



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_41758
Title of the Manuscript:	Integration of Open Source GIS Software for Improving Decision Making in Small and Medium Companies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author proposes a prototype for integrating various features and functionalities of different open source GIS software to improve decision-making in small and medium companies. The author should however take note of the following: Title: The author is not integrating Open source GIS software but developing a prototype to do this. This is as reflected in the objective of the article. Hence, the title of the paper needs to be reworked. Abstract: The abstract should reflect the methodology of the work. For instance, what tools did the author use to design his prototype? Which GIS open source GIS software were used as a case study? What are the results of the study? The author should also report if there were any limitations in the study. Introduction: The term GIS must be spelt out first and the acronym put in bracket before the author can use the acronym in any part of the paper. The introduction should also reflect what open source software is and the need for integrating open source software. Hence, the introduction should be reworked generally to reflect the basic concepts of the study. For instance, why do small and medium companies need to use integrated open source software for decision making? In addition, the use of the word suggestion prototype in the introduction can be replaced with proposed prototype. Fig. 1. Should be concisely described. Fig. 3. was not described. What does fig 4 represent? Again, this should be succinctly described. The proposed prototype (Fig. 5) was also not described. Furthermore, the texts in the figure are not clear. Generally, the author should review quite a number of literature that are related to the subject matter, as well as existing prototypes for integrating open source software. The author should look for the loop holes in the existing prototypes and try to fill the gap.	Thanks so much. Revision are done The author faces difficulties in making some changes to the manuscript Manuscript name has been passed to the office of the researcher and cannot be modified. Abstract Introduction Fig 4 is an example of combining data tabular data and vector data of as a sample of one feature of prosed system. Fig 5 The Proposed GIS Prototype. Is changed to be clearer.
Minor REVISION comments	There are so many grammatical errors in the article; the author should endeavour to correct them.	Many grammatical errors are corrected.
Optional/General comments		