86:942–948.
- 348 14. Nemati AR, Sharifi RS. Effects of rates and nitrogen application timing on yield, agronomic
 349 characteristics and nitrogen use efficiency in corn. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop
 350 Sciences. 2012.
- 351 15. Raun WR, Johnson GV. improving nitrogen use efficiency for cereal crop production Agronomy
 352 Journal. 1999; 91:357–363.

- 353 16. Pierce FJ, Rice CW. Crop rotation and its impact on efficiency of Water and nitrogen use, In:
354 Hargrove (ed). Cropping strategies for Efficient use of water and nitrogen. ASA, Special publication,
355 No. 15 Wisconsin. 1988; 100–113.
- 356 17. Lopez-Bellido GRJ, Lopez-Bellido L. Effects of crop rotation and nitrogen fertilization on soil nitrate
357 and wheat yield under rain-fed Mediterranean conditions. *Agronomic*. 2001; 21:509-516.
- 358 18. Kanampiu FK, Raun WR, Johnson GV. Effect of nitrogen rate on plant nitrogen loss in winter
359 wheat varieties. *J of Plant Nutr*. 1997; 20:389-404.