VEGETATIVE GROWTH PHASE IN LOCAL RICE LANDRACES AS AFFECTED BY MOISTURE STRESS

Abstract

Rice has been part of the most essential food crop for almost half of the world's rapid growing population. At present, it is a valuable commodity of relevant importance which drives the change in the pattern of crop preference selection both in the urban and as well the rural areas. Water scarcity has been termed the most deepened single physiological and ecological factor controlling the effect of growth and development of plants than any known other factor. Experiments have been undertaken to determine the resultant effects of moisture stress and evaluate plant biomass during the vegetative growth phase in local grown rice landraces in North Eastern Nigeria. Seeds of two different local varieties namely BG doguwa, Mai-zazzabi and NERICA as control were obtained from local farmers as they are the widely grown rice cultivars in the region. There were three treatments of irrigating once in a day (control), irrigating after every 2 days (mild) and after six days (severe), respectively. Data collection was done on the following parameters; plant height (cm), number of leaves, root length (cm), stem diameter (cm), and shoot biomass (g). The present study revealed significant reduction in plant growth and biomass accumulation because of severe water deficit. Mai-zazzabi was observed to be more tolerant to the moisture stress in terms of plant growth compared to the other two tested varieties and was noticed to accumulate higher biomass under the severe moisture stress condition.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

One of the most manageable and prolific agricultural cropping system recognized in the world is rice farming. This is because, rice farming has adapted to varying environmental ecosystems from lowlands to highlands and from waterlogged swamps to uplands. Rice crop from ancient domestication is known to thrive in deep waterlogged soil been a semi-aquatic plant it requires a huge supply of water for its production. Rice production can be done in varying ecological conditions like irrigated lowlands, rainfed lowlands and highlands and deep-water conditions based on water availability (Hafeez *et al.,* 2007). In the World perception generally, irrigated rice is seen to have accounted for about 55 % of the whole productive farming system in its harvested area whilst contributing to about 75 % total production. Irrigated rice annual productivity has exceeded that of rain fed rice by more than 5 % (Fairhurt & Dobermann, 2002). For the meantime, acquiring resources for rice irrigation farming has come into a decline over the past years due to the rapid increase in development and industrialization which aggravates the problem of water scarcity (Gleick et al., 2002). An estimation of water required to attain 1 kg of rice grain is 1900 litters as reported presently by FAOSTAT (2013).

A distinguishing physiological and as well ecological factor which affects plant growth and development is water deficit, the effect is seen to be more predominant than any other environmental factor affecting plant growth (Kramer and Boyer, 1995). Water supply and availability is a critical requirement for plant but its deficiency results in the plant becoming stressed. At the initial stage of the vegetative phase of growth in plants, water deficiency has been established to be a very important limiting factor as it affects elongation and expansion in growth (Anjum *et al.,* 2003). Slow cellular enlargements, plant tillering capacity and reduction in stem lengths because of inhibited internodal elongation are all caused by water stress.

At different levels in the stages of development such as tillering phase, panicle initiation and heading, the rice plant responds differently to moisture stress (Botwright *et al.,* 2008; Kamoshita *et al.,* 2004), yet, some associated factors of the stress like timing, intensity and duration have harmful effect on

plant growth. At the stage of reproduction, Liu et al., (2006) reported that flowering is more exposed to the intensity of the stress which at the end causes sterility in the spikelet.

Ample water is required to grow rice; rice grown in upland areas going rainless for a week and rice grown in shallow lowlands areas going rainless for 2 weeks will significantly cause a reduction in yield. Drought periods leading to low production and food scarcity were observed because of water scarcity on average yield production in rain fed conditions. Climate change onset has contributed to the intensity of frequency of droughts. Rain fed rice production in more than 23 million hectares of area in South and South West Asia has been affected by water scarcity (IPCC, 2007). Droughts recurring in Africa, have affected more than 80 % of the potential 20 million hectares of land set aside for rain fed lowland rice production (IFPRI, 2010). Increasing crop tolerance to water scarcity would be the most economic approach for maximizing productivity and to minimize agricultural use of fresh water resource. Recent studies have shown plants evolving numerous morphological, physiological, biochemical and molecular strategies to adapt to the adverse climatic effect. To fulfill this objective, a concise knowledge of the possible mechanisms lying behind water stress environment is a must.

Though rice is affected by some environmental factors such as moisture, pH, temperature, soil type etc. rice plant was observed to develop some mechanism to overcome or escape those unfavorable conditions. And that's the reason why it is important to study and find out moisture effect on the growth of such local landraces of rice.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Experimental site

The study was carried out at Federal College of Horticulture, Dadin Kowa nursery site. The College is situated at Dadin Kowa along Gombe to Biu/Maiduguri road in Yamaltu Deba Local Government Area of Gombe State. Dadin Kowa is about 35 kilometers away from Gombe and is located in the Sudan Savannah ecological zone of Nigeria, on latitude 11° 7' 22N, longitude 11° 11' 26E, and on an altitude of 231 meters above sea level.

The plant was grown on a well-established bed in the nursery where required field environmental factors such as light, CO_2 concentration and temperature conditions were mimicked. Seeds of two different local varieties namely BG doguwa, Mai-zazzabi and NERICA as control were obtained from local farmers as they are the widely grown varieties in the region. The local rice varieties have not been subjected to any data baseor germplasm depository as they now been tested for preliminary researches based on farmer usage and consumers consumption. The soil was dug from the College nursery site, three beds (for the control and drought treatments) of two by two meters were established with two replicates each and watered to field capacity for three days, and the seeds were soaked for a day prior to planting to facilitate germination. Five hills of each variety with 4 seeds per hill were sown. The experiment was a 3 x 3 factorial. The experimental set-up was a randomized complete block design (RCBD). The treatments were; irrigating once in a day (control), after every two days (mild), and after six days (severe), respectively. For the first three weeks the plants were subjected to daily irrigation with the same amount of water per bed. The beds were kept weed free by handpicking the weeds and also weeding with hoe.

2.2 Data Measurements

All experimental plants were subjected to before (data taken from 1 week after germination till harvest) and after harvesting agronomic measurement of data as listed below.

Plant height (cm): Measurement commenced twenty-one (21) days after planting and subsequent measurements were taken after every 7 days.

Number of leaves: This was done by counting the number of leaves on each individual tiller of the plant.

Stem diameter (cm): The stem diameter was measured using a thread which is tied to the stem of the plant and then placed on a meter rule to take the measurement.

98 **Wet shoot weight (g):** This was determined immediately after harvesting using <mark>a mini kitchen scale</mark>
99 weighing balance.

100 **Dry shoot biomass weight (g):** The shoots were harvested and <mark>then neatly folded and placed in</mark>
101 <mark>brown paper bags and placed to dry at 80^0C in an oven, the shoot biomass was then weighed using</mark>
102 <mark>an electronic weighing scale in the laboratory.</mark>

103 **Root length (cm)**: The plants were <mark>gently</mark> uprooted and soaked in water to wash off soil particles.
104 The length of the root was determined by using a meter rule. Measurements were taken from the
105 stem base to the longest root tip of the tap root.

106 **Dry root biomass weight (g):** The roots were harvested and then neatly folded and placed in brown
107 paper bags and placed to dry at 80^0C in an oven, the roots were then weighed. <mark>The root biomass was</mark>
108 <mark>then weighed using an electronic weighing scale in the laboratory.</mark>

109 **3.0 <mark>DATA</mark> ANALYSIS**

110 Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using statistical computer package
111 Minitab(c) V. 17 (State College PA) to determine treatments effects if significant. The treatment and
112 variety mean were separated using the <mark>test known as Tukey</mark> Pairwise Comparisons. <mark>The level of</mark>
113 <mark>significance was set at 95 % confidence interval ($P<=0.05$).</mark>
114 Results presented <mark>are data collected and analysed</mark> from the fifth week after germination as no
115 significant differences ($P>0.05$) or effects were observed before then on all parameters measured.

116 **3.1 RESULTS**

117 **3.1.1 Plant heights (cm)**

118 <mark>A general decline in plant height was observed in relation to</mark> increasing water deficit (figure 1). There
119 was a significant difference ($P<0.05$) in plant height among the watering regimes in W6 and W7 but
120 not in W8 and the first and third regimes has the tallest plants (Table 1). These may be since the plant
121 has developed tolerant mechanism and <mark>the reason</mark> why the growth in height continued. The reduction
122 in plant height <mark>in relation to</mark> increased water deficit was more pronounced in BG doguwa and NERICA
123 <mark>(figure 1).</mark>

124

125 **Figure1:** Effect of different watering regimes on the plant height of BG doguwa,
126 Mai zazzabi and NERICA rice land races at week 1 to week 8. Values are means of two Replications
127 ± Std error.

128
129
130

Table 1: General linear model (P-Values) for the interaction between all the parameters versus Treatment, Varieties

| Parameter	Treatment	Varieties	Treatment *Varieties
Plantheight(cm) Week 5	0.001	0.045	0.107
Plantheight(cm) Week 6	0.007	0.002	0.015
Plantheight(cm) Week 7	0.001	0.002	0.012
Plantheight(cm) Week 8	0.001	0.014	0.060
NL Week 5	0.001	0.001	0.191
NL Week 6	0.001	0.001	0.091
NL Week 7	0.001	0.001	0.054
NL Week 8	0.001	0.001	0.047
Stem diameter(cm)	0.002	0.014	0.181
Root length(cm)	0.027	0.232	0.349
Biomass weight(g)	0.001	0.001	0.043

*Note. NL=Number of leaves, coloured fonts indicate significant P values < 0.05

Table 2: Mean Values ± Standard error of interaction between Parameters versus Treatment

| Parameter	Treatments			
	Control	Mild stress	Severe stress	P-value
Stem diameter(cm)	$1.60^a \pm 0.19$	$1.46^b \pm 0.19$	$1.39^b \pm 0.13$	0.006
Root length(cm)	$14.33^a \pm 1.85$	$13.08^{ab} \pm 1.99$	$12.34^b \pm 2.11$	0.029
Biomass(g)	$2.60^a \pm 1.30$	$1.43^b \pm 0.46$	$1.47^b \pm 0.61$	0.001

Key: Means that do not share a letter are significantly different, coloured fonts indicate significant P values < 0.05

Number of leaves decreased under water deficit (figure 2). Control treatment recorded higher number of leaves than plants in treatment 2 (mildly stressed) and treatment 3, (severe stress) respectively, the most pronounced reduction occurred with BG doguwa land race.

140

Figure 2: Effect of different watering regimes on the number of leaves(NL) of BG doguwa,
Mai zazzabi and Nerica rice land races at week 1 to week 8. Values are means of two Replications ±
Std error.

Root length noticeably reduced due to increased water deficit (figure 3). Plants of the Control
treatment recorded higher root lengths than plants of treatment 2 (mildly stressed) and treatment 3,
(severe stress) respectively. The more pronounced reduction occurred with BG doguwa. Stem
diameter (figure 3) and as well biomass (g) (figure 4) was reduced due to the severity in water deficit.
Plants watered daily (Control) had higher stem diameters(cm) (figure 3) and biomass(g) (figure 4)
accumulation than plants of the other watering regimes.

151

Figure 3: Effect of different watering regimes on the root length(cm) and stem diameter(cm) of BG
doguwa, Mai zazzabi and Nerica rice land races. Values are means of two Replications ± Std error.

154

155
156 **Figure 4:** Effect of different watering regimes on biomass weight(g) of of BG doguwa, Mai zazzabi
157 and Nerica rice land races. Values are means of two Replications ± Std error.
158
159 There was a significant difference (P<0.05) among the varieties in stem diameter (cm) and whole
160 plant dry weight(g) as shown in (Table 3). BG doguwa had the highest stem diameter (cm) and
161 biomass (g) in the first watering regime (control) followed by Mai-zazzabi, but Mai-zazzabi had the
162 highest in the second (mild stress) and third (severe stress) watering regimes followed by BG
163 doguwa.
164
165 **Table 3: Mean Values ± Standard error of interaction between Parameters versus Varieties**

Parameter	Varieties			P-value
	BG doguwa	Mai-zazzabi	Nerica	
Stem diameter(cm)	$1.55^a \pm 0.21$	$1.52^{ab} \pm 0.11$	$1.39^b \pm 0.20$	0.037
Root length(cm)	$13.49^a \pm 2.81$	$13.72^a \pm 1.56$	$12.55^a \pm 1.70$	0.279
Biomass (g)	$2.17^a \pm 1.14$	$2.10^a \pm 1.07$	$1.23^b \pm 0.42$	0.015

166 Key: Means that do not share a letter are significantly different, coloured fonts indicate significant P values < 0.05

167 **4.0 DISCUSSION**

168 The trend in the reduction in plant height with increase in water deficit (Figure-1) in rice agrees with
169 results of Siddique *et al.* (2000) in wheat. Growth involves both cellular growth and development
170 which is a process consisting of cellular division, cellular enlargement and differentiation and these
171 processes are very sensitive to water deficit because they all depend on cellular turgidity (Jones and
172 Lazenby, 1988). The inhibition of cell expansion is usually followed closely by a reduction in cell wall
173 synthesis (Salisbury and Ross, 1992). This may have affected plant height of the rice. This study
174 revealed Mai zazzabi to be generally taller than BG doguwa and Nerica at severe moisture stress
175 conditions. This implies that Mai zazzabi can withstand higher levels of dehydration. In terms of plant
176 height, Mai zazzabi is the most tolerant variety among the three varieties. The number of leaves
177 decrease with increase in water deficit (figure 2). Water deficit might, inhibit photosynthesis and
178 produce less assimilates which resulted in lower number of leaves this result agrees with the work of
179 Hossain (2001). The plant shoot dry weights decreased in increase in water deficit. Similar results
180 were obtained by Willumsen (1993). The reduction in shoot dry weight could be associated with
181 reduced rate of leaf production hence low number of leaves. Reduction in leaf growth may also have

182 been contributed by lower rates of cell division and cell extension in the leaves. Reduction in leaf
183 growth leads to less photosynthesis hence retarded overall plant growth as the resources required for
184 growth processes become limited in supply (Mwai, 2002). Plants show increased root: shoot ratio
185 during soil moisture deficit (Boyer, 1985). Similar results have also been obtained in mango rootstock
186 seedlings (Luvaha, 2005). The differential sensitivity of roots and shoots (with root growth being less
187 sensitive to water deficits) leads to large increases in the root to shoot ratio in drought conditions
188 (Sharp and Davies, 1985). This may be an adaptation of Mai zazzabi rice varieties for survival under
189 water scarcity conditions since increased root surface area allows more water to be absorbed from
190 the soil. Shoot growth decline coupled with continued root growth would result in an improved plant
191 water status under extreme water deficit conditions. In maize seedlings, root growth is not affected by
192 low water potentials which are in another way completely inhibitory to shoot growth (Boyer, 1985).
193 The three varieties may posses' mechanisms of biomass accumulation under water stress conditions.
194 In this study Mai zazzabi exhibits superior adpatation to water deficit in terms of biomass
195 accumulation. Whole plant dry weight significantly declined with moisture deficit. This finding agrees
196 with the results reported by Emmam et al. (2010). Water deficit may have played a role in influencing
197 the increment in height and leaf area per plant which eventually influenced the increase in the shoot
198 dry matter of plants. A reduction of photosynthetic surface by water deficit decreases the ability of
199 plant to produce dry matter.

5.0 CONCLUSION

201 The present study has revealed the significance of water in rice physiological growth where water
202 deficit has led to a reduction in plant growth and biomass accumulation. Relating to plant growth Mai
203 zazzabi is the most tolerant among the three varieties and can accumulate higher biomass under
204 water stress conditions.

205 Mai zazzabi can be recommended to farmers as the variety that is tolerant to moisture stresses
206 especially those in the northern part of the country where there is low annual rainfall. And recommend
207 that more research be conducted on rice to come up with improve variety that will be more tolerant
208 and adapted to other environmental conditions not necessarily moisture to increase productivity and
209 yield to meet up with the rapid increase in population growth and demand worldwide.

REFERENCE

211 Anjum, F., Yaseen, M., Rasul, E., Wahid, A., and Anjum, S. Water stress in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*):
212 Effects on morphological characters. Pakistani Journal of Agricultural Science, 2003; 40- 43.

213 Botwright-Acuna,T.L., Lafitte, H.R., and Wade, L.J. Genotype and environment interactions for grain
214 yield of upland rice backcross lines in diverse hydrological environments. Field Crops Research,
215 2008; 108, 2, 117-125. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.04.003

216 Boyer J. S. Water transports. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiology. 1985; 36: 473-516.

217 Emmam, Y., Shekoofa, A., Salehi, F., and Jalali, A.H., Water stress effects on two common bean
218 cultivars with contrasting growth habitats. American- Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and
219 Environmental Science, 2010; 9(5): 495-499.

220 Fairhurt, T.H., and Dobermann, A. Rice in the global food supply. In: better crops for international
221 Special   supplementary,   2002;
222 37.http://www.ipni.net/ppiweb/bcropint.nsf/$webindex/0E477FFC43BD62DA8525
223 6BDC00722F62/$file/BCI-RICEp03.pdf

224 FAOSTAT. (December, 2013) Food security: Global food. 2, URL http://Food-Security-
225 Statistics@FAO.org.
226

227 Gleick, P.H., Wolff, E.L & Chalecki, R.R. The new economy of water: The risks and benefits of
228 globalization and privatization of fresh water: Pacific Institute for studies in Development, environment
229 and Security.Oakland CA., 2002; 48pp. ISBN No. 1-893790-07-X.

230 Hafeez, M.M., Bouman, B.A.M., Van de Giesen, N. and Vlek, P.Scale effects on water use and water
231 productivity in a rice-based irrigation system (UPRIIS) in the Philippines. Agricultural Water
232 Management. 2007; 92, 81–89.

233 Hossain, M.A. Growth and yield performance of some boro rice cultivars under different soil moisture
234 regimes. M.S. Thesis, Department Crop Botany. Bangladesh Agricultural University,
235 Mymensingh,2001; Chapter 2-5.

236 IFPRI. What is the irrigation potential for Africa? A combined biophysical and socioeconomic
237 approach. IFPRI, Discussion Paper 00993. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington,
238 DC, 2010.

239 IPCC. Climate Change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II
240 to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Parry, M.L.,
241 Canziani, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., van der Linden, P.J. and Hanson, C.E. eds). Cambridge University
242 Press, Cambridge.

243 Jones B.M. and Lazenby A. The grass crop, the physiological basis of production. Chapman and Hall,
244 London. 1988; pp. 226-240.

245 Kamoshita, A., Rodriguez, R., Yama auchi, A., and Wade, L. Genotypic variation in response of
246 rainfed lowland to prolonged drought and re-watering. Plant Production Science, 2004; 7, 4, 406-420.

247 Khalil, H.I., Bari, A. Khan, S. and Zada, I. Genetic variation for yield components in rice. Agricultural
248 and Biological Sciences, 2009; 4(6): 60-64.

249 Kramer, P.J. and Boyer, J.S. Water relations of plants. Academic Press New York, USA, 1995; Pp
250 495-496.

251 Liu, J.X., Liao, D.Q., Oane, R., Estenor, L., Yang, X.E., Li, Z.C., and Bennett, J. Genetic variation in
252 the sensitivity of anther dehiscence to drought stress in rice. Field Crops Research, 2006; 97, 87-100.
253 doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2005.08.019

254 Luvaha E. The effects of water deficit on the growth of mango (*Mangifera indica*) rootstock seedlings.
255 M.Sc Thesis. Maseno University, Kenya, 2005.

256 Mwai.G.N. Growth response of spider plant (Cleome gynandra L.) to salinity. M.Sc. Thesis. Maseno
257 University, Kenya, 2001.

258 Salisbury B. and Ross W. Plant physiology.4th edition, Wadsworth, Belmont, California. 1992; pp.
259 580-585.

260 Sharp R.E. and Davies W.J. Root growth and water uptake by maize plants in drying soil.
261 Experimental Botany. 1985; 36: 1441- 1456.

262 Siddique, M.R., Hamid, A., and Islam, M. Drought stress effects on water relations of wheat. Plant
263 Physiology, 2000; 41: 35-39.

264 Willumsen J. Assessment of fluctuations in water and air content of pot substrates during plant
265 growth. Acta. Horticulture. 1993; 295: 249-259.

Comment [A1]: Is it the name of journal or the name of author?

Comment [A2]: It is the name of journal.