



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_44314</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The bitwise operations in relation to the concept of set</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Method Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The abstract should be rewritten to reflect some of the benefits or merits of using bitwise operators in relation to set concept in Java and C++ programming. The word 'work' as used in the Abstract should be 'Study'</p> <p>1. line15, "In this paper[1], we described an algorithm". If the author(s) are not part of [1], should be rewritten</p> <p>2. Introduction should be rewritten to reflect the authors topics and their methods</p> <p>3.lines 33 – 39. I expect the author(s) to define at least bit/bitwise before the applications as in lines 36 -37.</p> <p>4.line 46 be written in line 48</p> <p>5. lines 70 – 153, the author(s) have been able to shown elementary known examples of binary operations in computer programming. This of course have not added to known knowledge</p> <p>6. lines 156 – 203 (3 and 4 ) should be combine</p> <p>7.lines 28 – 31, clearly stated the purpose of this study. My take, the author(s) have not being able to demonstrate clearly the defined objective of this study.</p> <p>8. Let the topic reflect the main variable of the study ' Java and C++ programming</p> <p>9. The author(s) should improve on the manuscript</p>	<p>I rewrite the abstract and introduction. I replace the word 'work' with 'study'.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I am the author of [1].</li> <li>2. It is done.</li> <li>3. The concepts of Bit and Bitwise are well known and can be found in any textbook on programming in C++ and Java. The bibliography given in the end of the article is sufficient.</li> <li>4. I do not understand what the problem is here. The manuscript was prepared according to the standards specified in the file SDI_Paper_template_2003.docs.</li> <li>5. The Type of the Article is "<b>Method Article</b>" (see above). The examples shown on lines 70-153 will benefit students and their lecturers in the programming courses.</li> <li>6. The title of Section 4 is wrong. The error has been corrected.</li> <li>7. I disagree with this statement.</li> <li>8. I agree with this statement. Thank the reviewer that shares my opinion.</li> <li>9. It is done.</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	