



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_45904
Title of the Manuscript:	The Factors Influencing the Adoption of Software as a Service (SaaS): An Analysis of SMEs in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract – well explained to describe the study. Introduction – were very good in defining the study gap in the context of Sri Lanka SMEs Literature – the study illustrates the full understanding of the various constructs used in the research, literature well cited and theoretical based application. Research Methods – appropriate research methods were used, I suggest the SMEs should be clearly defined in the study for example SMEs (manufacturers, service, retailers, wholesalers or the general SMEs in Sri Lanka??). I recommend if the author will consider adding the measurements constructs or the questionnaires adopted as an appendix to the study. Results and discussion – concise and well analysed. It clearly justify the various constructs used and its theoretical applications in the study.	Corrections have been done and highlighted in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	No	-
Optional/General comments	This study is good and its provides adequate information to be considered for publication Accept for publication to fit into the journal format.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	