Original Research Article

Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Maize (zea mays I.) as Affected by Rates of Nitrogen Fertilizer Application on Different Soil Types in Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria

5

1

6 ABSTRACT

7 The experiments were carried out to determine the influence of rates of nitrogen fertilizer application 8 on different soil types that will ensure highest nitrogen use efficiency in the maize plant in Yola. Field 9 experiments were conducted during the 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons at the Teaching and 10 Research Farm, Modibbo Adama University of Technology Yola (Sandy-loam soil) and a private farm in Karewa area of Yola (Clay-loam soil). Treatments consist of five levels of nitrogen fertilizer (0, 40, 11 12 80,120 and 160 kg N/ha) applied as urea while phosphorus and potassium were maintained at 60 13 kg/ha each applied as Single superphosphate and Muriate of potash on the sandy-loam and clay-14 loam soils. The experiments were laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) replicated three times. Parameters measured include nitrogen accumulation/plant, nitrogen uptake 15 16 efficiency, nitrogen utilization efficiency and nitrogen use efficiency. Data collected were subjected to 17 analysis of variance (ANOVA) appropriate to RCBD and Least Significant Difference (LSD) method 18 was used to compare the difference between means. Nitrogen uptake efficiency, nitrogen utilization 19 efficiency and nitrogen use efficiency were significantly observed by rates of nitrogen fertilizer and soil types. The highest Nitrogen use efficiency of 72.1% was recorded on sandy-loam soil with the (20) \bigcirc application of 120 kg N/ha. Sandy-loam soil has a good air and moisture retention capacity that encourages optimal and healthy maize growth when compared to clay-loam soil. Based on the finding of the study, applying the rate of kg N/ha on sandy-loam soil appeared to be promising for increased nitrogen use efficiency in the maize plant and improved yield of maize in Yola and is 23 24 therefore recommended to farmers in Yola. 25

- 26
- 27 Key words: nitrogen use efficiency, nitrogen fertilizer, soil types

28 1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in sub-Saharan Africa [1] and it is one of the most important staple food in Africa accounting for up to 70% of the total human caloric intake [2]. Based on area of production, maize is the third most important cereal crop after wheat and rice in the world [3]. Maize is high yielding, affordable and easily digestible. Grains, ears, stalks and tassel are used for both food and non-food products.

IITA [1] reported that throughout the tropics and subtropics, small-scale farmers grow maize, mostly for subsistence as part of agricultural systems that feature several crops and sometimes livestock production. Unlike the developed countries where hybrid varieties are commonly grown with high inputs using mechanized operations, the production systems in sub-Saharan Africa often lack inputs such as fertilizer, improved seed, irrigation and labour. In the past two decades, maize has spread rapidly into the savannas, replacing traditional cereal crops such as sorghum and millet; particularly in areas with good access to fertilizer inputs and markets.

In spite of the increase in land areas under maize production, yield is still low. Onasanya *et al.* [4] reported that the major causes of low maize yield are declining soil fertility and insufficient use of fertilizers resulting in severe nutrient depletion of soil. Current productions of cereal grains particularly 44 in sub-Saharan Africa is inadequate for supplying the nutritional demand of the rapidly growing 45 African population. Sanchez et al. [5] linked the origin of declining per capita food production in sub-46 Saharan Africa to soil nutrient management and further noted that production will undoubtedly fail to 47 meet the nutritional needs of African people unless issues within soil fertility are addressed. The 48 failure to improve soil fertility and nutrient use efficiency has fuelled environmental degradation, food 49 insecurity, and the need for outside aid. Worku et al. [6] reported that in most cases Nigerian farmers 50 use less than 20 kg N/ha for maize crop because farmers lack access to fertilizer or do not have the 51 cash to buy the input. It means that farmers must make good use of the small amount of fertilizer they 52 get to boost productivity. There is the need to improve maize productivity in areas with low nitrogen 53 fertility especially in the savanna agro-ecology.

54 One strategy for improving the productivity of maize under suboptimal nitrogen fertility is to enhance 55 efficiency in nitrogen use. USDA [7] suggested that an application schedule that applies a small 56 amount of nitrogen early in the season (pre-planting) followed by later in-season application of higher 57 amounts of nitrogen is ideal. This schedule takes care of the small, but important early season 58 nitrogen needs and maximizes uptake by applying nitrogen during the rapid growth and nitrogen 59 requirement period.

60 Limited supplies of nitrogen, the continual rise in prices and elevated economic risk of nitrogen 61 fertilization, combined with the existing low yield levels of cereal production systems reiterates the 62 importance of nitrogen use efficiency (NUE). Kamara et al. [8] defined Nitrogen Use Efficiency as 63 grain production per unit of nitrogen available in the soil. Efficient use of nitrogen in plant production is 64 an essential goal in crop management. Despite the widespread cultivation of maize by smallholder 65 farmers in Adamawa State, yields from smallholder farms are very low owing to low soil fertility 66 especially low nitrogen, lack of access to fertilizer or farmers do not have the financial resource to buy 67 the input due to their low incomes hence the need to adopt a new management technique based on 68 nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) which will enhance the ability of small-scale farmers to efficiently 69 produce food and fibre for the growing population in Nigeria and in Adamawa State particularly.

70 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

71 2.1 Experimental Sites

Please indicate the objective(s) of the study

72 Field experiments were conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Crop 73 Production and Horticulture, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola and a private farm in 74 Karewa area of Jimeta-Yola which is 15 km from the University Teaching and Research Farm during 75 the 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons. Yola is located between latitude 9°10' to 9°20'N and 76 longitude 12°20' to 12°35'E. The experimental plots were located on latitude 9°21.276' to 9°21.281'N 77 and longitude 12°30.189' to 12°30.200'E and latitude 9°14.733' to 9°14.738'N and longitude 78 12°26.250' to 12°26.261'E. In this environment, rainfall ranges between 556.1mm – 786.90mm commencing in early May with moisture peaking in August/September and terminating in late October. 79 The soils in the experimental sites were clay loam and sandy loam classified as mile Haplustalf. The 80

```
respectively
```

81 site at the Modibbo Adama University Teaching and Research Farm had previously been subjected to 82 sorghum and maize cultivation while the Karewa site had maize and cowpea grown on it for five 83 years.

84 2.2 Experimental Design and Treatments

85 Treatments consisted of five levels of Nitrogen fertilizer (Urea - 46% N) applied at 0, 40, 80, 120 and 86 160 kg N/ha while phosphorus and potassium were maintained at 60 kg/ha each. The two 87 experimental sites received the same nitrogen fertilizer treatments which were laid out in a 88 Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) and replicated three times. Raised seedbeds were 89 prepared. The raised seedbeds were then marked out into plots; the size of each plot was 5m x 4m with a distance of 100 cm between the plots. The land area was 18m x 30m (540m²). Sowing was 90 91 done manually in the first week of July each year using pre-marked rope. Maize seed was sown at 3 -92 4 seed/hole which was later thinned to one seedlings/stand at 14 days after sowing.

93 2.3 Planting Material

Maize seed (Oba-98), which is a hybrid variety produced by Premier Seeds Ltd. Zaria was obtained
from a commercial seed seller in Yola and used for the experiments. The hybrid variety is early
maturing, medium in height and grows between 0.90 – 1 m.

97 2.4 Cultural Practices

98 Maize seed (Oba-98) was treated with apron plus against soil-borne diseases. The land was 99 ploughed and leveling was done manually, after which raised seedbeds were prepared. Weeds were controlled by application of pre-emergence herbicides. Split fertilizer applications were done at 14 101 days after sowing and taselling stage.

102 **2.5 Collection of Plant and Soil Samples**

103 Soil samples were collected from the experimental sites at the depth 0 - 30, 30 - 60 and 60 - 90 cm 104 before sowing. The soil samples were taken at three, six and nine weeks after sowing. The soil 105 samples were air-dried and passed through 2 mm sieve to remove large particles, debris and stones. 106 The samples were then transferred to the laboratory for analysis to determine the nitrogen content of 107 the soil. Destructive samplings of plant were carried out at 21 day intervals coinciding with the soil D sampling periods to determine nitrogen content of above ground dry matter. Therefore, destructive 109 samplings were carried out at three, six and nine weeks after sowing. The samples were then taken to 110 the laboratory to determine the nitrogen content of the above ground dry matter.

111 **2.6 Extraction of Nitrogen from Soil Samples**

112 Nitrogen was extracted from dried soil samples in the laboratory. The soil samples were digested with 113 15ml nitric acid (HNO₃), 2ml of perchloric acid, 15 ml hydrofluoric acid and $0.5g CuSO_4.5H_2O$ as 114 catalyst was added and heated at $85^{\circ}C$ for three hours. It was then filtered, 100 ml of distilled water

UNDER PEER REVIEW

115 was added to the digest and 100ml of 40% NaOH was also added to the digest and anti-bumping 116 granules of zinc was added in a round bottom flask for distillation. 25 ml of boric acid cum indicator in 117 a flat bottom flask (500 ml) placed below the condenser of distillation assembly so that the lower open 118 end of the condenser was dipped in solution. The distillation was carried out and 150 ml of distillate in

119 the flask was titrated against 0.1N HCI. From blue colour to light brown pink indicated the end point.

- Similarly, blanks were treated in the same manner. 120
- 121 % Nitrogen was calculated using the formula below:

122 %N =
$$\frac{T_1 T_2 x N x 1.4}{W}$$

123 Where T1 = volume of titrate used against sample

124 T2 = volume of titrate used against blank

125 N = normality of titrate (0.1 N) HCI

126 W = weight of soil sample used (g)

127 Plant samples from the plots were collected to determine above ground dry matter accumulation.

128 Plants were cut at ground level and oven dried, weighed and milled to pass a 1mm mesh. Total

nitrogen accumulated in each fraction was calculated as the product of nitrogen concentration (dry 129

131 2.7 Parameters Measured

132 🔽 a collection started at one week after sowing (WAS). Data collected for growth and yield 133 parameters were then recorded at three, six and nine weeks respectively after sowing (WAS) and at 134 harvest. Five plants were selected consecutively and marked from each of the plots, measurements 135 were taken and then the means were recorded.

136 2.7.1 Nitrogen uptake efficiency

137 This was calculated using the formula described by Moll et al. [9] as follows:

138 N-uptake efficiency =
$$\frac{N (g N_t) at N rate applied - N (N_t) at 0 kg N ha^{-1}}{N applied (g N_f)}$$

139 Where $(g N_t)$ = Total N in above ground biomass

140 $(g N_f)$ = Amount of N applied

141 2.7.2 Nitrogen utilization efficiency

- 142 This was calculated using the formula described by Moll et al. [9] as follows:
- 143

N-utilization efficiency = $\frac{\text{Grain yield (g/plant) at N rate applied - grain yield at 0kg N ha^{-1}}{N (g N_t) \text{ at rate applied} - N (g N_t) \text{ at 0kg ha}^{-1}}$ 144

- 145 Where $(g N_t)$ = Total N in above ground biomass
- 146 $(g N_f)$ = Amount of N applied
- 147 2.7.3 Nitrogen use efficiency

148 This was calculated according to Moll et al. [9] as follows:

- 149 NUE = $\frac{\text{Grain yield (g/plant) at N rate applied Grain yield at 0 kg N ha^{-1}}{14}$
- N applied (g N_f)

150 Where $(g N_f)$ = Amount of N applied

151 2.8 Statistical Analysis

- 152 The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using a statistical package SAS
- 153 for Windows Release 9.2 (SAS Institute) [10]. Least Significant Difference (LSD) method was used to
- assess the differences among means.

please indicate the level (s) of probability (e.g at 5 or 1%)

pls in your

methodology

155 3. RESULTS

156 3.1 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soil in the Study Sites

mention how you 157 The physical and chemical characteristics of the soil at the study sites are presented in Table 1. in the clay-loam site contained some sand size in the 740 cm depth but high clay content in t 158 reported physico-- 80 cm depth. The sandy-loam plots contained low clay content in the 0 - 40 cm depth and very 159 chemical properties clay content (727 g/kg) at the 80 cm depth. Textural fractions were intermediate in the 40 -160 of the soils and cite depth range for both clay-loam and sandy-loam soils. On the clay-loam soil, the initial nitrogen cdreferences 161 at 20 cm depth was 3.5 mg/kg and at the 40 cm depth, the initial nitrogen content was 3.8 mg/kg. 162 163 There was an increase in the initial nitrogen content at the 60 and 80 cm depth with the values of 11.5 164 and 30.6 mg/kg respectively. On the sandy-loam soil, the initial nitrogen content at the 20 cm depth was 2.0 mg/kg and at the 40 cm depth, it was 2.8 mg/kg. The situation changed at the 60 and 80 cm 165 166 depth with the values of 14.0 and 37.0 mg/kg respectively.

167 Water retention and hydraulic conductivity for clay-loam soil showed higher value of $0.45 \text{ m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ while 168 sandy-loam soil showed lower values of $0.35 \text{ m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$. Initial soil nitrogen content showed a very low 169 residual nitrogen level especially in the 0 - 40 cm depth but the residual nitrogen level increased from 170 14.0 to 37.0 mg/kg at the 60 – 80 cm depth in both the clay-loam and sandy-loam soils.

171 Table1. Soil Physical and Chemical properties of Clay-loam and Sandy-loam Plots

	Pa	rticle d	ensity	Water content at different pressure levels (kpa)							
Depth	Bulk density	Sand	Silt	Clay	1	10	40	100	100	1500	Initial N-content
(cm)	(mg m⁻³)		(g kg⁻¹)				m	³m⁻³			$\underline{mg}kg^{-1}$
Clay-loa	am soil										Pls put this table into correct format
20	1.53	293	168	539	0.43	0.39	0.38	0.35	0.31	0.27	3.5
40	1.51	48	275	677	0.42	0.40	0.38	0.36	0.34	0.31	3.8
60	1.52	66	241	693	0.45	0.45	0.41	0.40	0.38	0.34	11.5

80	1.57	32	164	804	0.45	0.43	0.41	0.39	0.37	0.34	30.6	
Sandy-loa	am soil											
20	1.55	869	58	73	0.35	0.23	0.21	0.09	0.07	0.06	2.0	
40	1.51	738	120	142	0.32	0.24	0.22	0.17	0.14	0.12	2.8	
60	1.54	503	209	288	0.41	0.30	0.29	0.25	0.21	0.16	14.0	
80	1.44	67	206	727	0.44	0.42	0.41	0.38	0.35	0.31	37.0	

172

173 **3.2 Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer and soil Type on Nitrogen Accumulation per Plant**

174 Results on the effect of Nitrogen fertilizer rates and soil types on nitrogen accumulation per plant in 175 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons are presented in Table 2. Results showed that there was a 176 significant effect (P<0.01) in the three cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, nitrogen 177 accumulation per plant was higher on sandy-loam soil (4.53%) while 3.78% was recorded on clay-178 loam soil. In 2011 cropping season, higher nitrogen accumulation was also recorded on sandy-loam 179 soil with 4.32% while 4.01% was recorded on clay-loam soil. In 2012 cropping season, nitrogen 180 accumulation in plants found on sandy-loam soil was 4.23% while 3.96 % was in plants on the clay-181 loam soil. In all the three seasons, higher values were consistently obtained in plants on sandy-loam 182 soil.

183 Effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates on nitrogen accumulation per plant in the three cropping seasons 184 showed highly significant effects (P≤0.01). In 2010 cropping seasons, higher nitrogen accumulation 185 per plant was recorded with the application of 80kg N/ha which gave 4.60%, followed by 40kg N/ha 186 which gave 3.75%. The least value of 3.30% was obtained with 120kg N/ha. In 2011 cropping season, 187 the situation was different where the application of 160 and 120kg N/ha produced plants with higher 188 nitrogen accumulation with a value of 3.98% each. This was followed by 80kg N/ha which gave 189 3.88%. Lower nitrogen contents were found on plants with 40 and 0 kg N/ha which had 3.83 and 3.05 190 % respectively. In 2012 cropping season, the highest nitrogen accumulation per plant (4.01%) was 191 found on plants that were applied 80kg N/ha, followed by 40kg N/ha, which gave nitrogen 192 accumulation value of 3.98%. The application of 120kg N/ha gave a value of 3.95%. A lower nitrogen 193 content of plant was recorded with the application of 160 and 0kg N/ha which gave a value of 3.66 194 and 3.63% respectively.

- 195
- 196

Table 2: Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Type on NitrogenAccumulation/plant for 2010, 2011 and 2012 Cropping Seasons (%)

	Nitrogen accumulation/plant				
Factors	2010	2011	2012		
Soil type					
Clay-loam	3.78	4.01	3.96		
Sandy-loam	4.53	4.32	4.23		

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Mean	4.15	4.16	4.10	
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01	
LSD	0.10	0.95	1.00	
Fertilizer rates (Kg N/ha)				
0	3.43	3.05	3.63	
40	3.75	3.83	3.98	
80	4.60	3.88	4.01	
120	3.30	3.98	3.95	
160	3.53	3.98	3.66	
Mean	3.72	3.74	3.84	
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01	
LSD	0.10	1.05	1.01	

197 LSD = Least significant difference

198 **3.3 Effects of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Uptake Efficiency**

The effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates and soil types on nitrogen uptake efficiency in 2010, 2011 and 200 2012 cropping seasons are presented in Table 3. Results showed that there was a significant effect 201 ($P \le 0.05$) in 2010 and 2011 cropping seasons and highly significant effect ($P \le 0.01$) in 2012 cropping 202 season.

203 In 2010 cropping season, the effects of soil types on nitrogen uptake efficiency revealed that higher 204 nitrogen uptake efficiency was recorded on sandy-loam soil while the value of 1.78 was 205 recorded on clay-loam soil. In 2011 cropping season, nitrogen uptake efficiency was higher in plants 206 on the sandy-loam soil (1.89) while clay-loam soil recorded 1.78. In 2012 cropping season, a 207 situation similar to that of 2010 cropping season was obtained where nitrogen uptake efficiency was 208 higher in sandy-loam soil with a value of 2.01 while clay-loam soil produced 1.78. Results showed 209 that nitrogen uptake efficiency was consistently higher in plants on the sandy-loam soil in 2010, 2011 210 and 2012 cropping seasons.

211 The effects of rates of nitrogen fertilizer on uptake efficiency showed that there was a highly 212 significant effect (P≤0.01) in 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, the 213 highest nitrogen uptake efficiency was recorded with the application of 160 kg N/ha, followed by 40 kg 214 N/ha which produced 2.15 and 1.78 respectively. The lowest nitrogen uptake efficiency of 1.51 was 215 recorded with 0kg N/ha application. In 2011 cropping season, the highest nitrogen uptake efficiency 216 of 2.15 was recorded with 160 kg N/ha. However, the application of 120 and 40 kg N/ha produced 217 plants with nitrogen uptake efficiency of 1.78 each. The least nitrogen uptake efficiency of 1.44 was 218 recorded with 0kg N/ha. In 2012 cropping season, nitrogen uptake efficiency was higher (2.01) with 219 the application of 160 kg N/ha, followed by 40 kg N/ha application with 1.85. The least nitrogen 220 uptake efficiency of 1.32 was recorded with 0 kg N/ha. The results showed that the application of 160 221 kg N/ha consistently produced the highest nitrogen uptake efficiency in 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping 222 seasons.

223Table 3: Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Uptake224Efficiency for 2010, 2011 and 2012 Cropping Seasons (%)

	Nitrogen uptake efficiency				
Factors	2010	2011	2012		
Soil type					
Clay-loam	1.79	1.78	1.78		
Sandy-loam	2.19	1.89	2.01		
Mean	1.99	1.83	1.89		
Prob. of F	0.03	0.03	0.01		
LSD	1.11	1.01	1.01		
Fertilizer rates (Kg N/ha)					
0	1.51	1.44	1.32		
40	1.78	1.78	1.85		
80	1.72	1.72	1.81		
120	1.72	1.78	1.70		
160	2.19	2.15	2.01		
Mean	1.78	1.77	1.73		
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01		
LSD	1.11	1.13	1.01		

225 LSD = Least significant difference

226 **3.4 Effects of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Utilization** 227 **Efficiency**

The effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates and soil types on nitrogen utilization efficiency for the 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons are presented in Table 4. Results of the effect of soil types on nitrogen utilization efficiency showed that there was a highly significant effect ($P \le 0.01$) in the three cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, nitrogen utilization efficiency was 39.7% on sandy-loam soil while 33.5% was recorded on clay-loam soil. Similar trend was maintained in 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons with slightly different values. However, results showed that sandy-loam soil produced plants with higher nitrogen utilization efficiency in 2010, 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons.

Results of the effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates on nitrogen utilization efficiency showed that there was a highly significant ($P \le 0.01$) effect in the three cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, the application of 160, 120 and 80 kg N/ha demonstrated higher nitrogen utilization efficiency in plants with values of 39.6, 39.5 and 39.1% respectively. The application of 40 and 0 kg N/ha to plants resulted in lower nitrogen utilization efficiency where the value of 29.8 and 20.1% were recorded respectively.

In 2011 cropping season, the highest nitrogen utilization efficiency was recorded with 80 kg N/ha,
which gave a value of 39.5%, which was followed by 160 kg N/ha with 39.1%. The plants with low

8

nitrogen utilization efficiency (19.9%) were recorded with 0 kg N/ha. In 2012 cropping season, plants
with the highest nitrogen utilization efficiency (39.9%) were recorded with 80 kg N/ha. The least value
of 18.5% was recorded on plants treated with 0 kg N/ha.

	١	Nitrogen utilization	efficiency
Factors	2010	2011	2012
Soil type			
Clay-loam	33.5	38.9	37.2
Sandy-loam	39.7	39.1	39.3
Mean	36.6	39.0	38.2
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01
LSD	10.1	9.3	11.1
Fertilizer rates (Kg N/ha)			
0	20.1	19.9	18.5
40	29.8	37.5	39.0
80	39.1	39.5	39.9
120	39.5	36.7	38.9
160	39.6	39.1	39.3
Mean	33.6	34.5	35.1
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01
LSD	11.1	10.3	10.3

246Table 4: Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Utilization247Efficiency for 2010, 2011 and 2012 Cropping Seasons (%)

248 LSD = Least significant difference

249 **3.5 Effects of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Types on Nitrogen Use Efficiency**

250 The effects of rates of nitrogen fertilizer rates and soil types on nitrogen use efficiency in the 2010, 251 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons are presented in Table 5. Results of the effects of soil types on 252 nitrogen use efficiency showed that there were highly significant effects (P<0.01) in the three cropping 253 seasons. In 2010 cropping season, nitrogen use efficiency was higher on sandy-loam soil with a value 254 of 72.1% while it was 67.3% on clay-loam soil. A similar trend was maintained in 2011 cropping 255 season where the nitrogen use efficiency on sandy-loam soil was 69.1% and clay-loam soil was 256 68.3%. In 2012 cropping season, nitrogen use efficiency on sandy-loam soil was 70.1% while on clay-257 loam soil was 68%. Results showed that nitrogen use efficiency was consistently higher on sandy-258 loam soil in all the three cropping seasons.

Results on the effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates on nitrogen use efficiency showed that there was a significant effect ($P \le 0.05$) in 2010 cropping season and highly significant effect ($P \le 0.01$) in 2011 and 2012 cropping seasons. In 2010 cropping season, the highest nitrogen use efficiency was recorded with 40 kg N/ha (72.1%) followed by 80 kg N/ha with 67.3%. The application of 120 and 160 kg N/ha 263 produced nitrogen use efficiency of 57.0 and 57.3% respectively in 2011 cropping season. The 264 highest nitrogen use efficiency of 65.8 % was recorded with only 40 kg N/ha, followed by 80 kg N/ha 265 with 51.3% nitrogen use efficiency. With the application of 120 kg N/ha, the nitrogen use efficiency 266 was 50.2%. In 2012 cropping season, the highest nitrogen use efficiency was recorded with 120 kg 267 N/ha with a value of 72.1% which was followed by 80 kg N/ha with a value of 59.5%.

268	Table 5: Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates and Soil Type on Nitrogen Use Efficiency
269	for 2010, 2011 and 2012 Cropping Seasons (%)

	Nitrogen use efficiency					
Factors	2010	2011	2012			
Soil type						
Clay-loam	63.3	68.3	68.0			
Sandy-loam	72.1	69.1	70.0			
Mean	69.7	68.7	69.0			
Prob. of F	0.01	0.01	0.01			
LSD	15.7	18.1	15.7			
Fertilizer rates (Kg N/ha)						
0	31.3	29.8	32.1			
40	72.1	65.8	59.3			
80	67.3	51.3	59.5			
120	59.1	50.2	72.1			
160	57.0	49.5	53.3			
Mean	57.3	49.3	52.2			
Prob. of F	0.03	0.01	0.01			
LSD	16.7	15.1	15.2			

270 LSD = Least significant difference

271 4. DISCUSSION

QD

272 The results of the current study showed that nitrogen uptake efficiency, nitrogen utilization efficiency

273 and nitrogen use efficiency were affected by rates of nitrogen fertilizer and soil type. The results

showed that nitrogen uptake efficiency was affected by rates of nitrogen fertilizer. This is in agree is this % or g N/ 274 with the report of Quaye et al. [11] of a significant interaction between applied nitrogen and soil plant. pls check 275

content of the maize plant. Generally, the total nitrogen in the plant (g N/plant) increased wit table 2 and increase of nitrogen in the plant. Nitrogen application alone, however, cannot be attributed to nitr reconcile 277

- 278 uptake ability of the maize plant. Other factors that influence the availability and uptake of nitrogen may
- 279 be operating during the growth stages of the plant. Nitrogen uptake efficiency also depends upon the
- 280 availability of nitrogen in the soil. Similar findings were reported by Rahimizadeh [12] that nitrogen
- 281 uptake efficiency reflects the efficiency of the crop in obtaining nitrogen from the soil. Therefore,
- weground biomass increased as nitrogen level increased in the soil in line with the report of Worku 282

et al. [6] that the above ground biomass increased with an increase in the <u>rate of nitrogen fertilizer</u>
 applied.

285 Furthermore, the results of the current study indicated that nitrogen use efficiency decreased with 286 increasing nitrogen rate above 120 kg N/ha. Excess nitrogen applied may have lost to the environment 287 through leaching and denitrification. When higher rates of nitrogen fertilizer were used in maize 288 production, the nitrogen content not utilized by the crop is lost to the atmosphere through denitrification 289 or goes beyond the root zone of crop through leaching This agrees with the report of Sowers et al. [13] 290 who reported that the application of high rates of nitrogen fertilizer would result in poor nitrogen uptake 291 and low nitrogen use efficiency due to excess nitrogen losses. It is therefore imperative to apply 292 nitrogen fertilizer when needed most by the crop plant. Use of optimum amount of nitrogen fertilizer 293 through suitable (a) lication methods is imperative for higher nitrogen use efficiency. Nitrogen use 294 efficiency can therefore be improved through matching application rate with crop demand as reported 295 by Nemati and Sharifi [14] mese results agree with the finding of Raun and Johnson [15] and Pierce 296 and Rice [16] who reported that higher rates of nitrogen decrease nitrogen use efficiency in cereal. 297 Lopez-Bellido and Lopez-Bellido [17] indicated that a decrease in nitrogen use efficiency with 298 increasing fertilizer rates is because yield rises less than the nitrogen supply in the soil and fertilizer. 299 Nemati et al. [14] also reported that nitrogen use efficiency decreased with increasing nitrogen rates but 300 Kanampiu et al. [18] attributed the general decrease in nitrogen use efficiency with increasing nitrogen 301 rates to increase in grain protein and nitrogen loss in the soil.

Loss of nitrogen from available pool, however, is dependent on the strength of competing nitrogen pathways including leaching, volatilization and immobilization from the time of application to uptake. Consequently, synchronization of nitrogen application with crop nitrogen demand may not lead to greater nitrogen use efficiency; rather it is the synchronization of nitrogen availability with plant nitrogen demand and uptake coupled with the lack of synchronization of available nitrogen with competing nitrogen pathways that promotes greater nitrogen use efficiency.

308 5. CONCLUSION

you did not discuss the effect of soil types on the measured parameters, since there were significant effects

The study revealed that the application of high nitrogen rates result in poor nitrogen uptake and low nitrogen use efficiency due to essive nitrogen losses. Therefore, the most logical approach to increasing nitrogen use efficiency is to supply nitrogen revealed by the crop. The study also revealed that nitrogen use efficiency is more optimal in sandy loam than clay loam soils. Based on the findings of this study, 120 kg N/ha (highest nitrogen use efficiency achieved) is recommended for farmers in Yola.

316

309

317 **REFERENCES**

International Institute of Tropical Agriculture. Selected methods for soil and plant Analysis. IITA,
 Ibadan, Nigeria. 2009.

Sheldrick WF, Lingard J. The use of nutrient audits to determine Nutrient balance in Africa. Science
 Direct, Food policy. 2004; 29:61–98.

please check and reconcile

322 3. Tollenaar M, Dwyer LM. Physiology of maize. In D.L. Smith and C. Hamel (ed.) Crop yield, 323 physiology and processes. Springer Verlag, Berlin. 1999; 169-204.

4. Onasanya RO, Aiyelari OP, Onasanya A, Oikeh S, Nwilene FE, Oyelakin O. Growth and yield
response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to different rates of nitrogen And phosphorus fertilizer in southern
Nigeria. World Journal of Agricultural Science. 2009;5(4):400-407.

- 5. Sanchez PA. Linking climate change research with food security and poverty reduction in the topics. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 2000;82:371-833.
- 6. Worku M, Banzigar M, Schulte G, Friesen D, Diallo AO, Horst WJ. Nitrogen uptake and utilization in
 contrasting nitrogen efficient tropical Maize hybrids. Crop Science Society of America, Madison,
 U.S.A. 2007.
- 332 7. United States Department of Agriculture. Food spending as a proportional Income.
 333 <u>http://www.ers.usda.gov/publication/aer780/aer780f.pdf</u>. 2009.
- 8. Kamara AY, Menkir A, Sanginga, N. Nitrogen use efficiency of maize genotype for tolerance to low Nitrogen and drought stress. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan Nigeria.. 2002.
- Moll RH, Kamprath EJ, Jackson WA. Analysis and interpretation of factors which contribute to
 efficiency to nitrogen utilization. Agron. J. 1982; 74:562-564.
- 338 10. Statistical Analysis System Institute SAS / STAT software. Changes and enhancement through
 339 release 9.2. SAS institute, Cary NC. USA. 2009.
- 11. Quaye AK, Laryea KB, Abeney-Mickson S. Soil water and Nitrogen Interaction effect on maize
 (zea mays L.) grown on vertisols. Journal of Forestry, Horticulture, and Soil Science. 2009; 3(1).
- Rahimizadeh M. Kashani A. Zare-Feizabadi A. Koocheki AR. Nassiri-Mahallati M. Nitrogen use
 efficiency of wheat as affected by proceeding crop application rate of nitrogen and crop residues,
 Australian Jounal of Crop Science. 2010; 3:89–93.
- 13. Sowers KE, Pan WL, Miller WC, Smith JL. Nitrogen use efficiency of split nitrogen application in soft white winter. Wheat. Agronomy Journal. 1994; 86:942–948.
- 14. Nemati AR, Sharifi RS. Effects of rates and nitrogen application timing on yield, agronomic
 characteristics and nitrogen use efficiency in corn. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop
 Sciences. 2012.
- 15. Raun WR, Johnson GV. improving nitrogen use efficiency for cereal crop production Agronomy
 Journal. 1999; 91:357–363.
- 16. Pierce FJ, Rice CW. Crop rotation and its impact on efficiency of Water and nitrogen use, In:
 Hargrove (ed). Cropping strategies for Efficient use of water and nitrogen. ASA, Special publication,
 No. 15 Wisconsin. 1988; 100–113.
- 17. Lopez-Bellido GRJ, Lopez-Bellido L. Effects of crop rotation and nitrogen fertilization on soil nitrate
 and wheat yield under rain-fed Mediterranean conditions. Agronomic. 2001; 21:509-516.
- 18. Kanampiu FK, Raun WR, Johnson GV. Effect of nitrogen rate on plant nitrogen loss in winter
 wheat varieties. J of Plant Nutr. 1997; 20:389-404.