



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_40398
Title of the Manuscript:	VEGETATIVE GROWTH PHASE IN LOCAL RICE LANDRACES AS AFFECTED BY MOISTURE STRESS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good research work on vegetative growth phase in local rice landraces as affected by moisture stress. It study had showed that certain cultivar of rice can be very productive under water stress especially in northern Nigeria where rain fed rice farming is not reliable and unrealistic.	Agreed with all comments and have effected corrections as advised.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some mistakes have been pointed out in the manuscript using comment icons. 2. Author(s) should effect all corrections as pointed out in the manuscript. 3. The experiment was a 3 x 3 factorial. This was not mentioned. 4. The experimental design was randomized complete block design since the crop was planted in the soil/field directly 5. The use two replication only was not ideal for analysis of variance statistical tool 6. Adopt the journal's style and format of referencing in completing the references in this manuscript 7. The names of all journals cited in the manuscript should be written in full and not abbreviated to ease cross referencing and citation counts 	Agreed with all comments and have effected corrections as advised. Items listed 1-7 have been adequately corrected based on the comments.
Optional/General comments	Good research and presentation	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>