



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_40763
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Software Requirement Prioritization Techniques
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The well organised paper. Introduction and other sections are sufficient. The fourth degree titles can be removed. Author can give some numerical values in conclusion.	Thank you for the review. However, there was no mathematical computation carried out in the body of the text, hence, there are no numerical values to present in the conclusion. In addition, the authors strictly followed the journal's guideline. However, the conclusion has been enhanced
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		