



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_42257
Title of the Manuscript:	Mitigating Botnet Attack Using Encapsulated Detection Mechanism (EDM)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors expose the botnet method of propagation through proactive mechanism called encapsulated detection mechanism for botnet on server systems with further operations on conceptual framework, structural modules, usability and application of botnet. The mechanism uses one dimensional data stream evolutionary window approach of distance base model as an outlier analysis. In my opinion, this work is meaningful and interesting. There are some comments below which I recommend to give one chance to take a revision. A more comprehensive literature survey may be provided. For instance, the authors missed the following related works. Experimental demonstration of multi-dimensional resources integration for service provisioning in cloud radio over fiber network, Scientific Reports. SUDOI: software defined networking for ubiquitous data center optical interconnection, IEEE Communications Magazine. Core, Mode, and Spectrum Assignment based on Machine Learning in Space Division Multiplexing Elastic Optical Networks, IEEE Access.	Thank you. However, there is no research paper that exhausted related articles. Research is continuous and others researchers may wish to go this way.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		