



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_41760
Title of the Manuscript:	Against the Tell Friend Email Security Vulnerability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Need to be explained in detail about the main objective in research. There are not clear the area of vulnerability to analyse. Is the proses discussing in email vulnerability that exploit mail can be run, or discussing about system on webserver that can be injecting by exploit using sql-injection phase? 2. Based on point no. 1, if the focus research in mail security, can be explain more detail by the flow of process in e-mail communication. 3. Give an example done in a research experiment with the explanation of analysis, to prove the the result in this research by considering of the violet privacy.	Thanks 1 and 2 it are explained at section 3. 3. to see an example visit this link http://abozaineb.onlinewebshop.net/tell.php But the host is free and does not support everything.
Minor REVISION comments	1. Need to be informed the result of the analysis about exploit. To make clearly the area of analysed tell friend exploit. (in abstract) 2. Need to comparing with the previous research (in test and experiment)	Done
Optional/General comments	1. The picture in paper is need to be clearly seen.	Done