



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_40763
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Software Requirement Prioritization Techniques
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The prioritization techniques of the software used recently have been reviewed in this paper. The papers considered have been taken from recent years and old volumes also. The 'MOSCOW', top-ten requirement, ordinal scale and others are presented, discussed and analysed adequately. The usage of ML techniques further enhances the quality of the paper. The comparative analysis looks good.	Thank you for the review
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The comparative analysis could have been more satisfactory if it was presented in a visualization methodology. Results and conclusions can be a bit more enhanced.	the result and conclusion have been enhanced and the result of the study has been graphically visualized
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NONE	