

Editor's Comment:

I think the reviewers didn't read the article well because the manuscript still needs reviewing. please send the manuscript to other reviewer and then make a decision.

Here are some clear mistakes they should check during reviewing:

Abstract: should start with the general sentence following material and methods then findings and the last one concluding the abstract by one sentence.

Line 15 to 17 should be in the conclusion section.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Collection of Samples

The survey sites were visited in November 2012 **this is questionable where were the authors all this time? Why they didn't write and submit the paper before.**

Analyzing data is wrong because error bars are same they have to change it and reanalyze the data.

Discussion part is short and not strong.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Unclear and they didn't show the importance of the article.

References double check and to be sure to include all the references from the manuscript in the reference section.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Tavga Sulaiman Rashid

Lecturer, Department of Plant Protection, Agricultural College, Salahaddin University - Erbil, Iraq