



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_45051
Title of the Manuscript:	Designing Smart Campus Using Smart technologies: Towards a Smart City Information System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article presents a very interesting subject, I would recommend the author to complement the article with the following points: The article presents a very interesting subject, I would recommend the author to complement the article with the following points: In the introduction, the author could contextualize better with the subjects: contextualization of the cities of today: accelerated growth vs. the evasion of the rural centers to the urban centers; The problems of cities: megacities and population clusters; Concept about smart cities and Smart city examples in the world and in Brazil. The concepts of cities, before defining the technologies, should contextualize some characteristics: Mapping the strategic to be applied the city; Defining the City's Vision and Designing Solutions; Solutions should be composed of concepts of sustainability, resilience, intelligent economy and scanning; Defining Scenarios; Turning problems into opportunities and timing of implementation, and measurement.	Noted, even though the scope of the work is basically smart technologies for smart campus. If WE should totally go by your advice, the work will change to smart city not campus again . Notwithstanding, we have gained a lot from your observation and have acted accordingly
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	