**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_AJRCOS_43819** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Prediction method for classification of software engineering projects performance in Nigeria** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | Over half of this paper presents data in tables and figures, which means that the explanations of experimental results are insufficient. The paper should present more details about the analysis of the experimental results. | More explanations and details of the results have been included throughout the paper |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | In the Abstract section (Lines 13 and 14), what is "developed model"? I cannot find the answer from this paper | The model has been included on pages 4 and 5 with explanation |
| **Optional/General** comments | The paper presented a prediction method for classification of software engineering projects performance in Nigeria. The predication method of engineering projects has been described and the feasibility of the prediction has been demonstrated with a case study. However, I could not see how the prediction method was better than to giving the characteristics of projects as failure, challenged and successful. The authors claimed that other developed model cannot improve without increasing the cost and time, but this study and developed model does. Then I would like to see some sound proof or concrete data to support the claim. | We disagree with the highlighted part; what we are arguing is using only time and cost overrun to determine project performance which is the case in Nigeria.<br><br>**Note:** Based on the reviewers' comments, many changes have been made to the original manuscript |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |