



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_43749
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality of Service Determination in Effurun, Warri Delta State, Nigeria Using Petroleum Training Institute as a Case Study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author fails to mention in the title, the type of telecommunication network where quality of service is evaluated. I suggest a revision of the title by suggesting this, Comparative Analysis of GSM Quality of Service in Effurun, Warri Delta State, Nigeria Using Petroleum Training Institute as a Case Study. I saw some typos and grammatical errors in the paper. The author should read the paper again and correct the typos and error in the use of tense. I do not think that the pictures of the buildings where the measurements were taken should appear in the paper. The pictures can be removed. If it is possible, the result of the measurement can be subjected to further useful statistical test, instead of deriving conclusion from the raw data obtained from the measurement.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Osondu Oguike</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria</i>