



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_43749
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality of Service Determination in Effurun, Warri Delta State, Nigeria Using Petroleum Training Institute as a Case Study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title of Manuscript: "Quality of Service Determination in Effurun, Warri Delta State, Nigeria Using Petroleum Training Institute as a Case Study"</p> <p>The study is quite interesting but I have these few comments to make; The title of this manuscript may need some modifications like Quality of service determination of what? You need to provide this needed information in this title? You may need to add this information in this title.</p> <p>In the abstract, aim of the study, study area and some major empirical findings of this study are still conspicuously missing. Also, brief conclusion of this study should be included towards the end of the abstract and possible a recommendation from the study should also be added. You may need to include some of them.</p> <p>In the introduction, the main objective of this study should be included in close to the end of the introduction section. More empirical studies from the literature may also be added in this section.</p> <p>In the methodology, author(s) may need to provide salient information like; brief description of the study area, method of data collection, sampling procedures among others. all these must be included in this section.</p> <p>In section data presentation should be written as Results and Discussion section where the author(s) will need to present the study findings and discuss the salient ones using tables and figures necessary for this purpose. Each of the table presented in this manuscript needed to be discussed and the results compared with the empirical studies in the literature either to support or validate these research findings or refute it. This will give scientific validations on the research findings in this study. This is conspicuously missing in this manuscript.</p> <p>The author(s) may need to present a vivid conclusion and recommendations emanating from this study and not mere generalization. The references utilized in this study may be increased when author(s) decides to work on these comments.</p>	The title has been adjusted and the corrections effected.
Minor REVISION comments	All the minor revision comments are already given in the original manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Nil	