SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCOS_46031**
Title of the Manuscript:	**E-PROCUREMENT: A STRATEGIC APPROACH TO PUBLIC WORKS TENDERING**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This is quite a good paper. It requires a sentence just before the conclusion which explains the claim for contribution to academic knowledge and two sentences in the conclusion, one to outline the research imitations and the other to consider the suggestions for future research.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*John Walsh*
Department, University & Country	*School of Business and Management, RMIT Vietnam*