



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_46031
Title of the Manuscript:	E-PROCUREMENT: A STRATEGIC APPROACH TO PUBLIC WORKS TENDERING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Author has done appreciable review on the topic but the research design and the analysis need to be worked. The analysis and the suggestions for the problems are not properly explained.</p> <p>The objective of the study is not clearly mentioned. The paper looks incomplete. If the author has any explanation for this can be considered or else he can submit the revised paper which can be reviewed at fast track for publication.</p>	<p>Problem Statement: Procurement is a discipline sparingly thought, but yet mostly applied in both public and private sectors of the economy. This paper offers an attempt for the understanding of the public procurement process for the acquisition of works, goods and various services.</p> <p>Objective: Provide a rich conceptual model for the development and description of public works e-tendering procurement systems that enable the client communicate meaningfully with intending vendors through the web service interface and also evaluate the performance of the system.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Correction made where we agreed with the reviewer